

Obama: Manufacturing A Savior

**Fabricating Myths,
Mantras, Consent and
Dissent, for Imperial
Mobilization**

President Obama

A Case Study In Social Engineering

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Sunday October 11, 2009

(PDF Ebook recomposed and reissued September 23, 2010)

Foreword

Edward L. Bernays began his seminal 1928 book, egregiously titled “**PROPAGANDA**”, with these revealing words in the very first chapter “**ORGANIZING CHAOS**”:

'THE conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses is an important element in democratic society. Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country.

We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of. This is a logical result of the way in which our democratic society is organized. Vast numbers of human beings must cooperate in this manner if they are to live together as a smoothly functioning society.

Our invisible governors are, in many cases, unaware of the identity of their fellow members in the inner cabinet. They govern us by their qualities of natural leadership, their ability to supply needed ideas and by their key position in the social structure. Whatever attitude one chooses to take toward this condition, it remains a fact that in almost every act of our daily lives, whether in the sphere of politics or business, in our social conduct or our ethical thinking, we are dominated by the relatively small number of persons—a trifling fraction of our hundred and twenty million—who understand the mental processes and social patterns of the masses. It is they who pull the wires which control the public mind, who harness old social forces and contrive new ways to bind and guide the world.'

Those “***new ways to bind and guide the world***” have come a long way since 1928, as was observed by the late behavioralist and essayist Aldous Huxley. He termed the zenith of such binding principle for organizing society the “**Ultimate Revolution**” whereby, people could actually be made to love their own servitude. Novelist George Orwell too looked at the organizing principle for forcibly binding people to a tortuous conformant regimen, which we have nowadays, unfortunately, become all too familiar with as the “**United We Stand**” in the most burlesque of modern absurdities: **The War on Terrorism**. The sophisticated fabrication of its “*doctrinal motivation*” and “*intellectual commitment*” to mobilize the democratic masses

for “*imperial mobilization*”, was examined in considerable depth in the author's 2003 book ***Prisoners of the Cave*** (<http://PrisonersoftheCave.org>).

Modernity has become a complex and refined amalgam of all these age old techniques of social control to “*bind and guide the world*” for the same organizing principle now pedantically, almost respectably, called **SOCIAL ENGINEERING**.

It spans the remarkable gamut from the 2500 years old military classic *The Art of War* applied to civilian populations, through Machiavelli, to the Hegelian Dialectics driven modern political statecraft. All have drawn upon – whether knowingly or unknowingly – the unfathomable Freudian techniques formalized by Freud's nephew Edward Bernays, to tap into the irrational sub-conscious mind as a means of exercising subliminal behavior control. Playing on the hidden fears, desires, passions, and imagination of people is certainly an art as old as mankind. In the clever hands of latter day propagandists employing modern psychological methods, including those imagined by Huxley and Orwell to instrument behavior controls, mind manipulation has been taken to new heights of precision for mass behavior control.

We often refer to such controls as Psy-Ops – a military term to mean Psychological Operations upon the mind of anyone, or any group that might stand in the way of a predefined objective. Rallying the freedom-loving public around an unpopular political cause or war without their putting up an effective civil disobedience can be as much a predefined objective, as convincing the enemy troops to surrender without putting up significant armed resistance. An accurate nom de guerre is surely Mind-Warfare.

In Project Humanbeingsfirst's analysis of deception [titled](#) “**Manufacturing Dissent: Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science**” ([PDF Ebook WMD Omnibus Collection](#)) the manufacturing of both consent and dissent for social engineering was examined, with the primary focus being on fabricating dissent.

This new minimalist collection incorporates Project Humanbeingsfirst's key examination of why the President of the United States of America was absurdly gifted the Nobel Peace Prize just this past Friday, October 09, 2009, [titled](#): “**The Answer to the Burning Question du jour: Why was President Obama Gifted the Nobel Peace Prize? How to win the Nobel Peace Prize**”.

Why does the newly elected President of the United States representing the most fanatically militarized and barbaric sole-superpower as its **Commander in Chief** – a [dysfunctional nation](#) on perpetual aggressive war-footings which has decimated both Iraq and Afghanistan over the

past eight years in the quest for its own “*preeminence*”, and which continues to threaten both Pakistan and Iran with covert and overt aggressive war couched in its propagandistically sugared “*preemptive self-defense*” [jargon](#) – deserve the most prestigious of peace prizes?

Has the world forgotten that the new President is presiding over America's own initiated [World War IV](#) which, as is continually reminded to the public, is intended to last for a lifetime and possibly longer? Previously, his predecessor who initiated it, continually [reminded](#) the world that the [9/11 “War on Terror”](#) could not be won and that to “*find them before they hurt us, and that's necessary*”. In non [Newspeak](#), perpetual war for “*preemptive self-defense*” is called **Aggression**.

Is the Norwegian Nobel Committee imbecilic or in collusion? Or is it under the influence of some bizarre psychotropically induced “hope” that President Barack Obama might end World War IV sooner than expected? That new American high-tech bunker-busting nukes and bombs, while bringing peace, might kill fewer innocent civilians and destroy fewer nations than thought to be practical earlier with the monstrous Daisy Cutters?

Therefore, this present compilation, naturally [titled](#) “**Obama: Manufacturing A Savior – A Case Study In Social Engineering**” ([PDF Ebook ObamaSavior](#)), is a unique look at social engineering. We hope that one can use it to straightforwardly glean principles that are diabolically manipulating the public mind in these cataclysmic times according to David Ben Gurion's political science laced sociopathic wisdom for achieving untenable agendas: “*what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times*”. Principles which vicariously employ both commission as well as omission, in constructing mythological narratives for mass consumption. The PDF Ebook simply collects all the Project Humanbeingsfirst's reports directly referenced in “**The Answer to the Burning Question du jour: Why was President Obama Gifted the Nobel Peace Prize? How to win the Nobel Peace Prize**” for the convenience of the reader.

Realizing that there are un-apparent psychological and sociological forces at play here which are manipulating the public's irrational emotions and perceptions, and consequently their actions (and inactions), will surely enable the few concerned and awakened peoples among the vast multitude of sleeping masses to be better prepared for making wiser existential choices for themselves.

And therefore, in dealing with the myriad global existential crises plaguing humankind today, from [global pandemics](#) to [global warming](#) to the imminent [global financial collapse](#), perhaps even future [alien sightings and catastrophic threats from the heavens](#), they might rationally find

themselves less inclined to be fooled into 'United We Stand' with the rest of the masses who entirely bear the brunt of all "Public Relations". I.e., **PROPAGANDA.**

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

October 11, 2009

(Edited Sept. 23, 2010)

Download PDF of Ebook Obama: Manufacturing A Savior from URL:

<http://humanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/files/2010/09/obama-manufacturing-a-savior-case-study-in-social-engineering-october11-2009-updated-sep232010.pdf>

Source URL for this Foreword: [http://print-](http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/10/foreword-obama-manufacturing-savior.html)

[humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/10/foreword-obama-manufacturing-savior.html](http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/10/foreword-obama-manufacturing-savior.html)

Source URL for The Answer to the Burning Question du jour: Why was President Obama Gifted the Nobel Peace Prize?: [http://print-](http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/10/how-to-win-nobel-peace-prize.html)

[humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/10/how-to-win-nobel-peace-prize.html](http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/10/how-to-win-nobel-peace-prize.html)

Related 2010 Supplement: 911 and Imperial Mobilization Redux By Zahir Ebrahim:

<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/09/911-imperial-mobilization-redux.html>

In complete realization of the 'change' mantra:

**“We are gonna spread happiness,
we are gonna spread freeeeedom,
Obama's gonna change it,**

**Obama's gonna leeeeeead em,
we're gonna change it,
and re-arrange it,
we are gonna change the world!"**

President Barack Obama has just been awarded the Nobel Peace Prize. The President is delighted and “**Says He’s ‘Surprised’ and ‘Humbled’**” according to the [New York Times](#).

When I first penned “**How to Win the Nobel Peace Prize**” in great anguish in April 2003, in [Chapter 2](#) of *Prisoners of the Cave* as the “shock and awe” of Iraq was under way, I hadn't the full prescience of all the future players at the time for I grossly omitted the new name. My apologies to the harbingers of 'change'. Their mantra, and the \$2 [billion spent creating it](#), has obviously been very effective. After the “peace maker” moniker, anointment as the “Messiah” really can't be that far behind. This Machiavellian fabrication of a 'savior' was already examined in [Mr. Obama – The Post Modern Coup](#) in November 2008.

It is astonishing to me how simplistic the most lauded dissent-chiefs and most profound intellectuals are in the West. Even when they critique absurdities and war-mongering as per their good conscience, they tread remarkably gently. Look at historian Howard Zinn's comment in the [UK Guardian](#). He is once again simplistic in his vocal dissent piece – just as he has been all along on [911](#) – by deliberately not seeing the Orwellian propaganda agenda behind the Peace Prize:

*“I was dismayed when I heard Barack Obama was given the Nobel peace prize. A shock, really, to think that a president carrying on two wars would be given a peace prize. Until I recalled that Woodrow Wilson, Theodore Roosevelt, and Henry Kissinger had all received Nobel peace prizes. **The Nobel committee is famous for its superficial estimates, won over by rhetoric and by empty gestures, and ignoring blatant violations of world peace.**”*
(emphasis added)

No, No, NO! Never '*superficial estimates*' and never '*empty gestures*'. Rather, laying the seeds of masterful propaganda towards Orwellian social engineering.

Thus, Professor Zinn's concluding prescription: *“The Nobel peace committee should retire, and turn over its huge funds to some international peace organization which is not awed by stardom and rhetoric, and which has some understanding of history”*, which, since he diagnosed the disease incorrectly, is a cure, I am sure, to the problem that he has posited in his own mind, but one that has no forensic bearing to the modernity plaguing mankind. Indeed, this [“modernity”](#) is itself *“as old as mankind”*. So while Howard Zinn does conscientiously lament the bizarre awarding of peace prizes to murderous [trigger pullers](#), he very carefully does not mention the [prime-movers](#) whom they work for:

“Oh yes, the committee saw fit to give a peace prize to Henry Kissinger, because he signed the final peace agreement ending the war in Vietnam, of which he had been one of the architects. Kissinger, who obsequiously went along with Nixon's expansion of the war, with the bombing of peasant villages in Vietnam, Laos and Cambodia. Kissinger, who matches the definition of a war criminal very accurately, is given a peace prize!”

Ever since hectoring hegemonies have existed, ever since oligarchs have existed wielding power from behind the scenes through their ['errand boys'](#), ever since they discovered social engineering, and especially ever since Edward Bernays discovered and employed [Public Relations](#) which coincided approximately with the time that Nobel peace prizes started to be awarded, these accolades from the high and mighty serve the oligarchic agendas as needed.

Since Professor Howard Zinn, as a profound historian who would like us to learn from history, is berating the Nobel Peace Committee on their lacking *“some understanding of history”*, watch the BBC documentary [Century of Self](#) to observe how Edward Bernays himself fabricated President Woodrow Wilson's aura as the European 'savior' right after the *“he kept us out of the war”* devil had taken America to World War I at the behest of his handlers Bernard Baruch and Col. Edward Mandell House, both of whom represented the international bankers. [House](#) even penned the rationale for having 'errand boys' and controlling them in a fictional narrative based upon his own role during Woodrow Wilson's presidency. Who is channeling President Obama's energies such that despite all his election promises to the contrary, he is very [predictably](#) maintaining the same overarching policy axioms as his predecessor from his [day one in office](#)?

These prizes are anything but “*empty gestures*”. It is both a payoff to tickle the ego of the 'errand boy', and a propaganda seed. In the expert hands of the [Mighty Wurlitzer](#), such a gift can convince the masses of the most ridiculous absurdities, like the [War on Terror](#) already has. The proof of these statements of fact is both empirical, and historical. Watch Barack Obama crafted into a fine new global 'savior' at the expense of the 'untermenschen'. That's why the United States President, ceremoniously presiding over the most militarized superpower in the world which has just devastated two civilizations to smithereens, was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize while he rapidly accelerates his war prosecution to bring “peace” in a [one-world government](#).

Here is the excerpt from Chapter 2 of *Prisoners of the Cave*.

How to win the Nobel Peace Prize

President Jimmy Carter, known as the conscionable president, refused to bomb Tehran despite recommendations from his wife and advisors, as noted by a speaker recently, builds homes for Habitat for Humanity with his own bare hands, and is the winner of the Nobel Peace Prize. His own National Security Advisor (ZB) took credit for handing the Soviets their Vietnam in Afghanistan, leading to the destruction of an entire civilization and loss of multiple of its generations to multiple civil wars and poverty, eventually leading to 911 - if one is to believe the facile version of 911 put forth by the American Government. Whether or not Bin Laden was involved in 911, the facts of history attest to the machinations of the United States of America in the exercise of its military and economic power since the end of World War II as forcefully articulated by George Kennan in 1948:

*“... We should cease to talk about vague and - for the Far East - unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of living standards, and democratization. The day is not far off when we are going to have to deal in straight power concepts.”*8*

ZB's own confessions to this end are highly instructive. The 1998 ZB interview to “*Le Nouvel Observateur*”, translated from the original French by author and historian Bill Blum, is reproduced below. The translator notes that: “**There are at least two editions of this magazine; with the perhaps sole exception of the Library of*

Congress, the version sent to the United States is shorter than the French version, and the Brzezinski interview was not included in the shorter version.”

Question: The former director of the CIA, Robert Gates, stated in his memoirs ["From the Shadows"], that American intelligence services began to aid the Mujahadeen in Afghanistan 6 months before the Soviet intervention. In this period you were the national security adviser to President Carter. You therefore played a role in this affair. Is that correct?

Brzezinski: Yes. According to the official version of history, CIA aid to the Mujahadeen began during 1980, that is to say, after the Soviet army invaded Afghanistan, 24 Dec 1979. But the reality, secretly guarded until now, is completely otherwise. Indeed, it was July 3, 1979 that President Carter signed the first directive for secret aid to the opponents of the pro-Soviet regime in Kabul. And that very day, I wrote a note to the president in which I explained to him that in my opinion this aid was going to induce a Soviet military intervention.

Question: Despite this risk, you were an advocate of this covert action. But perhaps you yourself desired this Soviet entry into war and looked to provoke it?

Brzezinski: It isn't quite that. We didn't push the Russians to intervene, but we knowingly increased the probability that they would.

Question: When the Soviets justified their intervention by asserting that they intended to fight against a secret involvement of the United States in Afghanistan, people didn't believe them. However, there was a basis of truth. You don't regret anything today?

Brzezinski: Regret what? That secret operation was an excellent idea. It had the effect of drawing the Russians into the Afghan trap and you want me to regret it? The day that the Soviets officially crossed the border, I wrote to President Carter. We now have the opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war. Indeed, for almost 10 years, Moscow had to carry on a war unsupportable by the government, a conflict that brought about the demoralization and finally the breakup of the Soviet empire.

Question: And neither do you regret having supported the Islamic

fundamentalism, having given arms and advice to future terrorists?

Brzezinski: What is most important to the history of the world? The Taliban or the collapse of the Soviet empire? Some stirred-up Moslems or the liberation of Central Europe and the end of the cold war?

Question: Some stirred-up Moslems? But it has been said and repeated Islamic fundamentalism represents a world menace today.

*Brzezinski: Nonsense! It is said that the West had a global policy in regard to Islam. That is stupid. There isn't a global Islam. Look at Islam in a rational manner and without demagoguery or emotion. It is the leading religion of the world with 1.5 billion followers. But what is there in common among Saudi Arabian fundamentalism, moderate Morocco, Pakistan militarism, Egyptian pro-Western or Central Asian secularism? Nothing more than what unites the Christian countries.*9*

Frighteningly amoral execution of George Kennan's policy articulation from 1948 of "going to have to deal in straight power concepts". Wouldn't you say that all American Presidents have been doing exactly that?

I had also personally witnessed on television, President Carter in 1978 toasting to the health of the King of Persia, Raza Shah, with approximately the following words: ~"To your majesty, to the love that your people have for you." This to a tyrant responsible for brutally suppressing his own people with American supplied weapons, and while Carter is toasting his host inside the Palace, outside the streets are filled with people protesting their king. When the revolution proceeds a few month later, instead of a mea culpa, a reign of vilification, long war, and sanctions is imposed on the people of Iran. And for what crime? For wanting their freedom from American-CIA imposed tyranny at the hands of one of their own elite? The Iran Hostage Crisis, covered on ABC Nightline daily, which I would occasionally catch while eating dinner in the late night cafeteria at MIT, as I recall was quite devoid of any significant history or accurate analysis of the past 26 years leading up to the crisis. I subsequently saw a shredded memo painstakingly put together and freely available in the streets of most countries in the region about some of the imperial work being done by the American staff in the US embassy in Teheran, whom the hostage takers were calling CIA spies. The taking of these hostages, many of them civilians, was probably the biggest blunder the Iranians made after their revolution,

and were paid for in spades by America with the war imposed on them through Iraq. If Jimmy Carter had deserved any Peace Prize, it would have been to avert the crisis with Tehran and successfully bring back the hostages, make amends with Iran for its people finally exercising their will and set the stage for friendship between the two countries, leaving a legacy of peace and prosperity for the region and appreciated the world over. He did not do that.

What is the prize for?

You might say Camp David and Egypt-Israel peace accord over a desert that was militarily occupied in a war? When his own people call Anwar Sadaat a traitor for making his private and separate peace with Israel and breaking up the Arab stance on Palestine which is what Israel wanted all along; and he is also a despised dictator of his own people hated and killed by them for his oppressive policies only to be replaced by another brutish dictator who is also continually kept in power by being the second largest US aid recipient in the world after Israel – is that a peace at the barrel of a gun or an enduring peace with justice?

Brokering a “peace accord” that was only a new manifestation of an old “divide and conquer” plan that the peoples at least on one side of it did not want, and which only allowed Israel a freer hand to continue suppressing the Palestinians and incrementally continue to swallow up their lands without interference from its Arab neighbors, instead of one in which all could have lived in justice and peace with full rights of return for those displaced, is an imperial farce forced upon a beleaguered peoples. And the impact of precisely this “peace accord” for which Carter got the “peace” prize are visible to all and sundry in Palestine – an amazing case study in faits accomplis that become “irreversible” – a modern day genocidal resettlement of another's land right before the very eyes of the silently bespectating world!

What about Menachem Begin? He certainly also had all the qualifications for the Nobel Peace Prize, having blown up the King David Hotel in 1948 as part of the terrorist Stern-Irgun gangs and was once the most wanted criminal in Britain.***9A**

Let's see who might be in line next? Ariel Sharon and George Bush Jr. and Sr., as well as Bin Laden and Zbigniew Brzezinski, because after all, they did defeat the Soviet Union and bring an end to the four decade long Cold War. They all appear to have the right pedigree of “blood-experience” for the Nobel Peace Prize!

So pardon me if I am not tripping all over myself congratulating the “peace prize” winners!

Read the rest of chapter 2 in full context [here](#).

Postscript Note by Zahir Ebrahim, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org Dec. 11, 2009

Thank you Mr. President for the everlasting peace which is finally to be had in our new world government. All the fabricated crises, as in the Foundation Trilogy, have provided the outstanding pretexts. While it is [true](#) that ***none are more hopelessly enslaved in perpetual war than those who are falsely led to believe they do so for peace:***



It is also a fact that no empire in the history of mankind has accomplished what is being achieved with these endless manufactured crises and the Nobel Peace Prize awarded to solve them. The poor Conservative guy [voiceover-ing](#) the new EU Council President heralding the good news ahead of the Nobel Peace Prize ceremony, apparently either doesn't fathom the meaning of *fait accompli*, or is too darn used to the free-world order to appreciate the desirability of a Boot stamped on the human face in the one-world order – what a slop:



These simpleton plebeian minds, *“unexposed to the exigencies of higher political or military responsibility”*, persistently fail to appreciate the value of the *“considerable political sophistication”* that has gone into the calculus of Global Governance.

Poorly read of [history](#) as they are, and weaned on the immediate gratification of the here and the now having pursued their 'American Dreams' in deep slumber all of their lives, they naturally fail to recognize the distemper of hegemony when it is

inchoate and kept [brewing](#) under covers: ***“Peace and its duration, like war, is determined by natural laws that in their fundamental principles do not vary nor are found wanting.”***; dismiss it as '[conspiracy theory](#)' when an odd iconoclast [reveals](#) it; and subsequently fail to recognize its fait accompli once it becomes unveiled to all and sundry: ***“In this epoch of war upon which the Empire is about to enter, hopes of peace are futile; constitutions and kings and gods are without avail, for these are the old, old struggles that govern the growth and dissolution of national life.”***

And so, Mr. President Barack Obama, I finally offer you my humble congratulations, even if somewhat belated and for which I hope I may be forgiven! The story of the Brilliant Construction of World Order during your tenure at least makes for a [good children's bedtime story](#), if nothing else.

