RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: Meadowlark Leasing (PV COC PSH)

Organization Name: Prairie View Inc.

Project Type: PSH (General)

Project Identifier: PV COC PSH

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL		PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES					7,202
Length of Stay					
Permanent Supportive-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 15 d	ays after referral to PSH	days	out	of 20
Exits to Permanent Housing		•			
Permanent Supportive-Housing	85% remain in or move to PH		%	out	of 25
Returns to Homelessness					
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within	n 12 months of exit to PH	%	out	of 15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income					
Earned income for project stayers	8%+ of participants with new or increased income		%	out	of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income		%	out	of 2.5
Earned income for project leavers	15%+ of participants with new or increased income		%	out	of 2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	18%+ of participants with new or increased income		%	out	
	Performance Measures Subtotal			0 out	
				out out	7.0
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS					. 22
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 90% of participants are chronically homeless		%	out	
	Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			0 out	of 20
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS					
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model			out	of 10
	Project Effectiveness Subtotal			0 out	of 10
EQUITY FACTORS					
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies					
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience			out	of 10
Program Participant Outcomes					
	Equity Factors Subtotal			0 out	of 10
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA					
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standar	ds		out	of 10
PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation	Yes			out	of 10
PSH (General) - Project bed/unit utilization rate at or	ur Yes			out	of 10
PSH (General) - Project has expended at least 90% or				out	of 10
PSH (General) - Data Quality at or above 85%	Yes			out	
Equity Factors		than one person with lived experience of homelessne	ss	out	
-4	Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			0 out	
	Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			0 Out	60
	TOTAL SCORE			0 out	of 170
	TOTAL SCORE Weighted Rating Score			0 out	
PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION					
		NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED	tab		of 100
CoC funding requested	Weighted Rating Score	NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED	tab	out	of 100
	Weighted Rating Score	NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED	tab	out	of 100
CoC funding requested Amount of other public funding (federal, state, coun	Weighted Rating Score	NOTE: Edit on the UST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED	tab	out	100 100 106,26
CoC funding requested Amount of other public funding (federal, state, coun Amount of private funding TOTAL PROJECT COST	Weighted Rating Score			\$	106,269
CoC funding requested Amount of other public funding (federal, state, coun Amount of private funding	Weighted Rating Score	NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED	tab	\$	100 100 106,26