- ### -

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/10/how-to-win-nobel-peace-prize.html>

12/11/2009 10:00:08 2867

How to win the Nobel Peace Prize By Zahir Ebrahim 9/9

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document


911 and Imperial Mobilization Redux By Zahir Ebrahim

9/11 HOT

Prisoners of the Cave – Deception Point

911 And Its Greatest Democratic

Enablers



“911 is is a point of departure for me ... I see the deliberate hands of the American-Israeli intelligence agencies and/or “**Second Foundationers**” from deep within the American ruling elite in orchestrating this **New Pearl Harbor**. For a country that can sense what is deep within the bowels of the Earth and in the farthest reaches of the

heavens, that seeks “**full spectrum dominance**” of air, land, sea, space, cyberspace, et. al. in its own military doctrines, and that publicly warns in its strategy documents that the only way for it to embark on this “**imperial mobilization**” is an increased military budget that can only come about in a “**new pearl harbor**”, 9-11 has only one reality – self-inflicted. --- [Chapter 2: Don't Ever Ask “Why is it possible to hate America” *Prisoners of the Cave*](#)

““ I am betting that when knowledge of the crime of the emperor comes to them, and their complicity in it is realized by them, that like Lady Macbeth, the American public will be torn by their good conscience, that they will be as unable to remain “**innocent of knowledge**” as Lady Macbeth, and will endeavor to right the wrongs done in their name by their successive governments. As Arundhati Roy put it in a speech: “***Yours is by no means a great nation – but you could be a great people – history is giving you the chance – seize the time.***” I am betting that the conscience of its own people will prove to be the real fifth column in the United States of America. Perhaps somewhat idealistic, but it is precisely ideals that seem to be lacking in the collective wisdom of this nation, where mostly everything is viewed from the distorted prism of the pragmatic, the expeditious, the instantly gratifying, and the “**might makes right**” imperial sight. Its injection into the American polity cannot be a bad thing. I don't expect everyone to necessarily agree with my work, the point of it being not to make you agree with me, but to motivate you into examining your invisible chains in the hope that if you earnestly look for them, you will likely find them. --- [Postscript *Prisoners of the Cave*](#)

[The world pleads: “**God save us from American peace and liberty**”](#)



“This irrational behavior is only possible because we, the citizens of the nation, permit it. It is no longer a question of controlling a military-industrial complex, but rather, of keeping the United States from becoming a totally military culture. --- [The United States: A militarized society, Jerome B. Wiesner, president emeritus MIT, Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, Aug 1985, pg. 104](#)

And just as his predecessors did, [President OBAMA too reiterates who the enemy is](#), even threatening the public to not question America's official sacred story of who the enemy is, and cleverly lumping “**questioning 9/11**” with “**justifying 9/11**”



“ I am aware that there is still some who would question, or even justify the offense of 911.

But let us be clear. Al Qaeda killed nearly 3000 people on that day. The victims were innocent men, women, and children from America and many other nations who had done nothing to harm anybody.

And yet Al Qaeda chose to ruthlessly murder these people, claimed credit for the attack, and even now states their determination to kill on a massive scale.

They have affiliates in many countries, to try to expand their reach.

These are not opinions to be debated. These are facts to be dealt with.

[Okay, Mr. President! But can you also inform us how did “Al-Qaeda” cause this third WTC building demolition on 9/11?](#)

[Footage of WTC 7 destruction showing its Penthouse Collapse before the building's symmetric and catastrophic demolition into its own footprint](#)



[And how did “Al-Qaeeda” cause this WTC explosion?](#)

[Does the pulverized WTC tower into such a fine dust debris look like a *Gravity*](#)

[Collapse of millions of tons of steel and concrete due to fire to you?](#)




And, by the verdict of your own logic of 3000 innocent victims killed by “Al-Qaeeda” on 9/11, **what of the several million INNOCENT CIVILIAN VICTIMS** barbarically

murdered by the United States in Afghanistan and Iraq in [retaliation for 9/11?](#)

“We have shot an amazing number of people, but to my knowledge, none has ever proven to be a threat, --- [Gen. Stanley A. McChrystal, New York Times, March 26, 2010](#)

“all murderers are punished unless they kill in large numbers and to the sound of trumpets. --- [Voltaire, Welcome Letter](#)

[Until of course, Victor's Justice catches up to the “apostles of death and hate”](#)



“ – we are not prepared to lay down a rule of criminal conduct against others which we would not be willing to have invoked against us. --- [U.S. Justice Robert H. Jackson, formulating the finer points of law as moral absolutes for administering victor's justice at Nuremberg, Minutes of Conference Session of July 23, 1945, International Conference on Military Trials : London, 1945](#)

“ – the only conceivable end for these merchants of aggression, and as they plunged the world into a blood bath, it is fitting that they take their final plunge in ignominy! --- [Universal Newsreels: 21 Nazi Chiefs Guilty, Nuremberg Trials 1946/10/8](#)

[Watch](#)



[But until then, 2 + 2 is always 5 whenever OCEANIA is at perpetual war with the dark murdering armies of EURASIA – watch modernity scripted in George Orwell's movie “1984” below](#)



“To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative. --- [Justice Vinson, U.S. Supreme Court, 1951, re-formulating the finer points of](#)

[law in Newspeak, Justice in the Service of Empire](#)

“Those who can make you believe absurdities, can make you commit atrocities --
- [Voltaire, The Enduring Power of Machiavellian Political Science](#)

And as the sighted can already see, like the “**Big Brother**” of **OCEANIA**, the rulers of United States of America using their own Newspeak and the savagery of fabricated wars against “barbarians”, transformed their own nation into a Police State while its indoctrinated masses, its pathetic “House Negroes” and immigrant “Uncle Toms”, [insanely cheered](#) “**United We Stand**” against [Islamofascism](#).

[America's own prominent Judge, Andrew Napolitano, belatedly lamented the loss of Natural-Rights of the American peoples to The Patriot-Act](#)



Of course, also only the sighted will ever see that from President George W. Bush to President Barrack Obama --- [More faces changed, more they remained the same!](#)



“The system is not only broken, it was crippled at birth – for it is so easily hijackable – from Wounded Knee to Iran, with new “Pearl Harbors” cleverly used for “imperial mobilization” from the USS Maine to 911 to the new nookular '911' that President Bush keeps warning the Americans and the world about in these ominous words as late as February 13, 2008: ***“Good morning [America]. At this moment, somewhere in the world, terrorists are planning new attacks on our country. Their goal is to bring destruction to our shores that will make September the 11th pale by comparison.”***”

It is one continuous predatory imperative, from the **Truman Doctrine**, to the **Carter Doctrine**, to the **Reagan Doctrine**, and now to the **Bush Doctrine**. What did impeachment of Richard Nixon ever accomplish or deter, never mind restore to America's millions of untold and unaccounted victims in Asia and South America? ---

[How to derail “imperial mobilization” and preempt the crossing of the Nuclear Rubicon](#)

“What is the point of this analysis and all this verbage? The point is a matter of life and death for nations. That is the point of this. Let me be very precise. **Either the United States, or Israel, are poised to attack Iran**, as noted by many commentators in the

World press,... This is March 2007. I have two imperatives before me: A) I do not wish to hear in 2010 that there was an “intelligence failure”, that it was another Gulf of Tonkin, or some other new contrivance from the imaginative and fertile mind of the hectoring hegemons. --- [Dialog Among Civilizations – Whytalksfail When the Hectoring Hegemons Are Running It And What To Do About It](#)

Since the doctrines of aggression variously couched in Newspeak have remained the same despite the changing presidential faces in the White House, the **AMERICAN PUBLIC'S REALPOLITIK** to bravely extract their nation from the jaws of **Big Brother's Fourth Reich** also remains the [same](#):

1) Firstly, anything, including all 'nuclear terrorist acts' on American soil, or anywhere in the world, all shocking revelations by whistleblowers, all leaks in newspapers, and all phantasmal mantras that distract from the afore-stated goal, regardless of how compelling the reasons, must be treated by the unwary and gullible American public and its intellectual dissenting-chiefs, as red herrings, pretexts, and deceptions for premeditated “imperial mobilization” by their nation's rulers.

2) Secondly, if there is reason for the United States to nuclear decimate any country or any peoples in purported 'self-defense', the American peoples must demand a ratification of the decision to go to war through a public referendum – let its great “populist democracy” speak directly in the modernity of the 21st century before it is called upon to make its sacrifices, before it is called upon to pay its taxes to fund the war, and before it is called upon to acquire innocent blood on its hands!

3) Thirdly, draw the soldiers, officers and war-technicians from the pool who vote for war! If they can vote yes to invade other nations and wantonly shatter the tabula rasa of a 'lesser humanity', then they must also first be willing to sign up for doing it themselves – instead of having a draft of economic conscription. Every 'yes' voting home in America must have at least one 'patriot' from the immediate family show up on the front-lines without exception – or their 'yes'

vote is void!

For in-depth self-study of the Unhidden Agenda behind this murderous *Imperial Mobilization on the Grand Chessboard*, see tutorials at URL: <http://unhidden-agenda.blogspot.com>

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2010/09/911-imperial-mobilization-redux.html>

Alternate Source URL: <http://bloghumanbeingsfirst.wordpress.com/2010/09/16/911-and-imperial-mobilization-redux-by-zahir-ebrahim/>

Also see: [Circulation Request Letter](#)

911 and Imperial Mobilization Redux By Zahir Ebrahim

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

Mr. Obama – The Post Modern Coup: Response to 'You're Scaring Me, Obama: Let the Bush Years Die'

Mr. Obama – The Post Modern Coup

[Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

November 27, 2008

[Addendum: Disturbing Confirmation of the Post Modern Coup is Emerging January 30, 2009](#)

[Link: More confirmation of the diabolical fabrication of a 'Messianic' cult figure in this Post Modern Coup, this time by the Nobel Peace Committee awarding the moniker of "Peace Maker" to the War President with the charming smile, October 09, 2009 \(Nobel PR\) \(Nobel-front-page\) \(The Smile\) \(NYT\) \(Zinn once again simplistic in UK Guardian\)](#)

A Response to: ['You're Scaring Me, Obama: Let the Bush Years Die by Heather Wokusch'](#)

Dateline: Thanksgiving Day, Thursday, November 27, 2008.

Dear Ms. Heather,

I wish the same as you do in your letter to Mr. Obama. The reality however is manifestly otherwise, and was/is very predictable. As [Robert Jensen](#) noted in his recent article "Real hope: Facing difficult truths about an uncertain future", just below yours on [AFP](#),

"Expressions of hope are only as truly hopeful as the honesty of the assessment of reality from which they emerge. Conjuring up hope rooted in a denial of reality can only deepen despair in the long run."

And therefore, the response to this statement in your letter is probably already obvious to everyone else reading it:

'In short, Mr. President-elect, you promised "Change we can believe in," but across the board it's looking a lot more like "Business as usual." '

But just for the sake of completeness, Mr. Obama's favorite philosopher is probably Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski. Mr. Obama's favorite financiers are probably from the same Rockefeller money. Mr. Obama's election campaign, probably the costliest in American election history, some say to the tune of \$2 billion dollars, merely to ensure that a 'change' mantra would get sewn into the fabric of American social discourse - which has apparently succeeded, as all of the right, left, and center, echo that mantra. The reality of 'change' is analyzed here:

<http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/not-voting-is-yes-vote-to-reject-system.html>

which noted on October 22, 2008:

"I predict that new faces will adorn the White House because the police-state is not ready to overtly break surface just yet, and people still need to be given the illusion of choice less they revolt before they have been fully enslaved and microchipped. Since you people seem fed up with the taste of vanilla for 8 years, we shall now give you chocolate! And wouldn't that be a revolutionary step for America?"

And the proof of the pudding is in its eating – as reflected in the leader quote above.

Webster Tarpley had noted in [April 2008](#), in his book, "[Obama, the Postmodern Coup – Making of a Manchurian Candidate](#)", that Mr. Obama would prove to be more dangerous for America, and the world, than even his predecessor, because the endgame, the engagement of Russia, and the carryover of decimating Pakistan and Iran, would happen on his watch.

Tarpley felt that Iran might be pitted against Russia as Brzezinski's favorite modus operandi against his favorite enemy. I have felt that these three might actually get united as a [matter of survival tactic](#) having learnt from the recent past of how USSR was dismembered. But regardless of who allies with whom, the Asian land mass is the prize, which unless won, cannot construct a world government. Asia owns most of the known natural resources of the world. Asia is also the target of most of the population reduction schemes of the de facto emperor of Western world, Mr. David Rockefeller. Hear and watch him express his concerns in his own voice here:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ClqUcScwnn8>

and the analysis of the agendas, including population reduction and Henry Kissinger's very un-secret long running alternative program of NSSM 200 here:

<http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/09/capitalist-conspiracy-world-government.html>

And see many study references here:

<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/monetary-reform-bibliography.html>

And Mr. Obama is merely the new link in the chain of its acquisition. Why so many people might have been so confused, and continually get confused, is beyond me. The principles of conquest are in plain sight, and available for all to see. Anyone who might stand against them, would not even get past the election Primaries, never mind be chosen as the lead candidate of an establishment's political party. Both of them.

The 'change' mantra, and the \$2 billion spent creating it, has obviously been very effective:

**"We are gonna spread happiness,
we are gonna spread freeeeedom,
Obama's gonna change it,
Obama's gonna leeeeee ad em,
we're gonna change it,
and re-arrange it,**

we are gonna change the world!" ([The Obama Kids Song](#))

- “We are gonna change the world!” Yes indeed, into world government.

Addendum: Disturbing Confirmation of the Post Modern Coup is Emerging

January 30, 2009

As if the afore-cited 'Obama Kids Song' wasn't indication enough, Steve Watson at Prisonplanet.com reported on Wednesday, January 28, 2009, the following chilling confirmation of the above analysis. The diabolical cultivation of a 'Messianic' figure under that 'CHANGE' mantra whose obligatory love and allegiance is being seeded into the very consciousness of the people, starting with young school children in public schools: **'School Children Complain Of “Obama Worship” During Lessons'**.

And as clairvoyantly already unraveled above, the empirical evidence of the reality of 'CHANGE' is immediately visible, both by a cursory glance at Obama's cabinet, and by his response to world affairs from the very first day he took office as the President of the United States. Obama's cabinet has already been examined by many.

What is far more empirically revealing with an immediacy that is remarkable, is the response to [Israel's genocidal shoah upon Gaza civilians](#). Obama had this 'CHANGE' to offer from the George W. Bush Administration after his prolonged silence because he wanted there to be **“only one President at a time”** so as not to cause any confusion with conflicting viewpoints on Israeli perpetuated and American endorsed and funded holocaust of the ['untermenschen'](#):

“Let me be clear: America is committed to Israel's security. And we will always support Israel's right to defend itself against legitimate threats. For years, Hamas has launched thousands of rockets at innocent Israeli citizens. No democracy can tolerate such danger to its people, nor should the international community, and neither should

the Palestinian people themselves, whose interests are only set back by acts of terror.”

For ameliorating the Pakistan-Afghanistan 'War on Terror', President Barack Obama also authorized the following immediate 'CHANGE':

[The reality of Change](#)

['Obama ordered air attacks in Pak'](#)

[Obama administration warns public to expect rise in US casualties](#)

[2 U.S. Airstrikes Offer a Concrete Sign of Obama's Pakistan Policy](#)

And most insidiously, for finalizing the finishing touches to the conversion of his own nation into a police-state as the key harbinger for ushering in all the core-controls necessary for world government, the following watershed 'CHANGES' silently transpired as the epitaph for Obama's own beloved UNITED STATES OF AMERICA on his first day in the Oval Office:

[The United States Supreme Court co-opted the Fourth Amendment](#)

[H.R. 645 was introduced in Congress to legally authorize the detention of American Citizens in the already constructed FEMA camps on military installations](#)

And for those readers who, unlike Ms. Heather, might still think that all this is just reactionary happenstance in response to the rapidly emerging challenges of the multi-faceted crises facing the new American President, rather than a diabolically orchestrated premeditated plan for world-government, please see Project Humanbeingsfirst's response to Financial Times Oped of December 08, 2008, where its "chief foreign affairs columnist" readily perceived these momentous criminal crises – wherein the average American is already losing both the skirt and shirt – as an opportunity for ushering in world government:

<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/12/responseto-ft-gideon-rachman-worldgov.html>

And also see the deconstruction of Henry Kissinger's ominous statements of January 20, 2009, in Project Humanbeingsfirst's January 2009 Financial Terrorism news analysis report where this globalist's disingenuous advocacy of harnessing these (manufactured) crises as the great opportunity for a new world order may palpably be witnessed:

<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/01/newsflash-financialterrorism-jan2009.html>

And if one is still skeptical with faith in the 'Obama Messiah', may the Shepherd be with you – as no ordinary humanbeingsfirst can bring the dead back to the living! But then again, perhaps a Christian pastor can ([part1](#), [part2](#)).

Zahir Ebrahim

[Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

[Print](#)

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents [here](#)), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <http://PrisonersoftheCave.org>. He may be reached at <http://Humanbeingsfirst.org>. Verbatim reproduction license at <http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org#Copyright>.

101020091517531493

Mr. Obama – The Post Modern Coup: Response to 'You're Scaring Me, Obama: Let the Bush Years Die'

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

The Monetary Conspiracy For World Government

The Monetary Conspiracy For World Government

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

November 23, 2008

Also appears as [Addendum](#) to The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy for World Government

© Project Humanbeingsfirst™. Permission granted to use freely as per copyright notice.

Document ID: [PHBFZE20081123](#) URL: <http://humanbeingsfirst.org>. | [Print](#) | [PDF](#) | [Comment](#).

Introduction

Economics and Money aren't supposed to be as abstruse as it is made out to be, and nor does it take a Ph.D. from M.I.T. to realize that one is being taken for a sodomized ride on the Capricorn of economics gibberish. It is the responsibility of every denizen of the world to understand how humanity is being herded into global debt-enslavement and a centrally managed world-government, baby-step at a time, by manufacturing deliberate crisis and then proposing the next baby-step as its solution or fait accompli. Each baby-step erodes away some aspect of national sovereignty. 911 helped setup the global police state as a proposed solution to 'terrorism' – a manufactured

product – to create the sine qua non mechanisms for world-government.

“World government could only be kept in being by force”, as Bertrand Russell had put it.

The latest financial crisis is designed to systematically create a central world-banking system, as a proposed solution to 'bad loans' – again a manufactured product – to be managed by a global banking cartel under legal sanction. **“Give me control of a nation's money supply, and I care not who makes its laws”**, as the Rothschild banking scions boldly narrate in almost every generation. Today, the cumulative world debt is in uncountable trillions, and there is no nation on earth which is not beholden to some banking cartel, be it the WB-IMF tag team of economic mercenaries preying upon the resource-rich nations of Global South (see John Perkins), or the private central banks lending parasites doing the same to their richer brethren in the Global North (see Money as Debt).

On top of them both, sit the same handful of private banking families in their interlocking relationships, protected by their own hand-crafted instruments of commerce, trade-treaties, and their hand-picked political governance which creates for them the legal sanctions necessary for the entire global racket based on unpayable debt to flourish. Once a nation, like a person, can't pay its debts, demand for the proverbial **“pound of flesh”** is as convincing as making an offer one can't refuse.

In contrast to the Neanderthal gangster Al Capone, or Michael Corelone in the blockbuster movie 'The Godfather', who weren't smart enough to change the laws of the land in favor of their criminal enterprises and therefore, the state's policing apparatus could be relied upon to eventually take parasites like them down, these banksters connivingly write the very laws of the land in their favor. They own, or control through proxy, the media, the legislatures, the executives, the think-tanks, the foundations, all levers of power, good and bad loans, and discourse itself, in pretty much all major societies – from G7 to G20 (excepting to some extent BRIC, Venezuela, and Iran) – cleverly hiding their own role behind the scenes in constructing their global fiefdom.

That aspiration was unabashedly and boldly re-stated by bankster James Warburg in 1950 to the US Senate – the son of bankster Paul Warburg who not only founded the Council on Foreign Relations in 1921, but was the key architect of the Federal Reserve System under the clandestine auspices of Senator Nelson Aldrich at Jekyll Island in 1910 – **“We shall have World Government, whether or not we like it. The only question is whether**

World Government will be achieved by conquest or consent.”

And that precise “consent” is being manufactured, as we speak, baby-step at a time! This ain't no 'tin-hatted' conspiracy of the UFO's taking over as the 'body-snatchers', or Orson Wells' famous dramatization on radio of H. G. Wells' novel “The War of the Worlds”. That Halloween eve special in 1938 – as an experiment in mass psychology to observe the response to fear – panicked New York city!

A bona fide long-running elitist conspiracy for world government that is rapidly reaching fruition today within the windows of opportunity created by manufactured crises – ***“We are on the verge of a global transformation. All we need is the right major crisis and the nations will accept the New World Order”***, noted David Rockefeller – is writ large in the ex post facto confessions and deeds of its vainglorious key architects themselves. Indeed, witness this eloquent boast from the bankster, in his own 2002 'Memoirs': ***“Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as 'internationalists' and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure – one world, if you will. If that is the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it.”*** (pg. 405)

A penetrating understanding of manufactured crises as Machiavellian harbingers of calculated change, may be gleaned in Isaac Asimov's science fiction classic known as the 'Foundation Trilogy'. It is not accidental that **foundations**, both in real life, and in art, are instrumental harbingers of imperial change. This truism is attested to by the evidence gathered by Norman Dodd for the Congressional Reece committee in 1953-54, as described below. Wikipedia notes of the art version, that, *“According to Asimov, the premise [of Foundation] was based on ideas set forth in Edward Gibbon's History of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire,”*!

The only protection against these parasites – whom America's courageous President, Andrew Jackson, referred to as ***“a den of vipers”*** – is for ordinary peoples first learning what has been kept hidden from them, before it is too late. For indeed, tortuous control systems are being put in place globally at an accelerated pace, and overturning them after fait accompli would require nothing less than a new 'Moses' powerfully proclaiming to the new 'Pharaohs': ***“let my people go!”*** Last time I checked, god of chosen peoples had unmercifully relegated both the old and new prophets to the enactment of

miracles only on the silver screen for the entertainment of the masses, as its ordained New World Order is brought into beguiling existence under the radar screen of most plebes. What now sayist the plebe?

For those who know nothing of these matters, Project Humanbeingsfirst has compiled an 'essentials' self-study [bibliography](#) of videos, books, documents, news reports, and its own forensics reports, for both, beginners frantically searching for an understanding of what's happening to them as they lose their shirts and their skirts to Wall Street, as well as for advanced non-researcher white-collar professionals whose job it is to understand money, but who actually remain no less ignorant than the plebes. **All only suffer its want, or enjoy its superfluity, but no one has the time or the inclination to ponder its creation, or which hands control it. Most believe, as did this scribe once, that the government creates and controls money.**

Please see the self-study bibliographic guide: [Monetary Reform Bibliography – A self-study guide for uncovering the agendas behind the economics gibberish](#). [a1]

The Ignorance of the Learned

It has been rather disturbing for this scribe to continually rediscover that even well educated persons from among the ruling elite themselves, CEOs of corporations with fancy MBA degrees, venture capitalists with CA degrees, economists with Ph.D., and financial geniuses on Wall Street with degrees in mathematics and physics from Caltech and M.I.T. – never mind engineers and scientists perpetually kept too busy to bring their rational forensic acumen to bear upon such mundane existential matters as money, economy, geopolitics and empire – do not fully understand the mechanics of money, nor its direct manufactured relationship with economic booms-and-collapses, war on terror, and the broader calculated agenda for world government. All feel daunted by the economics gibberish which surrounds any discussion of it.

It is also painfully obvious that even the so called “expert” economists, and Nobel Laureates, do not fully grasp all the issues regarding money. Because they still can't manage the economy despite their Nobel Prizes, and keep getting run over by the exact same inability to link together artificial booms and speculations fueled by cheap credit that is created out of thin air as national debt, predictable busts, tightening of credit, loss of confidence, and ultimate windfall for the handful of wealthy in whose hands all the wreckage

of prosperity gets transferred pennies to the dollar, consolidating enormous wealth in fewer and fewer hands at the end of it all. And they still can't call it correctly, as evidenced from the statements of the famous 92 year old economist Anna Schwartz – coauthor of Milton Friedman's classic text on monetary history of the United States – and all the rest of the economists quoted by Lendman. [a2] More examples can be found in [“Monetary Reform: Who will bell the cat?”](#). And as is the case for the common man who least understands any of this, it could be for these learned economists as well, that it's simply because of the overloaded semantics and secrecy which surrounds this most essential and profound human invention since fire.

None among them apparently knows the money mechanics and how it astronomically enriches the private bankers for doing absolutely no production work in society other than ledger-entry, and none has any appreciation for the overarching *“forces that drive them”*. For surely, as Bernard Lewis had observed in another terrorism context, a study of motivations can lead to a better understanding of why the financial terror crises fueled by newer deadly toys and things – what Warren Buffet called the **“Financial Weapons of Mass Destruction”** and predictable **“time bombs”** [a3] – keep getting repeated under the very noses of those chartered to explicitly prevent them, leaving the much lauded economists from prestigious institutions none the wiser. But it is perhaps also more credible to argue, that they – the profoundly learned economists – deliberately promulgate economics gibberish in the service of their 'ubermensch' masters. The empire needs its own knowledge-scions, just as it needs its own media, its own presses, its own propaganda and spin machinery, and its own military-industrial-academe complex. Who sits atop all of this? Who funds all this? Who benefits from all of this? Does the United States – its economy and its peoples in worst shape today in this first decade of the information age than they ever were since the Great Depression years of the industrial age?

So, clearly it wasn't just for the *“full spectrum dominance”* of the nation-state of the United States, for which the sole superpower was being coerced into exercising its Project for the New American Century under the guise of 'war on terror'. It was not just for the hegemony of the sole superpower that Zbigniew Brzezinski – an Executive Director of the globalist Trilateral Commission which David Rockefeller founded – had penned 'The Grand Chessboard' and made it available so publicly, like its PNAC twin.

While clearly America bombed Iraq and Afghanistan, and may yet nuclear

bomb Iran and Pakistan, not to mention enter into a chicken-style nuclear confrontation with Russia, but what fuels this asininity? Who benefits?

The American peoples as well as their nation-state are certainly the very visible losers in the final analysis – even at the cost of decimated 'lower-civilizations' and their unfortunate children of lesser gods, many more will follow in those footsteps, and only they will see the end of war being waged upon humanity – going bankrupt and trillions of dollars in debt as they are. See the latest national debt figures in [“The entrenched notion of Public Debt in America – will take a gestalt shift to overcome!”](#).

Who holds this national debt at its very top, and for which, every American tax-payer perpetually pays interest on? Aaron Russo in his documentary [“America: from Freedom to Fascism”](#), makes the astonishing revelation of how private central banking under the Federal Reserve System, and the federal income tax, are joined together at the hip as a congenital birth defect. There is some discussion of the possible illegitimacy of the federal income tax and the IRS in the film, but that's a red herring which distracts from the fact that it could be just as legal as the Federal Reserve System, and still remain a premeditated congenital birth defect devilishly crafted to ensnare the unwary public into paying gratuitous interest on the issuance of their own national currency! [a4]

Something far more insidious has been under construction using just one primal axiom of political science: ***“what is inconceivable in normal times is possible in revolutionary times”***, the devilish words of David Ben Gurion who presided over the leadership of the newly acquired land for the Jews at the expense of its indigenous Palestinian inhabitants exactly 60 years ago. The same maxim is being deployed for world conquest at the expense of the rest of its indigenous inhabitants.

At the top of that pyramid, sit the moneychangers of modernity. For money is an even bigger existential necessity today in the producer-consumer global paradigm of high finance than it ever was in the past, even though the imperial coin is as old as mankind!

And yet just the fact that one has to come by the accurate understanding of “Money” only as a forensic detective assembling a jigsaw puzzle, and primarily from empirical analysis of widely disparate data and events, is very revealing of the secretive role of its affluent creators.

The power of money creation in private hands lies at the root of all evil. A forensic recognition of this blatant fact and the concomitant direct full spectrum public assault upon it, will lead to the termination of all the wet dreams for world government by hectoring hegemony of all stripes. It will also lead to the immediate termination of all the manufactured mechanisms employed for achieving it, namely, the fiction of 'war on terror' and the manufactured economic collapse. Furthermore, a lineup before a court appointed legal firing squad with confiscation of all wealth should create a reasonably effective deterrence example for the future.

So let's no longer be counted among the ignorant, the co-opted, and those who, quite bewilderingly, "*scurry around like drugged cockroaches in a bottle*" [a5] waxing platitudes against an indomitable foe out for their enslavement.

To emphasize the relevance of learning from history to avert a tortuous future, take for example, the remarkable 1982 video interview of Mr. Norman Dodd by G. Edward Griffin, cited in the Project Humanbeingsfirst's [Monetary Reform Bibliography](#). It can be watched [here](#).

As a member of the "*Morgan Bank*" during the Great Depression, and later a chief investigator in 1953-54 for U.S. Congressman B. Carroll Reece's Special Committee on Tax Exempt Foundations (the Reece Committee), Norman Dodd makes some extraordinary revelations on video. He appears to be an unusually credible person, unlike many other plebeian detractors of aggregated wealth – scion of wealth and pamper, educated at Andover and Yale, insider to banking and Wall Street investment – not a 'tin-hatted' conspiracy theorists alluded to in the main discourse ("[The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy For World Government](#)").

After the crash of 1929, Norman Dodd says he had "*rendered*" a report on the stock market crash to his bank's superiors, and according to the paraphrase of the Morgan bank officials that was rehearsed back to him: "***Norm what you're saying is we should return to sound banking ... We will never see sound banking in the United States again.***"

Mr. Dodd further reveals that the officials rehearsed "*chapter and verse*" to explain that point and stated:

"Since the end of world war one we have been responsible for what they call the institutionalizing of conflicting interests, and they are so prevalent inside this country that they can never be

resolved.”

With that as the backdrop, this is what Norman Dodd relates of a conversation he had with the President of the tax-exempt Ford Foundation in 1954, as part of his Congressionally mandated investigation of tax-exempt foundations:

“Mr. Dodd, we've asked you to come up here today because we thought that possibly, off the record, you would tell us why the Congress is interested in the activities of the foundations such as ourselves.” Before I could think of how I would reply to that statement, Mr. Gaither then went on and said: “Mr. Dodd, all of us who have a hand in the making of policies here have had experience operating under directives, **the substance of which is that we shall use our grant-making power so to alter life in the United States that it can be comfortably merged with the Soviet Union.**”

ED GRIFFIN: Why do the foundations generously support Communist causes in the United States?

NORMAN DODD: Well, because to them, Communism represents a means of developing what we call a monopoly, that is, an organization of, say, a large-scale industry into an administerable unit.

ED GRIFFIN: Do they think that they will be the ones to benefit?

NORMAN DODD: They will be the beneficiaries of it, yes.

Another ominous thread in the interview is when Dodd reveals of what his lead investigator discovered in the minutes-books of Carnegie Endowment for Peace. According to Norman Dodd, the following was recorded on the old-fashioned dictaphone machine by Katherine Casey as she was browsing the minutes-books in the CEP library:

We are now at the year 1908, which was the year that the Carnegie Foundation began operations. In that year, the trustees, meeting for the first time, raised a specific question, which they discussed throughout the balance of the year in a very learned fashion. The question is: ***“Is there any means known more effective than war, assuming you wish to alter***

the life of an entire people?” And they conclude that no more effective means than war to that end is known to humanity.

So then, in 1909, they raised the second question and discussed it, namely: ***“How do we involve the United States in a war?”***

Well, I doubt at that time if there was any subject more removed from the thinking of most of the people of this country than its involvement in a war. There were intermittent shows in the Balkans, but I doubt very much if many people even knew where the Balkans were. Then, finally, they answered that question as follows: ***“We must control the State Department.”*** That very naturally raises the question of how do we do that? And they answer it by saying: ***“We must take over and control the diplomatic machinery of this country.”*** And, finally, they resolve to aim at that as an objective.

Then time passes, and we are eventually in a war, which would be World War I. At that time they record on their minutes a shocking report in which they dispatched to President Wilson a telegram, cautioning him to see that the war does not end too quickly.

Finally, of course, the war is over. At that time their interest shifts over to preventing what they call a reversion of life in the United States to what it was prior to 1914 when World War I broke out. At that point they came to the conclusion that, to prevent a reversion, ***“we must control education in the United States.”*** They realize that that's a pretty big task. It is too big for them alone, so they approach the Rockefeller Foundation with the suggestion that that portion of education which could be considered domestic be handled by the Rockefeller Foundation and that portion which is international should be handled by the Endowment. **They then decide that the key to success of these two operations lay in the alteration of the teaching of American history. [...]**

Mr. Norman Dodd had also revealed another interesting little known fact in a prior year, in his testimony on “Regionalism” in 1978 before a committee

created by the Illinois legislature. He disclosed the fact that a brand new constitution for the American continent had already been constructed as a super-state – what today in its baby-step incarnation is called the North American Union – and it has been patiently waiting in the wings, like the Patriot Act, to be sprung at the opportune time just like the oppressive police-state legislation was sprung upon the American public within a few weeks of 911. Witness this exchange: [a6]

Mr. Dodd: [...] Now, the second experience that I would like to share with you... oh, and incidentally, it is the Ford Foundation's grants which are responsible for the formulation of this idea of regional government, and also the idea that given regional government, we must, in turn, **develop and accept and agree to a totally new Constitution which has already been drawn up**, as was mentioned just a few minutes ago. [previous testimony] [...]

Rep. Lucco : Fine. You've answered my question. Now, another thing. You took us back to 1908, and I came on the scene in 1912, about the time of the Balkan Wars, which you alluded to, and World War I. Now, today, and you said that we actually created -- or "they", whoever "they" are - actually created the situation of a war. Now that we have the...

Mr. Dodd : Wait, now. You deserve to know who the "they" are.

Rep. Lucco : I was going to ask you that.

Mr. Dodd : The "they" in this instance are the Trustees. . . were the Trustees of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. They were men who were prominent lawyers in New York; men like Nicholas Murray Butler, the head of Columbia University; also, and subsequently, Allen and Foster Dulles, as attorneys -- that caliber of gentlemen.

*[CDR Note: "Global Tyranny ...Step by Step", by William Jasper, quotes Allen W. Dulles from a UN booklet, Headline Series #59 - New York: The Foreign Policy Association, Sept-Oct, 1946- page 46... **"There is no indication that American public opinion, for example, would approve the establishment of a super state, or permit American membership in it. In other words, time - a long time - will be***

*needed before world government is politically feasible...
This time element might seemingly be shortened so far as
American opinion is concerned by an active propaganda
campaign in this country..."]*

Rep. Lucco : Then I'm trying to collate what you are talking about -- 1912 -- with 1978, the meeting at Camp David, the problems in the Middle East, the Sino-, or Chinese-Russian situation--are they now getting us ready for a third world war?

Mr. Dodd: **My answer to that, sir, is that they have set forces in motion, and these forces cannot help but culminate in World War III.** I happen to personally believe that it is possible to prevent it from working out that way, but I'm alone in my beliefs.

Rep. Hudson: Apparently you're not alone, Mr. Dodd. [...]

Rep Hudson : Mr. Dodd, I have one question. **You mentioned a proposed new Constitution, or federal charter, for this country, sort of waiting in the wings, you might say.**

Mr. Dodd : Yes.

Rep Hudson: Is that the one... I have heard tell of a Tugwell type. Is that the one you refer to?

Mr. Dodd : That's it, sir.

Rep. Hudson : Thank you. All right, well, thank you very much, Mr. Dodd. We are grateful for your being here.

Now, if Rep. Lucco of the Illinois legislature in 1978 can endeavor to *“collate what you are talking about -- 1912 -- with 1978”*, surely a sensibly learned person today might try to collate the same to 2008? How might one forensically bring to bear all such historical knowledge, including revelations by Norman Dodd, on the present financial crisis and the role of the Federal Reserve System? How does that relate to the blatantly undisguised drive for world government today? How does that relate to 911? But no! Not the Federal Reserve System Chairman, nor any of the Nobel Prize winning economists waxing more economics gibberish, will go there! [a7]

And forget about the mainstream presses, erudite pundits, and even lauded dissent-space politicians like Ron Paul, [a8] and intellectuals like Noam Chomsky [a9] [a10] and Howard Zinn [a11] – forever only rehearsing the crimes of the “rogue state” and 911 its “blowback” – doing so either. Their laudable emphasis on the facts that are visible like the American F16s and Apache Helicopters bombing civilians, or the crash of the stock market as a result of casino capitalism, and lamentable silence on the ones which are not readily visible like that which remains shrouded in conspiratorial secrecy for an overarching agenda and must be forensically uncovered from rational thinking and analysis, or official narratives that are required to be kept intact, only ends up circuitously leading their own fawning flock, amidst great applause, to the pastures dutifully bounded by the same fences as the mainstream scholars! [a12] [a13] Their intellectualism, apparently, only extends to the government mandated axioms of “Bin Laden” and “Al-Qaeeda”, which are most obligingly, implicitly retained by them in their very learned dissenting discourses that valiantly document and courageously standup to the crimes of their own nation. [a14] [a15] And these intellectual are this scribe's own respected teachers! [a16]

Perhaps all these gadfly historians and men and women of letters who mainly delight in rehashing histories which are already faits accomplis, and in waxing moralizing sermons on being the privileged minority to whom **“Western democracy provides the leisure, the facilities, and the training to seek the truth lying hidden behind the veil of distortion and misrepresentation, ... through which the events of current history are presented to us”**, [a17] be graciously reminded of George Bernard Shaw's famous maxim **“We are made wise not by the recollections of our past, but by the responsibility for our future”**.

One wishing to learn more may review the pertinently culled reading list in the Monetary Reform Bibliography, and minimally peruse: [“Monetary Reform: Who will bell the cat?”](#), and the Press Release [“This may be a psy-op!”](#).

As Noam Chomsky once wrote, and quite correctly too, that **“it takes a sentence to repeat lies and deceit, while it takes considerably more space to unravel them.”** [a18] Elsewhere, he also wisely noted **“It's always a good idea to start by asking about the facts. It's whenever you hear anything said very confidently, the first thing that should come to mind is, wait a minute, is that true?”** [a19] Fortunately, many dedicated and quite ordinary peoples have learnt from Noam Chomsky's teachings, and have already done just that. They have diligently asked about the facts for all the

official myths which are axiomatically rehearsed **“very confidently”** from the highest to the lowest pulpits across the land, and some of their truly intellectual works are cited in the Monetary Reform Bibliography.

The reader is also invited to ask the same question, **“is that true”**, of the 'who-dunit' axiom of the first 911 which **“very confidently”** narrated of an invasion from abroad; of the axiom of 'macro economics inevitability of this financial meltdown' that Warren Buffet termed *“an economic Pearl Harbor”*; and finally, of the axiom of 'the only solution for avoiding both types of 911s in the future, is world government controlled by the private central banks at the top of the pyramid'. The same pyramid-top which keeps the watchful-eye upon the world from the back of the world's reserve currency, the one dollar bill! It is an important question to ask, who effectively controls this reserve currency? For their identity is the identity of the watchful eye, the real emperors of the world! Upon their feet, lie the seeds of all the crimes against humanity in modern times. And upon whose beck and call, lies the *“imperial mobilization”* of the sole superpower state to preside over its own calculated demise, to create 'one world'!

Armed with all this analysis from many disparate sources and a rational long-view perspective which connects all the dots – perhaps a weekend's worth of self-study – one can finally judge for oneself what is deliberate disinformation, and what are the indisputable facts of the matter.

Thus, at least, one is now trivially able to judge for oneself, the worth of half-truths based disinformation masterpieces which deceive by omission rather than outright lies, like:

http://www.publiceye.org/conspire/flaherty/Federal_Reserve.html

But even more importantly, now one has sufficient knowledge, as well as perspective from many sources, to ask the overarching meta question:

Why should there be any need for super-abundance of non-information, and at best, disinformation – the profound ignorance of the learned – on such a transparent matter as the Federal Reserve System, and on such a mundane issue like money which everyone in society needs existentially, like air and water, in the first place?

If one ponders upon that question first, either agrees or disagrees with the proposition that this subject is shrouded in secrecy and obfuscation which is

what creates mythologies, half-truths, and even outright lies – not to mention the [trillion dollar Bailout of Wall Street](#) [a20] that Treasury Secretary Hank Paulson now also recommends for other nations through a coordinated central banks' attack upon all the world's peoples to ensnare them all in further debt – then the rest follows on why detective forensic skills are either needed, or not, to tell the difference between propagandists shilling for their paymasters, 'tin-hatted' conspiracy theorists indulging their imaginations, 'technique of infamy' and manufactured red herrings, and the unarguable real facts of the matter.

Answering this question first, can further enable one to look at solutions-spaces more critically without being fooled. But only after the problem-space, and its awesome power to corrupt and to confuse, has been first well understood. In this regard, humanity owes a great debt of gratitude to Ezra Pound, America's most ignored poet and thinker, for explaining the specialized version of the dialectics of deception – the 'technique of infamy'.

Thus We Fail as a “focus group”!

To begin exploring the solutions-space, the following websites might be useful. Project Humanbeingsfirst does not endorse, or censure, anyone of them, and remains largely agnostic when they make sense – for the real challenge lies elsewhere. A preliminary analysis of two main proposals, the [Gold-Standard](#) (Mises Institute) favored by Rep. Ron Paul, R-Texas, which does not make any sense, and the [Greenbacks](#), (thought to be) favored by Rep. Dennis Kucinich, D-Ohio, which does make sense, can be found in the Monetary Reform Bibliography.

<http://mises.org>

<http://monetary.org/>

<http://www.webofdebt.com/>

<http://www.monetaryreform.org/>

<http://www.themoneymasters.com>

http://www.ratical.org/many_worlds/cc/

<http://www.transaction.net/money/glossary.html>

[Richard Cook](#) also pontificates yet another solution-space in his just released

2008 book “We Hold These Truths – The Hope of Monetary Reform”. His concept, in its preliminary form, inspired by the work of the late C.H. Douglas of a century ago called Social Credit, was published in 2007 [here](#) and [here](#). [a21] The late Dr. Edward Hamlyn at the British Association for Monetary Reform, also left the world his gift of the 2007 edition of “The New Money Text Book” which can be read [here](#). [a22] The American Monetary Institute has their own Monetary Reform Act drafted [here](#). [a23] The 3-1/2 hour video, [The Money Masters](#), in its concluding last 45 minutes, also explores a rational solution-space and makes specific recommendations for [national monetary reform](#). [a24] The video also points to an alternative local solutions-space for individual communities, that of local 'community-currency', as a sustainable money system to fulfill local trading needs debt-free. Some Europeans, apparently, tend to agree with this community-currency prescription. There already are, or will soon be, [65 regional currencies](#) in operation alongside the EU. One of these currencies, made defunct, was based on the ideas of [Silvio Gesell](#) in the now almost century old classic, “The Natural Economic Order”: [a25]

'Austria's Tyrolean community of Wörgl launched a scheme based on his theories, in 1932, reputed to have slashed unemployment at the height of the Depression. It was watched by Keynes and Irving Fisher, who saw a fast-depreciating currency as a possible answer to the 1930s “liquidity trap”.

The Wörgl experiment was declared illegal by Austria's central bank when a further 200 other communities launched copycat currencies, threatening the authority of the state. Though article 35 of the Bundesbank's founding law forbids the circulation of “quasi-currencies”, the experiments are being treated as a harmless eccentricity.'

As one can easily glean from this quick survey, there is a surfeit of monetary reform proposals. If only there was some way to bring them all together on one common platform!

Project Humanbeingsfirst encourages the readers to first endeavor to fully comprehend the problem-domain, and what entrenched systems of power inhibit all debt-free solutions from emerging, before jumping into the solutions-domain and spending time on the treadmill of inefficacy – like the antiwar movement. The failure to recognize that power only respects power,

otherwise it is merely a “**focus group**”, [a26] has been the latter's undoing. This certainly does not preclude understanding the technical domain itself, including the local community needs to transact business at the individual and local business level; understanding the national needs to monetize the GDP and transact business at the national institution level, including collect taxes and pay for services; and understanding the global needs, not only for international trade, but also as a stable repository for valuation of public and private assets, both global and local, and as the calibration of a fair standard for measuring wealth in vastly disparate levels of industrial development and/or natural wealth, in rich and poor nations.

Some will surely argue that the latter needs a 'global currency'. If they were to do so, they would fall right into the trap of the banksters. The afore-cited monetary reform bibliography contains a culled selection of recent [pertinent news reports](#) which plainly betray the globalist motivation of the International bankster cartel who wish to use the present manufactured financial crisis to lead the world's gullible public to precisely that conclusion-space. To be managed centrally, by a world cartel of International private central banks, which would be the first and last nail in the national-sovereignty of all nations. **“Give me control of a nation's money supply, and I care not who makes its laws”**, was not stated in mere vanity by the Rothschild scions.

Based on the knowledge and forensic touchstones now gained, anyone capable of even a modicum of reflection should trivially be able to dismantle red herring reform proposals made by erudite scholars of empire to cleverly maneuver the world towards the masters' choice. Take for instance, this 1978 masterpiece by [James Tobin](#), “A Proposal for Monetary Reform”, which many reform advocates now eagerly promulgate as the “Tobin tax”. [a27] It retained the international banksters' profiteering axiom of 'money as debt' quite intact, and like his learned colleagues at the Federal Reserve System routinely do, proposed some other erudite gibberish for how to green the yellowing leaves. Tobin began with the following synopsis of the problem domain in his presidential address at the 1978 conference of the Eastern Economic Association, Washington DC:

Over the last twenty years economists' prescriptions for reform of the international monetary system have taken various shapes. Their common premise was dissatisfaction with the Bretton Woods regime as it evolved in the 1950s. Robert Triffin awakened the world to the contradictions and instabilities of a system of pegged parities that relied on the debts in reserve

currencies, mostly dollars, to meet growing needs for official reserves. **Triffin and his followers saw the remedy as the internationalization of reserves and reserve assets; their ultimate solution was a world central bank.** Others diagnosed the problem less in terms of liquidity than in the inadequacies of balance of payments adjustment mechanisms in the modern world. The inadequacies were especially evident under the fixed-parity gold-exchange standard when, as in the 1960s, the reserve currency center was structurally in chronic deficit. These analysts sought better and more symmetrical "rules of the game" for adjustments by surplus and deficit countries, usually including more flexibility in the setting of exchange parities, crawling pegs, and the like. **Many economists, of whom Milton Friedman was an eloquent and persuasive spokesman, had all along advocated floating exchange rates, determined in private markets without official interventions.**

Thus notice that in the above description of the problem domain, not a single mention, by anyone, of money coined by private central banks as a national debt from which they directly profit, like many a blood sucking leach. **The conversation begins, very conveniently, posing an entirely different problem, as the key problem for monetary reform!** Is this scribe the only one who sees such ab initio obfuscation by the super learned, which, by its very design, cleverly circumscribes the entire discourse space, and hence masks the real problem and its effective solution?

Tobin continues:

By the early 1970s the third view was the dominant one in the economics profession, though not among central bankers and private financiers. And all of a sudden, thanks to Nixon and Connally, we got our wish. ... **Clearly, flexible rates have not been the panacea which their more extravagant advocates had hoped; international monetary problems have not disappeared from headlines or from the agenda of anxieties of central banks and governments.**

So the "*exchange rate regime*" wasn't the right problem to have solved for in the first place, as Tobin sheepishly observes from empirical results, for the problems persisted in the headlines then, and obviously still do today. The

solution identified was in-eficacious for the disease, because it obviously did not address the root cause of the real disease. And this is essentially what Tobin is confessing to, that it was the wrong medicine for a poorly diagnosed disease:

I believe that the basic problem today is not the exchange rate regime, whether fixed or floating. Debate on the regime evades and obscures the essential problem.

Okay, so let's see what is the new "*essential problem*" which Tobin identifies:

That is the excessive international-or better, inter-currency-mobility of private financial capital.

So the fundamental problem for monetary reform is now identified as "*currency mobility*":

Under either exchange rate regime the currency exchanges transmit disturbances originating in international financial markets. ... Specifically the mobility of financial capital limits viable differences among national interest rates and thus severely restricts the ability of central banks and governments to pursue monetary and fiscal policies appropriate to their internal economies.

Notice the error of obfuscation, of central banks are lumped with the government, and treated as benevolent entities operating in the best interest of the peoples just as governments are supposed to. With that as the unquestioned axiom, Tobin makes the accurate observation:

Likewise speculation on exchange rates, whether its consequences are vast shifts of official assets and debts or large movements of exchange rates themselves, have serious and frequently painful real internal economic consequences. Domestic policies are relatively powerless to escape them or offset them.

And then comes up with the wonderful solution space for this newly identified problem:

There are two ways to go. One is toward a common currency, common monetary and fiscal policy, and

economic integration. The other is toward greater financial segmentation between nations or currency areas, permitting their central banks and governments greater autonomy in policies tailored to their specific economic institutions and objectives. **The first direction, however appealing, is clearly not a viable option in the foreseeable future, i.e., the twentieth century. I therefore regretfully recommend the second, and my proposal is to throw some sand in the wheels of our excessively efficient international money markets.**

And to his great regret, that he can't immediately have world government of the central bankers as his first preferred solution, Tobin throws “*some sand in the wheels of our excessively efficient international money markets*”! He formulates both the problem, and its solution thusly:

At present the world enjoys many benefits of the increased worldwide economic integration of the last thirty years. But the integration is partial and unbalanced; in particular private financial markets have become internationalized much more rapidly and completely than other economic and political institutions. That is why we are in trouble. So I turn to the second, and second best, way out, forcing some segmentation of inter-currency financial markets.

Great – and that “*forcing some segmentation of inter-currency financial markets*” is his famed 'Tobin Tax', which many would-be monetary reformers now carry upon their own proud backs as the greatest invention since sliced bread! It is not un-interesting to observe that Tobin's language of “*economic integration, "one world" ideal, [of] a common currency, national financial and capital markets, and a single national monetary policy*”, almost mirrors that of David Rockefeller. And surely, his 'Tobin Tax' may well be a solution to some problem, but certainly not the most significant one which plagues all mankind – the spectre of debt-slavery!

This is, qualitatively, exactly equivalent to the mainstream focussing on the stated legitimacy of the 'war on terror' – for the suicide bombers are indeed a grotesque and observable reality – and axiomatically assuming that those going after them are the good guys doing so in self-defense! The famous dissent-stream only disagreeing to the extent that yes, these 'terrorists' are real, but it's “blowback”, and that we should not be using this for “imperial

mobilization"! But neither entertaining the thought that perhaps the threat of suicide bombings is being deliberately manufactured, and in order to make the threats appear credible and non-immanent, the bombings planned into existence! [a28]

After all, who did not see the planes crash into the tall buildings on television? Thus, keeping the first axiom of 911 – 'Bin Laden's invasion from abroad' – naturally intact, or unexamined, or deflected as "*endless controversy, [which] just gets in the way of dealing with the immediate situation*", all kinds of artificial discourse space, and its concomitant inefficacious solutions, are opened up for energetic debate in society. Being part of the same world-game, economists too conjure up their pet solutions keeping the sacred-cow axioms unquestioned, and then use the resulting failures and/or expected reactions as rationale for pushing their preferred overarching agenda. Tobin openly regretted in 1978 that the time wasn't ripe for pushing his "one world" agenda all the way home just yet:

Perhaps it is true that establishing a common currency and a central macro-economic policy will automatically generate the institutions, markets, and mobilities which make the system viable and its regional economic consequences everywhere tolerable. The risk is one that few are prepared to take. Moreover, EEC experience to date suggests that it is very hard to contrive a scenario of gradual evolution towards such a radically different regime, even though it could well be the global optimum.

Time has indeed been made ripe today, another thirty years further into the machinations for world government from when James Tobin wrote that! And that same overarching agenda – which Norman Dodd revealed, which Tobin confirmed, and which even Allen W. Dulles, the founding father of the CIA and its longest running Director, lamented in 1946 would require "***time - a long time - will be needed before world government is politically feasible***" – is now being pushed with the most forceful vigor by all the banksters and their minions [a29].

How much more confirmation does one need before the pig-headed men and women of substance – the much lauded persons of the arts, sciences, and the letters – will recognize what's staring one blatantly in the face? It is a calculated conspiracy which sees no price as too burdensome, no war as too onerous, and no extermination as too unsightly, for creating world

government!

It is also very convenient for the learned to mix up the 'highest order bit' with 'lower order bits' of a complex matter – irrespective of deliberately or inadvertently – for the plebes can hardly tell the difference. And that's just wonderful for creating clever red herrings when the latter are emphasized, and the former is ignored! Surely whatever one comes up with is always a solution to something, and that's just as undeniable as any pathetic tautology. But is it a solution to the 'most significant bit'? Has the problem itself been accurately diagnosed, and the systemic multi-lateral illness accurately mapped out to its very DNA? Not when the sacred-cow axioms remain untouchable! And this is indeed how one wins a Nobel Prize and lucrative appointments. [a30] In some cases, even stays alive.

To explain the commonsense concept of 'bit' drawn from electrical engineering, it's like having a “one” in the 7th decimal place, and also in the 2nd decimal place, to create the total amount One million and Ten dollars, \$1,000,010, and while auditing the books, focussing on the digit position which identifies the Ten dollars and not the one which identifies the Million! The significance of this is not lost to the banksters!

With that detailed analysis as the backdrop to warn the unwary mind of the unlimited methods at the disposal of a highly intellectual ruling elite which predominantly runs its affairs using political science 101, not good intentions 101, it is also important to emphasize that one monetary system does not necessarily fit all challenges, nor meet the needs of all nations.

Some nations are more agrarian, struggling with even the basics of daily existential necessities, and some are already in the post industrialization travails borne of superfluity and rape of poorer nations. Cultural sensitivities and social mores also make one size fit all an anathema to those peoples who don't always measure all that they value in dollars and cents!

But one basic principle of money does fit them all, and no nation's public is ever against it:

Power to coin a nation's money, and to manage its money supply, thus availability of credit to borrowers, must not be put in the for-profit interest-bearing indenting hands of private individuals and their banking institutions regardless of how kindly and benevolent their claimed motives, how great their claimed expertise, or how

compelling the expediency.

And yet, despite such a common principle uniting all the detractors of aggregated wealth and proponents of monetary reform, both their detailed analysis of the problems, as well as their proposed system-solutions, often suffers from their jumbled philosophies which almost act like 'religion'. That's partially because it is indeed 'religion' and passion for justice which drives the detractors, not business motives for personal gain, as it does their antagonists who little care for the purity, or lack thereof, of their fleecing system, and only remain focused on how to keep their befuddled flock in perpetual debt. The bankers therefore, apart from their enormous power and wealth, hold a practical and expedient advantage over the 'malcontents' who are seeped in idealism, and often with empty pockets.

Furthermore, all such proponents of a new monetary system even do not pursue a proper system design discipline. They invariably link their design to an imagined economic system of their preference, and none is able to perceive that one is a mechanism, the other is policy. Many economic policies, even full blown economic systems as diverse as socialism, to real free-market capitalism with winner take all, and every social balance in between, should be efficiently constructible on a properly architected monetary system mechanism which operates in the public interest. To understand the real challenges, please see [“Monetary Reform: Who will bell the cat?”](#) and [this response](#) to the petition in [“Open Letter to G-20”](#).

Therefore, it is unsurprising that some propose platitudes as solutions, forgetting that the Ten Commandments and the Golden Rule are at least 3000 years old but have made little impact on the real world of avarice and plunder. These include proposals for radical transformations as if revolutions are just around the corner. Some propose solutions which merely favor the private central-bankers themselves, knowingly or unwittingly is immaterial. Many of these are almost always cosmetic bandaids. These also include partial solutions that leave the core problems intact. “Religion” is most apparent in these arguments. An example of this is the gold standard, or the gold-equivalence standard pushed upon the world by the dominant victor of World War II at Bretton Woods. The “religion” in this case is protecting the asset holders against inflation at all cost.

A memorable oration in history on this “religious” discourse already exists. It was made by [William Jennings Bryan](#) over a century ago. [a31] The principles still remain the same, even as they were the same under which the

English forced the gold standard upon the colonies to bring the prosperous colonies back from coining their own money called 'colonial scrip', into the fold of debt enslavement to the British empire which monopolized the gold. [a32] This speech is worthy of review by any student, and proponent, of a gold backed standard. Project Humanbeingsfirst has taken its first analytic look at the idea of a limited 'precious commodity' backing a national currency, in "[Monetary Reform: First Look at the Gold Standard](#)".

In the ability to tell the nuanced differences therein, among outright BS, partial asininity born of misconceptions, idealism, and usefulness at different application hierarchies, lies the key that can practicably and immediately unlock the world from the debt shackles of the perpetual monetary conspiracy for world government. Coming together on one single point of focus, debt-free coining of money by a government, and single-mindedly driving that focus to the point of its political acceptance – as fait accompli – and leaving the design of the actual monetary system under that guiding principle to a transparent body chartered by the government, or Congress, as a public process, is the only sensible approach. Indeed, the only practical reform approach that will ever work.

But as those given to even a modicum of realism well understand, rectification of injustices is only possible either with the mighty hand of the victor's justice, or under the astute gamesmanship of balance of power. In this case, political power to affect legal solutions at all levels. Never on its own, regardless of the soundness of the platitudes or the solutions.

To build such a balance of power today that might be effective, does not seem to be in the capability arsenal of those proposing monetary reform solutions. A largely powerless peoples who cannot even fund one single economics think-tank of national consequence, and one single financial political action group of influence, never mind mustering the kind of lobbying-power before which powerful Congress persons and local law-makers, mayors, state governors, attorney generals, and newspaper editorialists might bow their head.

Realistically, I see no impact by monetary reformers at the national or international level. **For it is but a truism that those who control purse strings, control nations' destinies – the real golden rule on earth, as old as mankind!** To confiscate their purse-strings – as easy as a stroke of pen – is a revolutionary act for which there is no "Jesus" today to cleanse the Congress of the moneychangers. The Wall Street bailout with the new crown

of thorns, and which the [US Treasury Secretary Hank Paulson](#) [a33] is now brazenly attempting to extend to crucify all of mankind upon the new cross of a global monetary system, is proof-sufficient.

Finally, not wishing to end on the afore-stated pessimistic note as in the original version of this report, Project Humanbeingsfirst's position is the one principally reflected in the political-science notion of countering power with power and not platitudes, and principles of hegemony with principles for liberty, not ego nor preference for a particular "religion". The first loss of sovereignty of a nation, is the loss of controlling its money. The founding fathers of the United States of America understood that principle just as well as the founders of empire from time immemorial – as evidenced in this excerpt from an oped which appeared in the Times of London: [a34]

"If this mischievous financial policy, which has its origin in North America, shall become endured down to a fixture, then that Government will furnish its own money without cost. It will pay off debts and be without debt. It will have all the money necessary to carry on its commerce. It will become prosperous without precedent in the history of the world. The brains, and wealth of all countries will go to North America. That country must be destroyed or it will destroy every monarchy on the globe."

It entirely sums up Project Humanbeingsfirst's antithetical axiom adopted as its rallying cry for abolishing the Federal Reserve System – the power of private central banking – forcefully reclaiming, for all nations and all peoples, what President Lincoln had noted: [a35]

"The Government should create, issue, and circulate all the currency and credit needed to satisfy the spending power of the Government and the buying power of the consumers."

"The privilege of creating and issuing money is not only the supreme prerogative of Government, but it is the Government's greatest creative opportunity."

"By the adoption of these principles ... the taxpayers will be saved immense sums of interest. Money will cease to be master and become the servant of humanity."

Footnotes:

[a1] Zahir Ebrahim, Monetary Reform Bibliography, <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/monetary-reform-bibliography.html>

[a2] Stephen Lendman, The Financial Meltdown: This Time Is Different, October 22, 2008
<http://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=10647>

[a3] Warren Buffett, BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY INC. 2002 Annual Report, pages 13-15: *“Derivatives are financial weapons of mass destruction, carrying dangers that, while now latent, are potentially lethal”*, and, *“We view them as time bombs, both for the parties that deal in them and the economic system”* <http://www.berkshirehathaway.com/2002ar/2002ar.pdf>

[a4] Zahir Ebrahim, Monetary Reform: Who will bell the cat?, <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/monetary-reform-who-will-bell-the-cat.html>

[a5] Raphael Eitan, quoted in: Former Israeli army chief drowns, BBC News, Nov. 23, 2004, *“When we have settled the land, all the Arabs will be able to do about it will be to scurry around like drugged cockroaches in a bottle.”*
http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle_east/4034765.stm

[a6] Norman Dodd, Testimony on Regionalism, September 26, 1978. Transcript of Public Hearing – Joint Committee on Regional Government – September 26, 1978, Edwardsville, Illinois, Norman Dodd – pgs 51-61
<http://www.sweetliberty.org/issues/regionalism/dodd.htm>

[a7] Zahir Ebrahim, Monetary Reform: Who will bell the cat?, <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/monetary-reform-who-will-bell-the-cat.html>

[a8] Zahir Ebrahim, Open Letter to Hon. Ron Paul Supporters October 29, 2008 <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/open-letter-to-ronpaul-supporters.html>

[a9] Zahir Ebrahim, Letter to Noam Chomsky on Steven Jones seminal paper on the destruction of WTC towers, April 21, 2008
<http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/04/letter-noamchomsky-jones-paper.html>

[a10] Noam Chomsky, putting out his pathetic “911” Seven Stories Press booklet to tote his broken horn of “blowback” and state sponsored terrorism immediately after 9/11, retaining all the core-axioms seeded by the Pentagon and the White House, not to mention making a ton of money on it at the expense of devastated civilizations, has left this scribe intellectually standing quite alone to fend for himself, without any priests! See Noam Chomsky, *Closet Capitalist*, by Peter Schweizer, Hoover Institution, <http://www.hoover.org/publications/digest/2912626.html> who quotes Chomsky: *“If you look at the things I write—articles for Z Magazine, or books for South End Press, or whatever—they are mostly based on talks and meetings and that kind of thing. But I’m kind of a parasite. I mean, I’m living off the activism of others. I’m happy to do it.”* Peter Schweizer further observes: *“Chomsky’s marketing efforts shortly after September 11 give new meaning to the term war profiteer. In the days after the tragedy, he raised his speaking fee from \$9,000 to \$12,000 because he was suddenly in greater demand. He also cashed in by producing another instant book. Seven Stories Press, a small publisher, pulled together interviews conducted via e-mail that Chomsky gave in the three weeks following the attack on the Twin Towers and rushed the book to press. His controversial views were hot, particularly overseas. By early December 2001, the publisher had sold the foreign rights in 19 different languages. The book made the best-seller list in the United States, Canada, Germany, India, Italy, Japan, and New Zealand. It is safe to assume that he netted hundreds of thousands of dollars from this book alone.”* The following video clip of Noam Chomsky's interview on CBC is also disturbing to observe, in that while he very eruditely questions the overt motivations of the 'rogue state' bombing Afghanistan as outright criminal, he leaves unquestioned, the core-axiom upon which the state sponsored terrorism itself was based – he does not question the government's narrative of 911. Just like once before, for the JFK's assassination, Chomsky did not question that official narrative either. Noam Chomsky still maintained, in his email communication as of 2008 with this scribe, that 'Bin Laden' had done 911, and he scoffs at those who might argue that the only person who couldn't have done the controlled demolition of WTC-7 on which no plane hit, is a yogi sitting on his rump in the Hindu-Kush, armed to the teeth with AK-47s, cell phones, laptops, and prayers! Nevertheless, apparently, it is acceptable to the 'rogue state' that controlled critique be permitted on its “imperialism” upon others in order to channel and manage a controlled dissent on what is already obvious to all and sundry, and to vigorously prevent dissent from being extended to what might really interfere with its agendas and complex magic-shows such as genuinely conscionable thinking

peoples correctly adding two plus two equals four and effectively mobilizing protest in millions based upon it. Protests of a few hundred thousand is merely “*focus group*”. It will remain so as long as there is an external enemy to continually scare the peoples with. Protest of ten million in major cities however, when the enemy is known to be within, an inside job, becomes “*democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization*”. Chomsky had explained 'Manufacturing Consent'. This scribe has explained 'Manufacturing Dissent' in “Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science”
<http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/06/wmd-master-social-science.html> (Part 1 of 2 CBC Interview)
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=10rTPSSmOFw> (Part 2 of 2 CBC Interview) <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bieFwutoqvA>

[a11] Paul Joseph Watson, Howard Zinn: “I Don’t Care” If 9/11 Was An Inside Job, Tuesday, November 18, 2008, <http://www.prisonplanet.com/howard-zinn-i-dont-care-if-911-was-an-inside-job.html> Transcript from video clip <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S-WQ5z53IW8> In response to a question by Buddy Moore, Independent Candidate for US Senate from Colorado, Howard Zinn stated: “*Of course as I told you, I never believe the government, or rarely believe the government. Do I believe the government version of what happened? Well, I am skeptical. Do I believe that the government was in the conspiracy to do this? I don't know. I don't know enough about the situation, and the truth is, I don't care that much. That's past. ... the whole argument that the people are engaged in, about, was the government behind a conspiracy to blow up the two towers, to me that's a diversion from what we really have to do, deal with the fact that whatever, **whoever was behind 9/11, the government took advantage of that, to take us to war, and to put us on a disastrous course, and it's that war, those wars, that disastrous course we have to deal with. I don't want to go back to the controversy that I think is endless controversy, and just gets in the way of dealing with the immediate situation.***” Howard Zinn not dealing with the first-cause enabler of “imperial mobilization” lends automatic endorsement to the government's axiomatic propagandistic lie, that there is an external enemy. And it is precisely that propaganda which keeps the fire of “doctrinal motivation and intellectual commitment” lighted underneath all the wars that Howard Zinn does want to deal with! So even for pragmatically dealing with the “*immediate situation*”, directly dealing with the first-pretext cause to yank away the very fuel of “doctrinal motivation” might appear to the sound of mind to be the most efficacious and sensible course of action. Therefore, deliberately not dealing with it only lends zero efficacy to all the subsequent dissent because the existence of the enemy remains unchallenged. That's

just peachy for the government, isn't it? By its more logical name, such devious support of the ruling elite's mission, might rightly be called 'Manufacturing Dissent'! So much for the intellectuals of the West – where “truth” is a commodity, like everything else.

[a12] Zahir Ebrahim, Responsibility of Intellectuals – Redux, <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2007/03/responsibility-of-intellectuals-redux.html>

[a13] Noam Chomsky, in an interview with Barry Pateman at M.I.T., <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nO2e0DrnYg4> makes the following coldly accurate observation on deliberate attitude control being calculatingly practiced upon the public in free-societies by its ruling-elite, but then, quite inexplicably, fails to apply that same observation to his own blind acceptance of government propaganda of 'Bin laden' did 911, just like his friend Howard Zinn (op. cit.), also forgetting his own decades' old moralizing proclamations on the responsibility of intellectuals **“Intellectuals are in a position to expose the lies of governments, to analyze actions according to their causes and motives and often hidden intentions.”** (Ibid.). Transcript from video clip, 7:15 to 8:50, *“The people who understand this the best, are those who are carrying out the control of domination. In the more free societies like the United States and England, where popular struggles have won a lot of freedom over the years, and the state has limited capacity to coerce, there is a very striking, that it is precisely in those societies, that elite groups, the business world, and state managers, and so on, recognized early on, that they are going to have to develop massive methods of control of attitude and opinion, because you can't control people by force anymore. And therefore, you have to modify their consciousness, so that they don't perceive that they are living under conditions of alienation, oppression, subordination, and so on. In fact, that's what, probably a couple of trillion dollars a year are spent on this in the United States, very self-consciously, I mean from the framing of television advertisements for two-year olds, to what you are taught in graduate school economics programs. It's designed to create a kind of a consciousness of subordination, and it's also intended, specifically, and pretty consciously, to suppress normal human emotions.”* For a deconstruction of this **“you have to modify their consciousness”** paradigm to create both conformity of views among the sheep, and harmlessness of dissent among the thoughtful, see Project Humanbeingsfirst report “Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science” <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/06/wmd-master-social->

science.html

[a14] Zahir Ebrahim, The attack of 'Al-Qaeeda' and Pakistani 'loose nukes', <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/04/alqaeeda-loose-nukes-pakistan.html> and 'Bin Laden': Key enabler of “imperial mobilization” <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/04/binladen-keyenabler-nuclearattack.html>

[a15] Zahir Ebrahim, Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science, <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/06/wmd-master-social-science.html>

[a16] This scribe in his youthful years benefited greatly from the voluminous works, as well as from the courage, of both Noam Chomsky and Howard Zinn. The former taught this scribe a couple of his famous foreign policy classes at M.I.T., and the latter very kindly wrote a recommendation letter for the book “Prisoners of the Cave” to the publishers <http://prisonersofthecave.blogspot.com/2007/04/acknowledgment.html> This scribe's humble interlocution of Noam Chomsky on matters du jour can be read at: <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2007/02/test-post1.html#Z-and-Noam-Chomsky>

[a17] Noam Chomsky, quoted, op. cit. Responsibility of Intellectuals – Redux.

[a18] Noam Chomsky, Chomsky talks back: Protestors story is ‘mere deceit’, Letter to Editor Newton Tab, Tue Apr 24, 2007, <http://www.wickedlocal.com/newton/opinions/x2027601628>

[a19] Noam Chomsky, on The Clash of Civilization, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qT64TNho59I>

[a20] Zahir Ebrahim, No Exits on this Super-Highway! <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/09/no-exits-on-this-super-highway.html>

[a21] Richard Cook, An Emergency Program of Monetary Reform for the United States, April 26, 2007, and, Monetary Reform and How a National Monetary System Should Work, May 11, 2007, both by globalresearch, <http://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=5615>

[a22] Edward Hamlyn, The New Money Text Book, 2007, British Association for Monetary Reform,

http://www.monetaryreform.org/moneytextbook/The_New_Money_Text_Book.pdf

[a23] Stephen Zarlenga, The Need for Monetary Reform, AMI,
http://www.monetary.org/need_for_monetary_reform.html

[a24] Money Masters: The Monetary Reform Act,
<http://www.themoneymasters.com/mra.htm>

[a25] Germans get by without the euro, UK Telegraph, 18 Jan 2007
<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/2802861/Germans-get-by-without-the-euro.html>

[a26] President George W. Bush, February 23, 2003, *"First of all, you know, size of protest, it's like deciding, well, I'm going to decide policy based upon a focus group."* <http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2003/02/20030218-1.html>

[a27] James Tobin, A Proposal for Monetary Reform, Eastern Economic Journal, July/October 1978, pp. 153-159.
<http://www.globalpolicy.org/socecon/plotax/currtax/original.htm>

[a28] Project Humanbeingsfirst, A letter to the American Peoples, May 11, 2008, <http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/05/letter-to-american-peoples.html>

[a29] News reports cited in <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/monetary-reform-bibliography.html#Pertinent-News-Reports>

[a30] Zahir Ebrahim, Monetary Reform: Who will bell the cat?,
<http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/monetary-reform-who-will-bell-thecat.html>

[a31] William Jennings Bryan, Cross of Gold, July 9, 1896, Speech at the Democratic National Convention in Chicago,
<http://historymatters.gmu.edu/d/5354/>

[a32] The Money Masters – How International Bankers Gained Control of America, 215 minutes: <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-515319560256183936>

[a33] Project Humanbeingsfirst, Press Release October 09 2008, This may

be a psy-op! <http://pressreleases-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/pr-resp-wmr-fema-martiallaw-oct092008.html>

[a34] Times of London, oped text cited in: The Money Masters – How International Bankers Gained Control of America, 215 minutes:
<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-515319560256183936>

[a35] Abraham Lincoln, Ibid.

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents [here](#)), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <http://PrisonersoftheCave.org>. He may be reached at <http://Humanbeingsfirst.org>. Verbatim reproduction license at <http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org#Copyright>.

Copyright Notice:

All material copyright (c) Project Humanbeingsfirst™, with full permission to copy, repost, and reprint, in its entirety, unmodified and unedited, for any purpose, granted, provided the URL sentence and this copyright notice are also reproduced verbatim as part of this license, and not doing so may be subject to copyright license violation infringement claims pursuant to remedies noted at <http://www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap5.html>. The rights of the author to express these views are based on inalienable rights noted at <http://www.hrweb.org/legal/undocs.html>, and to do so freely without suffering intimidation and duress. All quotations and excerpts are based on non-profit "fair use" in the greater public interest consistent with the understanding of laws noted at <http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.html>. Full copyright notice and Exclusions at <http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org>.

1123200803004511294

The Monetary Conspiracy for World Government 26/26

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

FAQ2008

FAQ2008

- Please could you simplify all this Project Humanbeingsfirst verbiage?
- Please take me to America's 'War on Terror' (faq)
- Please take me to Pakistan's destabilization (faq)
- Please take me to a better understanding of Iran's destabilization
- Please take me to a better understanding of the conquest of Palestine
- Please take me to a better understanding of the conquest of Iraq
- Please take me to a better understanding of the Nuclear Security issues

Modernity Simplified

What is all this verbiage by Project Humanbeingsfirst™ really all about? Is there a reductionist and simplified explanation in terms of first principles for all this complexity of modernity that gives birth to such urgent matters of human concern in the first place?

Yes. In the simplest terms: 'Might defines right' leads to "**Hegemony is as old as mankind**", which in turn leads to **If we don't have real enemies then**

we have to create or imagine some and yell 'we are being attacked' in order to a) justify one's hegemonic barbarianism upon others; and b) motivate an unwilling plebeian peoples into sacrificing for the conquests of the oligarchic elite. **Euphemistically: “*imperial mobilization*”. Grotesquely: “*quest for Lebensraum*”.**

That's all there is to it. This “*quest for Lebensraum*” of the oligarchs just happens to be the age-old quest for one-world government, to be achieved piece-meal, one fait accompli at a time, one fabricated crisis at a time. The solution presented for addressing each manufactured crisis becomes the next baby-step towards centralized global control of all humanity in a global empire of the oligarchs.

The term 'oligarchic elite' refers to people who are several notches above – and more hidden from public view in their enormous wealth and their secretive exercise of 'social engineering' – than what is typically understood by the term 'ruling elite', or simply the 'elite'. The latter often refers to the generally affluent 1% of any society, more interested in being rich and running corporations than in 'social engineering', and many among them can be found in the Forbes' richest people listing. But one will never see a Rothschild, or David Rockefeller listed in Forbes billionaires list. That's perhaps because they are trillionaires, with all their massive wealth legally hidden behind private tax-exempt foundations, and in their opaque ownership of private banks, which in turn own many a private central bank! They can muster vast sums of private monies for 'social engineering', and administer untold funds through their tax-exempt foundations and think-tanks. They are the proverbial 'king makers' who craft 'errand boys' to do their policy bidding.

A minimalist expansion of some of these fundamentals to explain modernity in the present context is [here](#). A more detailed expansion is [here](#).

To unmask them all is Project Humanbeingsfirst's imperative!

Only our progeny might bear truthful witness to the sham of intellectualism of their hypocritical ancestors – scholars, leaders, military-men, politicians, news-

bearers, both in the West and the East, on the left and the right – who hath proclaimed to stand for anything, but who only aided and abetted the oligarchs with their convolutions. The modernity du jour is entirely unwilling to unravel their confusing and deceptive narratives. We must wait a 100 years, or perhaps 20, before anyone who is a somebody will truthfully proclaim how new 'smallpox laden blankets' were used to fashion world government.

This is why, as had been predicted by the Council on Foreign Relations in 1974:

“... it will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”

That is Modernity Simplified to the level of a 'poor-man' (i.e., one with limited ability or time to read, write, and reason).

Please take me to America's 'War on Terror' (faq)

- [Do you have a perspective on Israel's 60th birthday for the press?](#)
- [Do you have a perspective oped which explains America's “War on Terror” for our mainstream press so that they can stop publishing misinformed chatter?](#)
- [Do you have a short compilation of essential readings on the 'WAR on TERROR' for the press?](#)
- [I am the Washington Post oped editor and our opinion writers and editorial board all seem to think that we are about to be run over](#)

by 'militant Islamists'! Can you kindly explain all this in its most simplified form for our brilliant minds?

- I am the NYT/LAT oped editor and we are really getting tired of turning down your submissions. What's the one oped you'd like us to publish?
- I am the Time/Newsweek editor and we would like to run a front cover story that can prevent the abhorrent crossing of the nuclear Rubicon. What do you have for us?
- I am a brilliant Washington Post columnist and I assert: "Pakistan, with its two dozen nuclear weapons, popular and official support for Kashmiri and Taliban terrorism, and political instability, is ultimately a greater threat to world peace than Afghanistan and Iraq combined." How do you respond?
- "Welcome to the new Israel: Three thousand years old, and going on sixty"
- And this is how we celebrate!
- I am an Israeli Jew and the world needs to deal with "radical Islam" and "islamofascists"
- I am a Jew living in exile and I am only trying to return to my ancestral Eretz Yisrael not from three thousand years ago, but from where the "Jews from various Moslim countries in the last 60 years that Israel has been an independent state" have been forcibly expelled – "The Jews are no settlers of colonialism"!
- I am a Jewish American and I support Israel because "a person is closer to his own friends, tribe, and people."
- Can you tell me what's the significance of a Palestinian author

winning the supposedly prestigious 2008 Orwell Prize? I had never heard of this prize before!

- I am the newsmedia and can you tell us what's wrong with Hamas accepting Israel's generous offer of 'two-state solution'?
- I am a poorly informed ordinary American and I really don't understand why the Palestinian peoples are trying to destroy Israel?
- I am a well informed rational American and you seem to be just as fixated with Zbigniew Brzezinski's nonsense of "Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization" as the rest of his detractors. May one point towards Democratic Israel, where its Jewish peoples are not only willingly participating in the barbaric conquest of Palestine, but also serving in the IDF to do it themselves?
- What's a red herring?
- I am a Palestinian and you are preaching to the choir – tell me something I don't know!
- Okay Project KNOW-IT-ALL, how can I get my home back in Palestine?
- I am the US Government and I assert that we are faced with a grave 'Clash of Civilizations'!
- I am US Military and this war is very real!
- I am the US Marines and I assert Bin Laden is a real threat to our security!
- I am an American and I think the 'Islamists' pose a real danger to Western Civilization – they are barbarians!

- I hate the Middle East – is it such a crime to nuke em?
- Okay I don't hate the Middle East, only the Ayatoallahs?
- But but but ... they took our hostages and and ...
- Okay, okay, but look, now the Iranians are provoking us?
- But our President is really worried that: “At this moment, somewhere in the world, terrorists are planning new attacks on our country. Their goal is to bring destruction to our shores that will make September the 11th pale by comparison.”
- I am an American news-anchor and we have done a pretty good job keeping the American peoples informed on the 'war on terror'!
- I am an editor for the New York Times and we do a pretty comprehensive job of analyzing the 'global war on terror' for the Americans and the world as “all the news that's fit to print”. We however do not support anti-American and anti-Semitic gratuitous BS espoused in such crap as “We should not forget that the U.S. itself is a leading terrorist state” and the “greatest purveyor of violence in the world today”! That's all Iranian propaganda against our country!
- I am the largest corporate owner of NBC, ABC, CBS, CNN, FOX, NYT, Reuters and Associated Press, and my personal self-interests and ideological alliances do not influence the objectivity in the newsroom where the editors and reporters are hired based only on their professional skills and not their ideological bent that is suited to my self-interests. I don't write their editorial or hiring policies; how can I ever influence the newsroom? Those who allege otherwise are only maliciously slandering our wonderful freedom of the Press!

- This is America, not Germany – and I hate America bashers
- I am a 'Good American' – why does that make me guilty?
- Well they just hate us because they are jealous – we never did anything to them
- If we are really so bad, why do they come to America
- Come-on, this ain't the Third Reich – I find this offensive!
- Okay okay you finally convinced me! Thanks for your efforts – but... but... but... what can I do? I am just too busy trying to pay my bills and I don't like street protests and all that hooliganism! Besides, I am a law abiding person and like my-self and my-country very much. I just want to make it a bit less un-just and a bit less barbaric upon others! Do you have any immediate suggestions that can have any efficacy?
- I am Law Enforcement – where shall I start my arrest sequence?
- I am a 'hectoring hegemon' and I think “You bunch of losers” must 'Get real': “The world is lucky we didn't unleash a nuclear arsenal on several different countries, and what would the rest of the world be able to do about it”?
- I am an ordinary American and how can I make America a truly great empire for all its real peoples, and also for all the world's real peoples? I actually want us to be a benign empire that benefits everyone, not a barbaric one of Daarth Vaider! What steps should I take today that can lead to substantial difference within my own lifetime – say in 5 years, 10 years, and 20 years?
- I am a fair-minded ordinary Westerner who believes in democracy, and I wonder how comes so few American

intellectuals actually propose any effective infrastructure solutions to the barbarianism of 'empire' that they all freely talk about using their precious Western freedoms? These thinking gadflies mostly keep rehearsing histories ex post facto, writing terrific books on what is already a fait accompli, and making speeches full of truisms and moralizing sermons. Most have become fabulously wealthy peddling their dissent – from bestsellers to speaking fees to Hollywood productions to entire businesses – laughing their way to the bank as they glibly describe the crimes of empire. But hardly anyone proposes effective solutions, or works on building advocacy programs, institutions and infrastructure frameworks that can have any measurable impact on policy-shifts towards fairness. I have yet to encounter a well funded effective think-tank or influential lobby-group for 'peace and justice' in any Western country – whereas there are literally hundreds constructing the hegemonic policy instruments of empire. The empirical efficacy of these supposed gadflies to averting the multifaceted crimes of 'empire' since the end of WWII – from 'Truman Doctrine' to 'Bush Doctrine', from neoliberalism to neoconservatism, and from staged client-states to staged terror events as covert-ops – is exactly and precisely ZERO! Is the famed Western 'dissent-space' really the empire's own gigantic red herring to effectively deliver to its conscionable peoples only incremental faits accomplis of hegemony by keeping them on various treadmills that lead nowhere? How can I tell? Is there a simple touchstone I can use to ascertain who is shilling for whom, and why these gadflies don't "sleep with the fishes" as the genuinely real threats to power often do?

- Wait a minute, what about influential and well funded Western organizations like Amnesty International and the Human Rights Commission who work for the betterment of common man? And what about all those awesomely funded Western think-tanks like the global crisis groups and various NGOs that operate in many

developing countries to take up liberal causes of their oppressed peoples. Are you calling them red herrings too? How can I tell? Is there a touchstone or metric I can use to adjudicate whether they are also effectively shilling for 'empire' in various guises?

- I am a fair-minded Jewish American and I find all this anti-Semitic talk of “The Rise of the Jewish Empire” and the “The Jewish Conquest of America” nauseating. What do empires have to do with being 'Jewish'? Call the American instincts for hegemony criminal if you prefer to 'call a spade a spade'. But calling it 'Jewish' is genuinely anti-Semitic!
- I am an American peace activist and you are preaching to the choir – but “I do not believe that the US will attack Iran during this Bush administration. I believe that it is the judgment of the powers that be (as articulated by Brzezinski on behalf of his patron, David Rockefeller) that Bush pursued their goals, but did so in such an inept manner that he has to be curbed. The Iraqi Study Group was put in place to help manage things, but when Bush wouldn't cooperate, he was forced to replace Rumsfeld with Gates. Brzezinski's comments before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee were not, in my opinion, encouragement for a false flag attack to justify an attack on Iran. Instead, it was a warning, a preventative step. When one publicly reveals a criminal plot, then it becomes impossible to carry it out.” In favor of this truism, let's just ignore the Mein Kampf of the Third Reich – that was an anomaly of history!
- Why would anyone in the advanced West, let alone the super sophisticated peoples of America, want to read a book like “Prisoners of the Cave” written by some unknown Pakistani plebeian that hasn't even been published?
- How do I know “Prisoners of the Cave” is any good when no mainstream publisher even wants to touch it? Has anyone even

reviewed it?

Please take me to Pakistan's Destabilization (faq)

- Please take me to who might have assassinated Pakistan's former Prime Minister
- Please take me to who might be the “insurgents” fighting the Pakistan Army
- I am the President of Pakistan and I don't have much time – can you give me a brief recipe that can help me save Pakistan's ass now that I have messed things up?
- I am Pakistani Military and how can I save Pakistan's ass? Please tell me frankly and I won't hang you under the Army Act 2007!
- I am Pakistani ruling elite and I so want to understand what this 'war on terror' is really all about and why Pakistan is being destabilized – the big picture. But I am just too busy and don't have time to read a lot.
- I am Pakistani Intelligence, no it's not a nonsequitur, and I want to know who killed Benazir Bhutto?
- I am a Pakistani journalist and I witnessed poor Benazir Bhutto being blown up by the Al Qaeda! I know who killed her. “Why is it difficult to believe that the same Islamist network that tried to eliminate President Musharraf, Shaukat Aziz, Aftab Sherpao and Benazir Bhutto on October 18 may be responsible for her murder on December 27?”

- I am a genuinely patriotic Pakistani politician and I think Al Qaeeda is more than just real; it is the biggest threat to humanity since Hitler. I too believe 'that the fight against militants is “our war”, not just America’s war'!
- I am the famous patriotic Pakistani Black-Coats and I know all about 'rule of law' and legalism, including international law, Pakistani law, signing the PCO, not signing the PCO, the role of Pakistani judiciary in aiding and abetting Pakistani military dictators and then protesting in Black after the hearty meal of 900 mice, crime and punishment, pirates and emperors, and of course, all about the proper functioning of a working democracy which serves its peoples rather than the imperial interests. As I am well read in both Machiavellian and Orwellian state-craft, and especially in the 'technique of infamy', I judicially assert that this 'Al Qaeeda' menace is for real and that they will indeed hijack our 'loose nukes'! We must relentlessly wage a war of terror upon this “very petri dish of international terrorism” and I will not permit any challenges to this governmental policy in my patriotic court rooms!
- I am a liberal moderate Pakistani and I think the 'radical islamists' have really made Pakistan 'Terror Central'!
- I am a conservative religious Pakistani Muslim and I think this is West's war against Islam!
- I am a brilliant Pakistani academic, a notable scientist, an astute political thinker, a prominent gadfly, a Human Rights activist, and Bin Laden is certainly for real! He magically carried out 911 from his cave in Afghanistan just like the Pentagon says it did; and Al-Qaeeda is an enormous 'threat from within' to Pakistan and to the rest of the world just like the White House says it is! And yes I am a gadfly – look, I even call what America is doing to the world “imperialism”. In this 'war on terror', we are faced with an epic battle “Between Imperialism and Islamism”!

- I am a left-wing enlightened Pakistani, an atheist, a secular humanist, and all these religion-totting antediluvian fundos are incurably suffering from 'god delusion'!
- I am a right-wing conservative Pakistani and I think all these Westernized secularized godless left-wingers who claim 'humanism' as their god are destined for hell!
- I am a brilliant Washington Post columnist and I assert: “Pakistan, with its two dozen nuclear weapons, popular and official support for Kashmiri and Taliban terrorism, and political instability, is ultimately a greater threat to world peace than Afghanistan and Iraq combined.”

- ### -

URL for this FAQ2008: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/10/faq2008.html>

This is the unified version of the 2008 FAQs at URLs:

<http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/04/please-take-me-to-america-faq.html>

<http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/04/please-take-me-to-pakistan-faq.html>

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

[Who is more guilty of monumental war crimes - the prime-movers or trigger pullers? April 09, 2009](#)

Who is more guilty of monumental war crimes – the prime-movers or trigger pullers?

Zahir Ebrahim, Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

Date April 09 2009, 9:00 AM PST

In Ref To: [Murder Trumps Torture Says Bugliosi](#)

- "I have to say that I'm disappointed in the president ..." -- [Vincent Bugliosi](#)

Does Mr. Vincent Bugliosi not realize that the respected president of the United States, past, and present, are part of an interlocked corrupt oligarchic system of **which vanilla or chocolate is merely the icing on the devil's cake?**



Vanilla or Chocolate is merely the icing on the devil's cake!

Please see:

[Response to 'U.S. Perpetuates Mass Killings In Iraq by Peter Phillips' March 29, 2009](#)

[Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy! October 22, 2008](#)

There is something inherently gone wrong in a nation when its brightest and most moral peoples become inexplicably limited in their conceptionalization of the social discourse, and their moral discourse – but of course, even Noam Chomsky is afraid of the charge otherwise, of 'conspiracy theorist', for even he, as “*arguably the most important intellectual alive*”, prefers to assert that a monk in the Hindu Kush orchestrated 911. Although, it is certainly fashionable to simultaneously charge the United States government for crimes against humanity for its imperialistic aggression. But not to question its untouchable prime-mover axioms which enable that aggression in the first place.

Interestingly, it is also the intellectual who informs the ordinary plebeian how any discourse is meaningfully controlled in a democratic society, to varying degrees of course:

“The smart way to keep people passive and obedient is to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allow very lively debate within that spectrum - even encourage the more critical and dissident views. That gives people the sense that there's free thinking going on, while all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range of the debate.” -- [Noam Chomsky](#)

If only Mr. Vincent Bugliosi – and I do respect him greatly for going one step further by asserting “**Murder Trumps Torture**” than Professor Jonathon Turley whom I also greatly respect – will go all the way to full consummation of justice, Western intellectualism and Western morality might start carrying a bit more weight with a lowly plebeian like me beyond it being just a “**lively debate**”.

So far, it only rings true of the more expedient words of the Chief Justice of the United States:

“Nothing is more certain in modern society than the principle that there are no absolutes, that a name, a phrases, a standard has meaning only when associated with the considerations which give birth to nomenclature. To those who would paralyze our Government in the face of impending threat by encasing it in a semantic strait-jacket, we must reply that all concepts are relative.” -- [Justice Vinson](#), U.S. Supreme Court, 1951 AD

Only the degree of the “**semantic strait-jacket**” differs between the various protagonists of justice, that's all.

When will someone who is a 'somebody' unequivocally assert the following in the entire United States? Who will bring charges of murder and treason, subversion and sedition, and monumental crimes against humanity to the table of the globalist oligarchs?

The text below from a previous run on the same treadmill does not change in its substance

even if the word "Impeachment" is replaced by Mr. Vincent Bugliosi's more daringly accurate charge of "Murder". But it might be worthwhile to also non-Polyanishly recall that "**All murderers are punished unless they kill in large numbers and to the sound of trumpets**". This is how President George W. Bush murdered. It is also the basis upon which his successor President Obama is being the judge-jury-executioner even as we speak, by authorizing the drone attacks on Pakistan which is killing innocent men women and children whose only crime is to be in the path of "**imperial mobilization**" enroute to **one-world government**, and by aiding and abetting Israel in its Shoah of the Palestinians from his very first day in office. But President Obama's agenda goes much farther – if only one is willing to not remain blinded to the proclivities of his handlers, none of which is particularly a state-secret. Are all of these manifest monumental crimes of the President's own orchestration, or are they according to a long-held pre-planned agenda of the oligarchs who whet and install presidents, and who replace them with a new face whenever it suits them? Besides, only the victors have ever succeeded in administering 'justice', and only a 'victor's justice' at that, to all such murderers – who are otherwise, always, 'aptly' celebrated as 'Emperors', and 'The Great'!

Full text of: [Impeachment alone does not solve the problem! June 13, 2008](#)

Let the people not forget, amidst all this hoopla and excitement over the 35 Articles of Impeachment against George W. Bush, the two-term 43rd President of the mighty superpower United States of America, that:

- 1) A new set of monumental criminals are ready and waiting in the wings.
- 2) Who will re-instate the devastated tabula rasa of innocent nations? Who will bring sanity back to the lives of the barely living who have seen DU and Daisy Cutters rained down upon their loved ones? Who will pay them fair and just compensation for their cataclysmic loss, pain and suffering?

And those today waiting in the wings have each indicated their desire to nuclear decimate Iran. Pakistan can't be too far behind judging from the continuous mantras of "loose nukes" and "terrorists" emanating at an accelerated pace from its mightiest think-tanks.

- 3) Therefore, unless you kill the DNA, this round of 'Roundup' is only going to temporarily do away with this crop of deadly blood-sucking weeds!

- 4) And while for the Americans, it has taken some 4000 to 10000 dead, some say considerably more if the paid mercenaries of the private armies are counted, plus the 3000 innocent who died on 911 under the rubble of controlled demolition, the innocent dead in Iraq, Afghanistan, and the poisoning of their habitat and their DNA with DU for all future times, has taken at least three to four orders of magnitude greater toll today, and forever mounting in the future.

As part of atonement that barely even reaches the level of sincerity that a victim can even begin to accept, first in principle, and then in execution, full restitution and compensation for all this pain and suffering must equally be on the table!

Otherwise, perhaps this impeachment exercise may fool the American public about the famed American democracy in action – it fools no one else!

Least of all the victims – from Cambodia to Iraq, and heavens forbid, now Iran and Pakistan. The impeachment of Nixon did as much for Laos and Vietnam, as impeachment of Bush will do for Iraq and Afghanistan.

5) A bunch of Zionofascist criminals can so easily hijack a superpower nation with a 'legal' coup d'etat that isn't even recognized as such – something more than just impeachment is necessary!

The system is not only broken, it was crippled at birth – for it is so easily hijackable – from Wounded Knee to Iran, with new "Pearl Harbors" cleverly used for "imperial mobilization" from the USS Maine to 911 to the new nookular '911' that President Bush keeps warning the Americans and the world about in these ominous words as late as February 13, 2008: "Good morning [America]. At this moment, somewhere in the world, terrorists are planning new attacks on our country. Their goal is to bring destruction to our shores that will make September the 11th pale by comparison." See Project Humanbeingsfirst's Press Releases of May 11, 2008, and June 12, 2008, and its underlying fundamentals in "From Balance of Terror to Unilateral Terror on the Grand Chessboard!"

Unless recognition of all of these afore-stated points is driven home as part of this 'spraying of Roundup' on this crop of monumental criminals, new set of killer-weeds lie just beneath the surface to carry on with the imperative of spreading the imperial virus of "primacy and its geostrategic imperatives".

It is one continuous predatory imperative, from the Truman Doctrine, to the Carter Doctrine, to the Reagan Doctrine, and now to the Bush Doctrine. What did impeachment of Richard Nixon ever accomplish or deter, never mind restore to America's millions of untold and unaccounted victims in Asia and South America?

Unless the mainstream embedded newsmedia, the embedded think-tankers and policy planners, the embedded oped-writers, the embedded NYT to WP editorial chain, the embedded ABC to FOX news chain, and the 'ubermensch' masterminds from William Kristol to all the PNAC participants to Donald Rumsfeld to Dick Cheney to Zbigniew Brzezinski to Henry Kissinger to Paul Wolfowitz et. al., are equally consigned to Guantanamo Bay, all of their considerable wealth and fortunes and trusts confiscated, and the monumental criminals of humanity among them – as determined in trials similar to the one accorded to Eichmann in Jerusalem by his surviving victims and their traumatized families – are given full 'enemy combatant' protocol, America's democracy is only the Godfather's democracy!

The sons quietly takes over from the forcibly retired godfather, but with the full team intact, with all the financiers, power-brokers, policy-makers, and puppetmasters still closely holding the strings, the newsmedia still ready to toot their mantras, and all ready to go back into the global business of "full spectrum dominance" to construct the "new world order" of "one world government" after re-constituting the front faces of their family!

With that reluctant re-facing as the last fallback option of the hectoring hegemons, please mark these humble words: before this Administration is ever impeached, the grotesque reality which has been so systematically and painstakingly put in place over the past seven years, will surely see the COG machinery running this country! Before anyone can become a real threat

to this status quo, and if the threat cannot be deflected somehow, and no sacrificial lamb can be burnt at stake to soothe the anger of the plebeians now seeking blood, the key harbingers of such a threat will be made to “sleep with the fishes”!

All it takes is to make an offer that one cannot refuse – and the matters will end up right back on the treadmill of dog and pony show, much like the Iran-Contra riveting television entertainment that was enjoyed all over America better than any Dan Brown novel ever will be!

Having said all that – which of course ought not come as a surprise to anyone except the most Polyanish – kudos to the immensely courageous David Swanson and the untiring persistent efforts of all those who are still endeavoring to 'spray this crop of weeds'! A very special kudos to the conscionable Congressman from Ohio who is still able to muster the Chutzpah to attempt impeachment – knowing fully well that it will go absolutely nowhere! But at least it gets documented in the official paperwork of the Congress – for whatever that's really worth. A respite, however brief, is arguably still better than none!

And having also said that, the Articles of Impeachment introduced by the good Congressman from Ohio cannot absolve the United States Congress itself of its own culpability and equal willing participation in the supreme monumental crime of “imperial mobilization”.

One given to reflection and study well understands how the American peoples are continually fooled, indoctrinated, and managed. One need only read the following Project Humanbeingsfirst report “Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science” to get a good understanding of both “Manufacturing Consent” and “Manufacturing Dissent” among the larger plebeian Western society by its ruling elite. The Congress however, comprises the ruling elite. They are generally the third category of peoples identified by Hitler, as those able to think critically who are purposefully trained in the ways of empire, or co-opted to join and participate in the running of 'empire' with the allure of its riches. The Congress isn't “the crowd of simpletons and the credulous” of the American masses.

Therefore, it is more than slightly disingenuous to lump the fabricated 'misleading' of the Congress with the genuine misleading of the American public. The Congress wasn't misled – unless it comprises only full spectrum C grade morons from Yale, an arguable premise which I refuse to accept – it was, and still is, just as complicit in every single supreme crime that the Congressfolks now solely apportion to their leader.

From the very control of the purse strings that funds the war-mongering, to the passing of oppressive laws and unchallenged acceptance of Executive Orders that now enables the police-state, to the granting of carte-blanche to bomb Afghanistan and Iraq to smithereens – the Congress willingly did it all! If an ordinary plebeian like this scribe can narrate it all in his 2003 book as the DU bombs on Iraq were falling, and can take a bold stand at his own front door and say NO to the State's security apparatus, it takes Congress another 5 years to come to that conclusion, ex post facto – when all the faits accomplis have already been constructed and the “Mission [truly] Accomplished”?

Either this scribe is so ingeniously smart that he knew it all, in which case he and half a million other ordinary peoples in America who said NO to George W. Bush from 2001-2002 onwards until today at considerable risks to themselves, should be the Congress – the new Peoples' Congress. Or the House and Senate are equally complicit in the aiding and abetting of

supreme crimes against humanity. They could well have said NO when ordinary peoples in America dared to say NO! Look at this picture of a 10 year old saying NO in February 2003 along with tens of thousands of ordinary Americans!

The Articles of Impeachment that the honorable Congressman from Ohio imputes solely to his President, equally apply to impeaching every single Congressman and Congresswomen, except, to this scribe's humble knowledge, Congresswoman Barbara Lee for her uncommonly courageous solo stand on the very first imperial mobilization to Afghanistan immediately after 911 – when she alone stood up to boldly say NO!

That first enabling seed, that green light to bomb Afghanistan and the passing of Patriot Acts without even reading them, enabled it all. And the blame, as per the precedent set at Nuremberg – all culpability for aggression, and “all the evil that follows”, is to the first aggression and to its enablers – rests jointly with the nation of United States of America and its entire ruling elite who together railroaded “the crowd of simpletons and the credulous” of the American masses no differently than Hitler after his “Operation Canned Goods”.

It is further remarkable that none of the Articles of Impeachment introduced by the Honorable Congressman from Ohio even suggest a controlled demolition on 911, or that it could have been an inside job and another 'operation canned goods' as no other rational explanation suffices. The Articles continue to mimic the same premise as untenably asserted by both the White House and the Pentagon from the very day of 911, that it was an invasion from abroad, rather than perhaps an insidious coup from within.

What kind of clever wool pulling is this Impeachment game which isn't even all that clever? I suppose the audience for it is exclusively the American public!

No offense is intended to the honorable Congressman from Ohio in this scribe 'calling a spade a spade'! Once again, Project Humanbeingsfirst humbly thanks even this brief respite if it can be effectively afforded to the miserable victims, playing whatever games necessary as permitted in the existing system!

Just don't let it fool anyone that it amounts to anything more than what it really is.

Thank you.

###

[Print](#)

04/09/2009 21:00:10 2663

Vanilla or Chocolate is merely the icing on the devil's cake! April 09, 2009

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

[Editorial: Some Dare Call it Conspiracy! Are you among them? April 19, 2009](#)

Editorial: Some Dare Call it Conspiracy!

Are You Among Them?

April 19, 2009

Zahir Ebrahim

[Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

Conspiracy: “in law, agreement of two or more persons to commit a criminal or otherwise unlawful act. At common law, the crime of conspiracy was committed with the making of the agreement, but present-day statutes require an overt step by a conspirator to further the conspiracy. Other controversial aspects of conspiracy laws include the modification of the rules of evidence and the potential for a dragnet. A statement of a conspirator in furtherance of the conspiracy is admissible against all conspirators, even if the statement includes damaging references to another conspirator, and often even if it violates the rules against hearsay evidence. The conspiracy can be proved by circumstantial evidence. Any conspirator is guilty of any substantive crime committed by any other conspirator in furtherance of the enterprise. It is a federal crime to conspire to commit any activity prohibited by federal statute, whether or not Congress imposed criminal sanctions on the activity itself.” -- [Columbia Encyclopedia](#)

Ah – but what if the “criminals” were to write the laws and the statutes themselves? Then, the conniving and conspiring isn’t legally defined as a crime, nor the “criminals” called criminals. In fact, most are called bankers (emperors previously), and their instruments today, foundations (fleets previously)! Isn't that just peachy?

If only Al Capone, “an Italian-American gangster who led a crime syndicate dedicated to smuggling and bootlegging of liquor and other illegal activities during the Prohibition Era of the 1920s and 1930s” (Wikipedia), had learnt that sooner.

A very learned man defined this conspiratorial state of affairs way back in antiquity, around 410 AD, very succinctly as follows:

- “When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: 'the same as you do when you infest the whole world; but because I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and because you do it with a great fleet, you are an emperor.'” -- Augustine of Hippo, in *The City of God* against the Pagans, page 148

And a much simpler man in far more convoluted times also rather straightforwardly expounded upon the same matters because the plebeians du jour weren't quite willing to accept any oligarchic emperorship directly, legal or not. Divine sanction for rulers had been eliminated in the West since the Renaissance, and new conquerors had to play along with plebeian norms because “**Nowadays when the voting papers of the masses are the deciding factor; the decision lies in the hands of the numerically strongest group; that is to say the first group, the crowd of simpletons and the credulous.**” (Mein Kampf). Thus,

more complex scheming by the wolves seeking world emperors had to be orchestrated upon the sheepish *"crowd of simpletons and the credulous."*

And so, in 1971 AD, he observed:

- "Most of us have had the experience, either as parents or youngsters, of trying to discover the "hidden picture" within another picture in a children's magazine. Usually you are shown a landscape with trees, bushes, flowers and other bits of nature. The caption reads something like this: "Concealed somewhere in this picture is a donkey pulling a cart with a boy in it. Can you find them?" Try as you might, usually you could not find the hidden picture until you turned to a page farther back in the magazine which would reveal how cleverly the artist had hidden it from us. If we study the landscape we realize that the whole picture was painted in such a way as to conceal the real picture within, and once we see the "real picture," it stands out like the proverbial painful digit.

We believe the picture painters of the mass media are artfully creating landscapes for us which deliberately hide the real picture. In this book we will show you how to discover the "hidden picture" in the landscapes presented to us daily through newspapers, radio and television. Once you can see through the camouflage, you will see the donkey, the cart and the boy who have been there all along. Millions of Americans are concerned and frustrated over mishappenings in our nation. They feel that something is wrong, drastically wrong, but because of the picture painters they can't quite put their fingers on it.

Maybe you are one of those persons. Something is bugging you, but you aren't sure what. We keep electing new Presidents who seemingly promise faithfully to halt the world-wide Communist advance, put the blocks to extravagant government spending, douse the fires of inflation, put the economy on an even keel, reverse the trend which is turning the country into a moral sewer, and toss the criminals into the hoosegow where they belong. Yet, despite high hopes and glittering campaign promises, these problems continue to worsen no matter who is in office. Each new administration, whether it be Republican or Democrat, continues the same basic policies of the previous administration which it had so thoroughly denounced during the election campaign. It is considered poor form to mention this, but it is true nonetheless. Is there a plausible reason to explain why this happens? We are not supposed to think so. We are supposed to think it is all accidental and coincidental and that therefore there is nothing we can do about it.

FDR once said "In politics, nothing happens by accident. If it happens, you can bet it was planned that way." He was in a good position to know. We believe that many of the major world events that are shaping our destinies occur because somebody or somebodies have planned them that way. If we were merely dealing with the law of averages, half of the events affecting our nation's well-being should be good for America. If we were dealing with mere incompetence, our leaders should occasionally make a mistake in our favor. We shall attempt to prove that we are not really dealing with coincidence or stupidity, but with planning and brilliance. This small book deals with that planning and brilliance and how it has shaped the foreign and domestic policies of the last six administrations. We hope it will explain matters which have up to now seemed inexplicable; that it will bring into sharp focus images which have been obscured by the landscape painters of the mass media.

Those who believe that major world events result from planning are laughed at for believing in the "conspiracy theory of history." Of course, no one in this modern day and age really believes in the conspiracy theory of history -except those who have taken the time to study the subject. When you think about it, there are really only two theories of history. Either things happen by accident neither planned nor caused by anybody, or they happen because they are planned and somebody causes them to happen. In reality, it is the "accidental theory of history" preached in the unhallowed Halls of Ivy which should be ridiculed. Otherwise, why

does every recent administration make the same mistakes as the previous ones? Why do they repeat the errors of the past which produce inflation, depressions and war? Why does our State Department "stumble" from one Communist-aiding "blunder" to another? If you believe it is all an accident or the result of mysterious and unexplainable tides of history, you will be regarded as an "intellectual" who understands that we live in a complex world. If you believe that something like 32,496 consecutive coincidences over the past forty years stretches the law of averages a bit, you are a kook!

Why is it that virtually all "reputable" scholars and mass media columnists and commentators reject the cause and effect or conspiratorial theory of history? Primarily, most scholars follow the crowd in the academic world just as most women follow fashions. To buck the tide means social and professional ostracism. The same is true of the mass media. While professors and pontificators profess to be tolerant and broadminded, in practice it's strictly a one way street with all traffic flowing left. A Maoist can be tolerated by Liberals of Ivory Towerland or by the Establishment's media pundits, but to be a conservative, and a conservative who propounds a conspiratorial view, is absolutely verboten. Better you should be a drunk at a national WCTU convention!

Secondly, these people have over the years acquired a strong vested emotional interest in their own errors. Their intellects and egos are totally committed to the accidental theory. Most people are highly reluctant to admit that they have been conned or have shown poor judgment. To inspect the evidence of the existence of a conspiracy guiding our political destiny from behind the scenes would force many of these people to repudiate a lifetime of accumulated opinions. It takes a person with strong character indeed to face the facts and admit he has been wrong even if it was because he was uninformed.

Such was the case with the author of this book. It was only because he set out to prove the conservative anti-Communists wrong that he happened to end up writing this book. His initial reaction to the conservative point of view was one of suspicion and hostility; and it was only after many months of intensive research that he had to admit that he had been "conned."

Politicians and "intellectuals" are attracted to the concept that events are propelled by some mysterious tide of history or happen by accident. By this reasoning they hope to escape the blame when things go wrong.

Most intellectuals, pseudo and otherwise, deal with the conspiratorial theory of history simply by ignoring it. They never attempt to refute the evidence. It can't be refuted. If and when the silent treatment doesn't work, these "objective" scholars and mass media opinion molders resort to personal attacks, ridicule and satire. The personal attacks tend to divert attention from the facts which an author or speaker is trying to expose. The idea is to force the person exposing the conspiracy to stop the exposure and spend his time and effort defending himself.

However, the most effective weapons used against the conspiratorial theory of history are ridicule and satire. These extremely potent weapons can be cleverly used to avoid any honest attempt at refuting the facts. After all, nobody likes to be made fun of. Rather than be ridiculed most people will keep quiet; and, this subject certainly does lend itself to ridicule and satire. One technique which can be used is to expand the conspiracy to the extent it becomes absurd. For instance, our man from the Halls of Poison Ivy might say in a scoffingly arrogant tone, "I suppose you believe every liberal professor gets a telegram each morning from conspiracy headquarters containing his orders for the day's brainwashing of his students?"

Some conspiratorialists do indeed overdraw the picture by expanding the conspiracy (from the small clique which it is) to include every local knee-jerk liberal activist and government bureaucrat. Or, because of racial or religious bigotry, they will take small fragments of legitimate evidence and expand them into a conclusion that will support their particular

prejudice, i.e., the conspiracy is totally "Jewish," "Catholic," or "Masonic." These people do not help to expose the conspiracy, but, sadly play into the hands of those who want the public to believe that all conspiratorialists are screwballs.

"Intellectuals" are fond of mouthing clichés like "The conspiracy theory is often tempting. However, it is overly simplistic." To ascribe absolutely everything that happens to the machinations of a small group of power hungry conspirators is overly simplistic. But, in our opinion nothing is more simplistic than doggedly holding onto the accidental view of major world events.

In most cases Liberals simply accuse all those who discuss the conspiracy of being paranoid. "Ah, you right wingers," they say, "rustling every bush, kicking over every rock, looking for imaginary boogeymen." Then comes the coup de grace-labeling the conspiratorial theory as the "devil theory of history." The Liberals love that one. Even though it is an empty phrase, it sounds so sophisticated!

With the leaders of the academic and communications world assuming this sneering attitude towards the conspiratorial (or cause and effect) theory of history, it is not surprising that millions of innocent and well-meaning people, in a natural desire not to appear naive, assume the attitudes and repeat the clichés of the opinion makers.

These persons, in their attempt to appear sophisticated, assume their mentors' air of smug superiority even though they themselves have not spent five minutes in study on the subject of international conspiracy." -- Chapter 1

And are you among them? Are you like those who say: ***"Don't confuse us with facts; our minds are made up,"***? If so, Gary Allen had you in mind when he wrote the preceding brilliant passages in [None Dare Call it Conspiracy](#).

The poor fellow had searched in vain then, in 1971, *"scouring the length and breadth of America in search of hundreds of thousands of intellectually honest men and women who are willing to investigate facts and come to logical conclusions-no matter how unpleasant those conclusions may be"*, just like the *"philosopher Diogenes scoured the length and breadth of ancient Greece searching for an honest man"*.

I too seek, but surely not in vain, many a million honest plebeians worldwide who would overturn [this fait accompli](#), by no longer claiming as their opiate excuses, *"hope"*, *"god is running the world - so how can I challenge its mighty plan"*, etceteras. The faces of the same earthly devils revealed by [Carroll Quigley](#) in 1966 and expanded upon by [Gary Allen](#) in 1971 – who echoed [W. Cleon Skousen](#) before him (1970), and presaged [Eustace Mullins](#) after him (1985) – all laughing their way to their banks as you go hungry and homeless, have become plainly manifest to all and sundry in 2009!

Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. [A criminal conspiracy to take over the world!](#)

Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. [A conspiracy more pre-ponderous a prime-mover for all crimes against humanity in the past 250 years than Alexander to Hitler combined.](#)

Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. [A conspiracy in which the conspirators "have had 250 years or so of family involvement in the finance business, ... provide advice on both sides of the balance sheet, and ... do it globally."](#)

Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. A conspiracy which could yet be busted in a fair court of law because some laws and statutes against *"criminal syndicalism"* still remain on the dusty old Constitutional and Criminal Law books which have escaped co-option. [Eustace Mullins](#) argued in 1985 that the following legalism could be used to hamper and decommission the prime-instruments of the conspirators in the United States and throughout the world:

- “Despite its present hegemony, the World Order of parasitism realizes that it is always subject to being dislodged, which, in effect, would mean its destruction. Therefore, it is necessary to control not only the channels of communication of the host, but his very thought processes as well; to maintain constant vigilance that the host does not develop any concept of the danger of his situation, or any power to throw off the parasite. Therefore, the parasite carefully instructs the host that he exists only because of the “benign” presence of the parasite – that he owes everything to the presence of the parasite, his religion, his social order, his monetary system, and his educational system. The parasite deliberately inculcates in the host the fear that if the parasite happens to be dislodged, the host will lose all these things, and be left with nothing.

Although the World Order has control of the legal system and the courts, it remains vulnerable to any enforcement of the pre-existing body of law which the host had formulated to protect his society. This body of law forbids everything that the parasite is doing, and forces the parasite to maintain a precarious existence outside of the law. If the law were to be enforced at any time, the parasite would be dislodged. The existing body of law clearly forbids the operation of criminal syndicates, which is precisely what the hegemony of parasitism and its World Order is. Criminal syndicalism denies the equal protection of the law to citizens. Only by acting against criminal syndicalism can the state protect its citizens.

Corpus Juris Secundum 16: Constitutional Law 213 (10) states : “The Constitutional guaranty of freedom of speech does not include the right to advocate, or conspire to effect, the violent destruction or overthrow of the government or the criminal destruction of property. 214 : The Constitutional guaranty of the right of assembly was never intended as a license for illegality or invitation for fraud – the right of freedom of assembly may be abused by using assembly to incite violence and crime, and the people through their legislatures may protect themselves against the abuse.”

The assembly of any World Order organization, such as the Council on Foreign Relations or any foundation, is subject to the laws against fraud (their charters claim they are engaged in philanthropy), and enforcement of the laws against criminal syndicalism would end the institutions through which the World Order illegally rules the people of the United States, the illegal conspiracies and the introduction of alien laws into our system by the foundations instructions to Congress.

We have already shown that the Rockefeller Foundation and other key organizations of the World Order are “Syndicates”, which are engaged in the practice of criminal syndicalism. But what is a “syndicate”? The Oxford English Dictionary notes that the word stems from “syndic”. A syndic is defined as “an officer of government, a chief magistrate, a deputy”. In 1601 R. Johnson wrote in Kingd and commonw “especiall men, called Syndiques, who have the managing of the whole commonwealth.” Thus the Rockefeller Foundation and its associated groups are carrying out their delegated function of managing the entire commonwealth, but not for the benefit of the people, or of any government except the secret super-government, the World Order, which they serve. The OED further defines a syndic as “a censor of the actions of another. To accuse.” Here too, the syndicate functions according to its definition – the syndicate censors all thought and media, primarily to protect its own power. It also brings accusations – as many American citizens have found to their sorrow. Not even Sir Walter Raleigh was immune. When he interfered with the international money trade, he was accused of “treason” and beheaded.

The OED defines a “syndicate” as follows : “3. A combination of capitalists and financiers entered into for the purpose of prosecuting a scheme requiring large sources of capital, especially one having the object of obtaining control of the market in a particular commodity. To control, manage or effect by a syndicate.” Note the key words in this definition – a combination – prosecuting – obtaining control. The scheme does not require “large capital” – it

requires "large sources of capital", the bank of England or the Federal Reserve System.

Corpus Juris Secundum 22A says of Criminal Syndicalism, "In a prosecution for being a member of an organization which teaches and abets criminal syndicalism, evidences of crimes committed by past or present members of the organization in their capacity as members is admissible to show its character." People v. LaRue 216 P 627 C.A. 276. Thus testimony about John Foster Dulles financing the Nazi Government of Germany, his telegram starting the Korean War, and other evidence can be used to indict any member of the Rockefeller Foundation in any state or locality in which the Rockefeller Foundation has ever been active in any way. Since these organizations are all closely interlocked, and there is so much available evidence of their illegal operations, it will be relatively simple to obtain criminal convictions against them for their criminal syndicalist operations.

Corpus Juris Secundum 22, Criminal Law 185 (10); Conspiracy and Monopolies : "Where the statute makes mere membership in an organization formed to promote syndicalism a crime, without an overt act, this offense is indictable in any county into which a member may go during the continuance of his membership, and this is true although such member comes into a county involuntarily. People v. Johansen, 226 P 634, 66 C.A. 343."

Corpus Juris Secundum 22, Criminal Law sec. 182 (3) states, "A prosecution for conspiracy to commit an offense against the U.S, may also be tried in any district wherein any overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy is performed. U.S. v. Cohen C.A.N.J. 197 F 2d 26." Thus a publication by the Council on Foreign Relations promoting the stripping of sovereignty of the United States of America, mailed into any county of the U.S.; the county authorities can bring the Council on Foreign Relations, or any member therein, to trial in that county, and any action by any member of the Council on Foreign Relations in the past is admissible as evidence, such as starting World War II, subsidizing the Nazi Government, or subsidizing the USSR.

Criminal syndicalism can also be prosecuted according to Corpus Juris Secundum 46, Insurrection and Sedition : sec. 461 c. "Sabotage and syndicalism aiming to abolish the present political and social system, including direct action or sabotage." Thus any program of a foundation which seeks to abolish the present political or social system of the United States can be prosecuted. Of course every foundation program seeks to accomplish just that, and is indictable.

Not only individuals, but any corporation supporting criminal syndicalism can be prosecuted, according to Corpus Juris Secundum 46 462b. Criminal Syndicalism. "Statutes against criminal syndicalism apply to corporations as well as to individuals organizing or belonging to criminal syndicalist society; evidence of the character and activities of other organizations with which the organization in which the accused is a member is affiliated is admissible."

Not only can the members of the World Order be arrested and tried anywhere, since they function worldwide in their conspiratorial activities to undermine and overthrow all governments and nations, but because their organizations are so tightly interlocked, any evidence about any one of them can be introduced in prosecuting any member of other organizations in any part of the U.S. or the world. Their attempts to undermine the political and social orders of all peoples make them subject to legal retribution. The People of the U.S. must begin at once to enforce the statutes outlawing criminal syndicalist activities, and bring the criminals to justice.

Being well aware of their danger, the World Order is working frantically to achieve even greater dictatorial powers over the nations of the world. They constantly intensify all problems through the foundations, so that political and economic crises prevent the peoples of the world from organizing against them. The World Order must paralyze its opponents. They terrorize the world with propaganda about approaching international nuclear war, although atomic

bombs have been used only once, in 1945, when the Rockefeller Foundation director Karl T. Compton ordered Truman to drop the atomic bomb on Japan.” -- Eustace Mullins, World Order, pages 276-280

Yes. Some Dare Call it Conspiracy. [A conspiracy which orchestrated 911 to create the pretext of 'war on terror', and which can be traced back to the prime-movers by following the money trail.](#)

But *None Dare Call it Conspiracy* among the conspirators themselves! [Their mouth-pieces however now openly advocate “world government”](#), as the only solution to manage all the global crises from the global 'war on terror' to the global financial collapse, to global warming!

And verily, many Dare Call it Conspiracy based on all this self-evident empiricism! [Are you among them, NOT EVEN TODAY?](#)

###

[Print](#)

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

[Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy!](#)

Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System which thrives on the facade of Elections and Democracy!

Zahir Ebrahim

[Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

October 22, 2008

Footnote added April 09, 2009

© Project Humanbeingsfirst™. Permission granted to use freely as per copyright notice.

Document ID: PHBFZE20081022 URL: <http://humanbeingsfirst.org> | [Print](#) | [PDF](#) | [Comment](#).

This is Project Humanbeingsfirst's response to many people's idea of "write-in" independent candidates as an alternate means of creating a third choice in these facade of elections when one is not content choosing from the "lesser of two evils" paradigm.

It is greatly disturbing that some very conscionable peoples of the press are still crying hoarse of "[election theft](#)" and "[voter fraud](#)" when their entire country has already been stolen. It appears that all within the United States comprise only "**the crowd of simpletons and the credulous.**" As Hitler had put it,

"Nowadays when the voting papers of the masses are the deciding factor; the decision lies in the hands of the numerically strongest group; that is to say the first group, the crowd of simpletons and the credulous."

The [master propagandist](#) who had in fact learnt this art-form from the Americans and the British themselves, had further gone on to explain the positive role of the press in "informing" the public according to the wishes of the real rulers "**when the voting papers of the masses are the deciding factor**":

"It is an all-important interest of the State and a national duty to prevent these people from falling into the hands of false, ignorant or even evil-minded teachers. Therefore it is the duty of the State to supervise their education and prevent every form of offence in this respect. Particular attention should be paid to the Press; for its influence on these people is by far the strongest and most penetrating of all; since its effect is not transitory but continual. Its immense significance lies in the uniform and persistent repetition of its teaching. Here, if anywhere, the State should never forget that all means should converge towards the same end. It must not be led astray by the will-o'-the-wisp of so-called 'freedom of the Press', or be talked into neglecting its duty, and withholding from the nation that which is good and which does good. With ruthless determination the State must keep control of this instrument of popular education and place it at the service of the State and the Nation."

And we can observe that the systems of governance in the United States have stolen a page from the Third Reich (well one among its many pages), and astutely led its gullible peoples to actually believe, from the day they are born, that the elections are empowering to them. A fuller excerpt with more context for the role of propaganda and indoctrination for manufacturing both consent and dissent when **“when the voting papers of the masses are the deciding factor”** can be gleaned in Project Humanbeingsfirst's report: [“Weapons of Mass Deception – The Master Social Science”](#). It is shocking how much has been learned from the Third Reich. It is, almost as if, the Third Reich was merely an advanced laboratory testbed for the Fourth Reich.

The myth of elections being anything useful, has been so craftily cultivated over the past two generations that none are able to see through the fog of indoctrination that something else entirely, **“a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive,”** such that people only whisper in hushed voices **“when they speak in condemnation of it”**, runs the United States with the elected Representatives merely as its front faces.

President Woodrow Wilson, after being naively railroaded into agreeing to support the creation of the Federal Reserve System and because of which he had initially won the backing of the oligarchs as an unknown non-politician professor from Princeton, and after signing off on it as President on Christmas eve despite his better judgment since the bill had not actually been debated at all in Congress – in fact even less discussed than the trillion dollar banksters' bailout bill passed just two weeks ago as most of the people's Representatives had left for their home during that Christmas week in 1913 – was stricken with the usual belated disclosure-disease post fait accompli upon leaving his high office and stated:

“Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacture, are of afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breadth when they speak in condemnation of it.”

The evidence for this secretive power was once again witnessed when the Congress, despite its popular opposition, was arm twisted into passing the trillion dollar banksters' bailout legislation (including an additional 600 billion dollars in appropriation for the Pentagon which none of the legislators thought fit to mention). Which power **“so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive”** arm twists them so trivially? Former President Bill Clinton's professor, Carroll Quigley, exposed that power in his 1966 book [“Tragedy and Hope - A History of the World in Our Time”](#):

“The powers of financial capitalism had (a) far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent meetings and conferences. The apex of the systems was to be the Bank for International Settlements in Basel, Switzerland; a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank... sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards in the business world.”

Why does the press not explain all this to the American peoples? Why are respectable dissenting journalists like Greg Palast, appear no different than any mainstream journalist when it comes to the core axioms that really need exposing? They all dutifully and unquestioningly retain them, and create a facade of providing a choice of sources by debating all the corollaries and other derivatives built upon the doctrine of keeping the axioms intact.

So they will energetically debate whether or not it is better to enforce economic sanctions alone, or combine it with 20 bombing runs of nuclear first-strike, or 20000 of Daisy Cutters, but not what right United States has of doing any of it when she herself possesses more than 20,000 atomic weapons of all nomenclature and is the biggest war monger on the planet. They would never remind the American people as the [retired and/or serving Generals are paraded before them](#) on television arguing this and that option, of the 1967 words of Martin Luther King: **“my country is the greatest purveyor of violence in the world today”**. Watch this principle in operation with astute wordsmithing in the conversation between Henry Kissinger and Charlie Rose

on [PBS](#). Of course, in that entire hour long conversation, Charlie Rose did not think of asking Dr. Kissinger whether he had uttered the following famous statements at the secretive Bilderberger meeting, or whether they were merely fiction:

“Today Americans would be outraged if U.N. troops entered Los Angeles to restore order; tomorrow they will be grateful. This is especially true if they were told there was an outside threat from beyond, whether real or promulgated, that threatened our very existence. It is then that all peoples of the world will plead with world leaders to deliver them from this evil. The one thing every man fears is the unknown. When presented with this scenario, individual rights will be willingly relinquished for the guarantee of their well being granted to them by their world government.”

Similarly, the press all pretty unanimously agreed, both the mainstream, and dissentstream, the “left” and the “right”, that 911 was an invasion from abroad, fully parroting the White House and the Pentagon version of events as gospels of truth. They still retain that very axiom.

Similarly, here too, for these elections, they all tend to pretty much agree that there is something useful in the way members are elected into the ivory halls of Congress and the White House, and the methods by which they govern, and therefore the integrity of that process needs to be worried about. But they mainly focus on the actual act of balloting and counting or not-counting of the votes, often rehearsing the famous line of Joseph Stalin on “who counts the vote”. So that is great journalism – look, also quoting Stalin!

But do they ever bring to light that the contestants have already been pre-filtered in secret oligarchic meetings at the Bilderbergers and the Council on Foreign Relations? Or that those so anointed are subsequently run in the races with one side artificially pitted against the other, with careful exclusion of any realistic challenge to the 'chosen ones' from all other quarters through fully financing their campaigns and giving full media prominence and editorial support (as all the private presses too are owned by them)?

Obviously that can't come to pass – or they would be out on their bum! So it goes without saying that the press will never uncover how these elected officials are subsequently so cleverly kept on their co-opted short-leash by means “**so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive,**” just as the world witnessed during the bailout bill proceedings.

Project Humanbeingsfirst has analyzed that bailout magic in its report: “[Why Bluff Martial Law?](#)” and Press Release “[This may be a psy-op! Response to Wayne Madsen's 'FEMA sources confirm coming martial law' October 09, 2008](#)”. More about media and indoctrination can be read in my book “[Prisoners of the Cave](#)”. There is absolutely no doubt in my mind that the entire system itself has been corrupted and co-opted to its very core. In that entire coverage of the financial crisis, and still on going, I have still not the heard the American newsmedia give sustained prominence to the distinguished name of David Rockefeller, to the Council on Foreign Relations, to private banking, to money as debt which is needlessly paid by the American tax payer to the bankers making them more and more wealthy while simultaneously strangulating the majority of the public in their debt-trap, and to the pernicious planning of these oligarchs to get rid of nation-states altogether in favor of a world government that is run by them. In fact, if any one wanted to, they could simply open up David Rockefeller's Memoir and read the following out to any audience – be it C-Span with energetic Congress folks making their Floor speeches, or newsmedia trying to figure out what's going on:

“Some even believe we (the Rockefeller family) are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as 'internationalists' and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure – one world, if you will. If that's the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it”

If there is any mention of any of this at all, like journalists [Glenn Beck](#) and [Lou Dobbs](#) and [Congressman Ron Paul](#) occasionally seem to dabble in wondering out loud about the North American Union or the financial crisis, or it being orchestrated, it remains all isolated and unconnected, without any cohesive analysis and overarching perspective brought to bear by connecting the widely disparate dots and events which President Kennedy had demanded of the press in 1961 (fuller excerpt follows):

“And that is why our press was protected by the First Amendment. The only business in America, specifically protected by the Constitution. Not primarily to amuse and entertain. Not to

emphasize the trivial and the sentimental. Not to simply give the public what it wants. But to inform, to arouse, to reflect, to state our dangers, and our opportunities, to indicate our crises and our choices, to lead, mold, educate, and sometimes even anger public opinion.”

I am still waiting for any of them to even mention David Rockefeller in the context of the import of his monumental words **“We are on the verge of a global transformation. All we need is the right major crisis and the nations will accept the New World Order”**, and link it to 911, and to the subsequent “imperial mobilization” of Zbigniew Brzezinski as a baby-step to North American Union by first bankrupting America and crashing the dollar while simultaneously using its might for beating the rest of the world into submission as the lone superpower! I am still waiting for them to connect all that to these 1992 words of Strobe Talbot, President Bill Clinton's Deputy Secretary of State **“In the next century, nations as we know it will be obsolete; all states will recognize a single, global authority. National sovereignty wasn't such a great idea after all.”**

We won't even go into Rockefeller's agenda for population control and his family's significant role in the UN as their private instrument for orchestrating coercive policies which are inflicted upon the third world nations. His minion, or perhaps personal friend, Henry Kissinger constructed NSSM-200 for President Gerald Ford's National Security Council in 1974. See its detailed analysis in Project Humanbeingsfirst's report [“The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy For World Government”](#). See the 2003 6-part description of the Rockefeller systems of governance which America has become, with his counterpart banker family, the Rothschilds, controlling Europe and the UK, in Will Banyan's, “Rockefeller Internationalism”.

Who connects any of this, as not just the American press, but also the global press (in this age of globalization and international multinational corporations with interlocking ownership and presence on the board of directors of parent companies) mindlessly rehearses “Bin Laden” and “War on Terror”? And now they have one more thing, the global financial collapse, to scare the American people's with, in order to lead them, with incremental baby-steps that are fait accompli once taken, into the desired direction of the North American Union and the Amero!

To borrow a graphic and unflattering description of the bewilderment of the masses, from the late Israeli Defense Minister [Raphael Eitan](#) who had famously uttered it to describe the endgame which he thought was the destiny of the valiant Palestinian peoples, the United States peoples too are also being primed to mainly **“scurry around like drugged cockroaches in a bottle”** in trying to figure out their predicament as they rudely get awakened too late from their “American Dreams”. Like David Icke pointed out in [“Turning of the Tide”](#) in 1996, the secret conspiracy for world government has to break surface in order to finally affect it, because, as the philosopher-theologian of world government had correctly observed in his classic book [“Impact of Science and Society”](#) in 1950:

“There is, it must be confessed, a psychological difficulty about a single world government. The chief source of social cohesion in the past, I repeat, has been war: the passions that inspire feeling of unity are hate and fear. These depend upon the existence of an enemy, actual or potential. **It seems to follow that a world government could only be kept in being by force, not by the spontaneous loyalty that now inspires a nation at war.**”

Does 90 percent of voting public in the United States know anything about this backdrop as they are being repeatedly told elections are being stolen as if they were meaningful to start with? When they are meaningful and a loose canon ends up on the gun-deck of the White House, or when an earlier anointed patsy refuses to follow abhorrent directives as his eyes open up from the seat of power, he is trivially assassinated.

On April 27, 1961, assassinated American President, John F. Kennedy gave a speech at the Waldorf-Astoria to the American Newspaper Association. Time magazine of [May 05, 1961](#) observed in its article “The Meaning of Freedom”, the following statement of JFK who had expounded upon a commonsensical role for the press in the backdrop of the ideological Cold War challenges facing the world:

“This deadly challenge imposes upon our society two requirements of direct concern to both the press and to the President—two requirements which must be reconciled and fulfilled if we are to meet this national peril.”

Below is my transcription from an excerpt of that amazing JFK speech because it precisely underscores what

Hitler had tried to inculcate in the Third Reich and what a supposedly free nation and free press had to avoid in order to not get where we are today. Please be advised that the speech was transcribed from this [narration1](#), and verified against this [narration2](#), as I have been unable to locate the official recording, or its official transcript. I have discovered that many enterprising rebels have extracted out of context segments from this speech, and concocted it into something far more sinister than what JFK was ostensibly referring to. [1]

However, these portentous words of JFK seem to also accurately capture the descent of America into its Fourth Reich state today. It is, as if, JFK might as well have been talking about America of today, 2008. However, back in 1961, he had the Cold War upon his mind.

I use these portentous words of JFK, in the context that he originally conveyed them, to make the nuanced point, that the American peoples have been indoctrinated into believing the facade of elections primarily through the deliberate errors of commission and omission by the press and all its newsmedia outlets in order to deliberately keep up the pretense of democracy (watch these courageous American youngsters of [wearechange](#) run around exposing far more than the [American press](#) ever did, but lending an ominous import to Raphael Eitan's unflattering description of their efficacy).

The following is what David Rockefeller admitted of the complicit role of the press in his planning and orchestration towards his version of the new world order at a Bilderbergers Meeting in 1991 (why the press never asks him about it and even offer him a chance to deny it should not be surprising):

“We are grateful to the Washington Post, The New York Times, Time Magazine and other great publications, whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost 40 years. It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subjected to the bright lights of publicity during those years. But, the work is now much more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national auto-determination practiced in past centuries.”

JFK uttered the following prescient words in 1961 – it is unremarkable how the same truthful and un co-opted words, sometimes, can become so enormously clairvoyant that they begin to speak across time and space. This is the ordinary power inherent in any truism. What is actually remarkable however, is the Time magazine's footnote to the opening sentence of JFK: *“The very word 'secrecy' is repugnant, in a free and open society.”* Time wrote in its coverage:

“*To more than 20 million Americans, the word "secrecy" is not as repugnant as all that. They are the members of U.S. secret and fraternal societies, which include, besides student fraternities, such respectable organizations as the Masonic orders, the Elks, the Independent Order of Odd Fellows and the Loyal Order of the Moose. Of the U.S.'s 34 Presidents, 13 have been Masons. President Kennedy himself is a member of the Knights of Columbus, the Catholic counterpart of masonry.”

I have deliberately ignored this “secret society” BS line of reasoning in this analysis. The low hanging fruits of empirical reality is sufficiently self-validating as noted in the [“The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy For World Government”](#). Here is JFK singing a timeless tune: [op. cit.]

“The very word 'secrecy' is repugnant, in a free and open society.

And we are as a people, inherently and historically, opposed to secret societies, to secret oaths, and to secret proceedings.

We decided a long ago, that the dangers of excessive and unwarranted concealment, of pertinent facts, far out weigh the dangers which are cited to justify it.

Even today, there is little value in opposing the threat of a closed society, by imitating its arbitrary restrictions.

Even today, there is little value in ensuring the survival of our nation, if our traditions do not survive with it.

And there is very grave danger, that an announced need for increased security, will be seized upon by those anxious to expand its meaning, to the very limits of official censorship and concealment.

That I do not intend to permit, to the extent that it's in my control.

And no official of my administration, whether his rank is high or low, civilian or military, should interpret my words here tonight, as an excuse to censor the news, to stifle dissent, to cover up our mistakes, or to withhold from the press and the public, the facts they deserve to know. [...]

For we are opposed around the world, by a monolithic and ruthless conspiracy, that relies primarily on covert means, for expanding its sphere of influence.

On infiltration, instead of invasion. On subversion, instead of elections. On intimidation, instead of free choice. On guerillas by night, instead of armies by day.

It is a system which has conscripted, vast human and material resources, into the building of a tightly knit, highly efficient machine, that combines military, diplomatic, intelligence, economic, scientific, and political operations.

Its preparations are concealed, not published. Its mistakes are buried, not headlined. Its dissenters are silenced, not praised.

No expenditure is questioned, no rumor is printed, no secret is revealed. [...]

No President should fear public scrutiny of his program. For, from that scrutiny comes understanding. And from that understanding comes support, or opposition. And both are necessary.

I am not asking your newspapers to support an administration, but I am asking your help in the tremendous task, of informing and alerting the American people.

For I have complete confidence, [lukewarm clapping] in the response and dedication of our citizens, whenever they are fully informed.

I not only could not stifle controversy among your readers, I welcome it.

This administration intends to be candid about its errors, for as a wise man once said, an error doesn't become a mistake, until you refuse to correct it.

We intend to accept full responsibility for our errors, and we expect you to point them out when we miss them.

Without debate, without criticism, no administration and no country can succeed, and no republic can survive.

That is why the Athenian law maker Solon [2] decried it a crime, for any citizen to shrink from controversy.

And that is why our press was protected by the First Amendment. The only business in America, specifically protected by the Constitution.

Not primarily to amuse and entertain. Not to emphasize the trivial and the sentimental. Not to simply give the public what it wants.

But to inform, to arouse, to reflect, to state our dangers, and our opportunities, to indicate our crises and our choices, to lead, mold, educate, and sometimes even anger public opinion.

This means greater coverage and analysis of international news, for it is no longer far away, and foreign, but close at hand and local.

It means greater attention to improved understanding of the news, as well as improved

transmission.

And it means finally, that government at all levels, must meet its obligation, to provide you with the fullest possible information, outside the narrowest limits of national security. [...]

And so it is to the printing press, to the recorder of man's deeds, the keeper of his conscience, the courier of his news, that we look for strength and assistance. Confident that with your help, man will be what he was born to be, free and independent.”

Well, that did not transpire. American public remains the most ignorant on the planet. Even Henry Kissinger disingenuously and openly admitted that failing (or success) on [PBS](#) to Charlie Rose as he pitched his WMD mantra to the American peoples in the drumming up of the propaganda warfare, before the actual “shock and awe” warfare was visited upon the poor souls of Iraq.

Now the American public is seeking to vote-in their new crop of leaders – to cement the final touches to the precipitous transitions now on their exponential path of fruition. Therefore, the oligarchs still need the pretense of “election” and “democracy” for a while longer. And they will likely continue to use it in the world government as well in order to defuse any prospect of the majority mainstream actually fighting back with any efficacy.

I predict that new faces will adorn the White House because the police-state is not ready to overtly break surface just yet, and people still need to be given the illusion of choice less they revolt before they have been fully enslaved and microchipped. **Since you people seem fed up with the taste of vanilla for 8 years, we shall now give you chocolate! And wouldn't that be a revolutionary step for America?**

And therein lies the immediate power today for those able to think on the Grand Chessboard scale and mobilize their troops effectively.

Force the 'ubermensch' hands by creating an extremely low voter-turnout to show the world that the American public, by denying any legitimacy to these elections, finally voted YES to NOT grant its co-opted system of elections and its governance, any further credibility whatsoever.

These elections are the American public's final peaceful chance to make a shocking statement to the world – just as the [Congress had its great alignment of stars](#) and blew it two weeks ago.

A 5% voter turnout, as opposed to the 40% that is minimally expected, can potentially, significantly alter the loci of control on the Grand Chessboard by disturbing its causality planning in a very macro way.

The people who started Cleansweep2008.org, based on a son's concern for his country, have the correct idea in principle. That principle being, repeating it once again for emphasis, to create a useful third option that is beyond the pale of the staid “lesser of two evils” paradigm being forced upon the American peoples in this election. The following is Project Humanbeingsfirst's response to:

<http://cleansweep2008.org/blog/2008/10/22/clean-sweep-them-all/>

October 22, 2008.

Hello.

Is this “I” bit realistic?

If so, any conscionable person who realizes that both the major parties are merely the two-headed deception dialectics of the same philosopher, two sides of the same imperial coin minted in the same factory, would be hard pressed not to pursue it.

Since this is such a commonsensical approach to cleaning the house, why don't people actually pursue this obvious path? Its history in prior elections would be indicative of the real issues that corrupt the American system behind the scenes.

What are the actual implementation pitfalls? Do those votes count? How is one assured that one's vote to a “write-in Independent” is counted? How is one assured that the new Independent does not make the old “dependent”?

My own limited thinking is along the following lines - that the system itself is corrupting, co-opting, and that despite the good intentions of many who serve within its ambit, it cannot be corrected by the process of elections, by the process of what has become the biggest game show in town, called Congress.

I don't think it is the peoples. I believe it is the system and those who corrupt it. If you only remove those who get corrupted, and keep intact the corrupters and their intricate web of how such corruption is done, it will keep on corrupting.

The first step towards this reform, is to reject the corrupt system itself.

So with that as the backdrop, while your thinking is entirely wholesome that since the "Lesser of two evils" paradigm in life still sticks one with an evil (never mind that in this election which is "lesser" remains rather un-obvious and an arbitrary partisan choice at best), therefore, not choosing any evil at all makes rational sense, my humble thinking is as follows:

Reject them both by withholding one's vote from both sides, by NOT voting in the elections, period, in order to have the lowest turnout in election history. Not through apathy, but through deliberate calculated political-science based purpose.

That is the way to get the third option pushed into the consciousness of the world - that the American public rejects the entire imperial coin minted in the bankers' mint. Not only do they reject the coin, but the whole concept of bankers running the United States of America as their own backyard and using its military might to usher in their long dreamed of world government.

This world government itself sees the destruction of sovereign nation-states, and that included the United States of America. A North American Union is under construction, like the EU, and both parties are complicit in its planning and execution as the front faces of the oligarchs.

Not-voting is the only Constitutional, legal, and supremely astute political-science based political option left to the peoples of the United States when that vote only selects, regardless of who counts it, which pair of socks the "Rockefellers" will wear the day after the election on their way to business as usual.

Very loudly deny both pair of socks any legitimacy in the world's most audacious democracy that is out to teach the rest of the world how to live.

Please compare these two approaches rationally, and pick the one with most realizable efficacy towards really finding a curing protocol for the systemic disease that your son has been worried about. You have already taken the first courageous step, of rejecting to choose from "lesser of two evils".

Not-voting is a legitimate political act. Voting is a privilege, not a right, and it is not mandatory, such that if you didn't vote you'd go to jail, or fined, or denied some rights - at least not yet. But that may happen if the system endures under its 'white man's burden' to control the world.

In order to adjudicate on this political-science driven matter by people more believable, perhaps you could enlist Ron Paul and Dennis Kucinich, and other prominent members of Congress whom you think are good peoples, like those who actually spoke out against the trillion dollar bailout bill and made a great show of dissent on C-Span, to discuss this concept of systemic disease in their public appearances by getting them to focus attention on the system itself. Ask them – the point raised here.

I doubt any of them will bite. And that is why the system will linger on towards what now appears to me to be a well orchestrated fait accompli – it has been a long time in the making.

Zahir Ebrahim

[Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

P.S. For more detailed analysis of some of the statements made above, please see the

following:

<http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/09/capitalist-conspiracy-world-government.html>

<http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/09/no-exits-on-this-super-highway.html>

<http://humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/10/message-to-congress-nowornever.html>

Footnote:

[1] The President and the Press: Address before the American Newspaper Publishers Association, Waldorf-Astoria Hotel, New York City, April 27, 1961, transcript located:

<http://www.jfklibrary.org/Historical+Resources/Archives/Reference+Desk/Speeches/JFK/003POF03NewspaperPublishers04271961.htm>

; download official audio: [http://www.jfklibrary.org/jfk/asset_tree/AudioVisual/jfk%20audio/1961/1961-04-](http://www.jfklibrary.org/jfk/asset_tree/AudioVisual/jfk%20audio/1961/1961-04-27%20American%20Newspaper%20Publishers%20Association%20high.wma)

[27%20American%20Newspaper%20Publishers%20Association%20high.wma](http://www.jfklibrary.org/jfk/asset_tree/AudioVisual/jfk%20audio/1961/1961-04-27%20American%20Newspaper%20Publishers%20Association%20high.wma)

[2] Solon, one of the seven wise men of Athens, <http://www.e-classics.com/solon.htm>

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents [here](#)), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <http://PrisonersoftheCave.org>. He may be reached at <http://Humanbeingsfirst.org>. Verbatim reproduction license at <http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org#Copyright>.

Copyright Notice:

All material copyright (c) Project Humanbeingsfirst™, with full permission to copy, repost, and reprint, in its entirety, unmodified and unedited, for any purpose, granted, provided the URL sentence and this copyright notice are also reproduced verbatim as part of this license, and not doing so may be subject to copyright license violation infringement claims pursuant to remedies noted at <http://www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap5.html>. The rights of the author to express these views are based on inalienable rights noted at <http://www.hrweb.org/legal/undocs.html>, and to do so freely without suffering intimidation and duress. All quotations and excerpts are based on non-profit "fair use" in the greater public interest consistent with the understanding of laws noted at <http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.html>. Full copyright notice and Exclusions at <http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org>.

040920092249175608

Not-Voting is a 'YES' vote to Reject a Corrupt System

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

Wikileaks and the Mighty Wurlitzer

A Note on the Mighty Wurlitzer

Zahir Ebrahim | [Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](http://ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org)

What is the 'Mighty Wurlitzer'?

It used to be the honorific of Frank Wisner, the first chief of political warfare for the Central Intelligence Agency, used to describe the C.I.A.'s plethora of front organizations and newsmedia stooges that he was capable of playing (like a great organ with many keyboards) for synthesizing any propaganda tune that was needed for the day. See Operation Mockingbird (<http://www.spartacus.schoolnet.co.uk/JFKmockingbird.htm>).

The fact that such an omnipresent Message-Machine is not ancient history but very much current affairs, is underscored by this NYT headline “**Behind TV Analysts, Pentagon’s Hidden Hand**”, Sunday, [April 20, 2008](http://www.nytimes.com/2008/04/20/us/politics/20iht-behind.html) (<http://tinyurl.com/6qhfgf>).

Therefore, today, I use the term '**Mighty Wurlitzer**' as a metaphor to pluralistically refer to the same message-machine, i.e., the intelligence apparatus for manufacturing consent and controlling dissent, and its concomitant conscious manipulation of peoples' thoughts, feelings, actions and in-actions, in order to serve the primacy interests of the ruling-elite. The latter are, invariably, also the de facto owners of the complete messaging-system now even more globally ubiquitous than when Frank Wisner played the world for a fool.

This 'grand organ' is now able to even more effectively synthesize, implant, and reinforce, all the right set of beliefs (myths) among the entire world's

public – by suitably combining 'events' with imaginative 'expos' writing – which appropriately primes the world populations to acquiesce to the oligarchic agendas. While playing this orchestra is now an integral part of all state-craft, its major musical themes are entirely determined by the behind the scenes owners of the system. While some might refer to the underlying techniques as propaganda and psy-ops, 'Mighty Wurlitzer' singularly captures the messaging-system controlled under a unified purpose of command which is both highly compartmentalized and cellularized. Only the Mighty Wurlitzer knows the entire tune.

What this means is that not all who willingly cooperate with the Mighty Wurlitzer in synchronistically humming its themes are knowingly being purveyors of its myths and deception. Many of its most shrill echoers are often well intentioned functionaries who are fed different motivating myths at different levels in the hierarchy – sometimes the lie is different at every level – such that it suitably motivates each according to their own predilection, professional station, and mission statement.

The Mighty Wurlitzer operates on the core premise which has been empirically shown to psychologically motivate most human action. That premise was elegantly captured in the following insightful observation made at the so called "[Terrorism Study Group](#)", that *"Public Assumptions' Shape Views of History: Such presumptions are beliefs (1) thought to be true (although not necessarily known to be true with certainty), and (2) shared in common within the relevant political community. The sources for such presumptions are both personal (from direct experience) and vicarious (from books, movies, and myths)."* Successfully implanting such presumptions and pre-suppositions among any group is to motivate its overall actions in accordance with those implanted beliefs. Thus, many intelligent peoples for whom it is otherwise inexplicable to understand why they persist in 'United We Stand' with absurdities, are motivated to react sympathetically to those absurdities.

To barely catch a glimpse of how it's partially done, the following description by Col. Fletcher Prouty from the Preface to the first edition of his 1973 book

[“The Secret Team”](#) is instructive:

'There is another category of writer and self-proclaimed authority on the subjects of secrecy, intelligence, and containment. This man is the suave, professional parasite who gains a reputation as a real reporter by disseminating the scraps and "Golden Apples" thrown to him by the great men who use him. This writer seldom knows and rarely cares that many of the scraps from which he draws his material have been planted, that they are controlled leaks, and that he is being used, and glorified as he is being used, by the inside secret intelligence community.

Allen Dulles had a penchant for cultivating a number of such writers with big names and inviting them to his table for a medieval style luncheon in that great room across the hall from his own offices in the old CIA headquarters on the hill overlooking Foggy Bottom. Here, he would discuss openly and all too freely the same subjects that only hours before had been carefully discussed in the secret inner chambers of the operational side of that quiet Agency. In the hands of Allen Dulles, "secrecy" was simply a chameleon device to be used as he saw fit and to be applied to lesser men according to his schemes. It is quite fantastic to find people like Daniel Ellsberg being charged with leaking official secrets simply because the label on the piece of paper said "top secret," when the substance of many of the words written on those same papers was patently untrue and no more than a cover story. Except for the fact that they were official lies, these papers had no basis in fact, and therefore no basis to be graded top secret or any other degree of classification. Allen Dulles would tell similar cover stories to his coterie of writers, and not long thereafter they would appear in print in some of the most prestigious papers and magazines in the country, totally unclassified, and of

course, cleverly untrue.

In every case, the chance for complete information is very small, and the hope that in time researchers, students, and historians will be able to ferret out truth from untruth, real from unreal, and story from cover story is at best a very slim one. Certainly, history teaches us that one truth will add to and enhance another; but let us not forget that one lie added to another lie will demolish everything. This is the important point. Consider the past half century. How many major events -- really major events -- have there been that simply do not ring true? How many times has the entire world been shaken by alarms of major significance, only to find that the events either did not happen at all, or if they did, that they had happened in a manner quite unlike the original story?'

Coldly implicit in Col. Prouty's afore-quoted empirical statement: **“and the hope that in time researchers, students, and historians will be able to ferret out truth from untruth, real from unreal, and story from cover story is at best a very slim one”**, is the Machiavellian notion of sewing faits accomplis in current affairs by straight-jacketing all public discourse in deception, and leaving the ferreting out of 'truth' to future generation of scholars and historians when separating myths from the calculus of hegemony can at best only be a bogus academic exercise entirely irrelevant to reversing the faits accomplis already sewn. See [Convince People of Absurdities and get them Acquiescing to Atrocities: The Enduring Power of Machiavellian Political Science](http://tinyurl.com/historys-actors) (<http://tinyurl.com/historys-actors>).

A pertinent example of Col. Fletcher Prouty's fabricated leaks noted above, is the Wikileaks' July 2010 disclosures of 'The Afghanistan Papers' which revealed nothing new. Wikileaks has always been a rather transparent Mighty Wurlitzer ops. It is trivial to see through the absurdity of its existence despite it promoting itself as being a sort of watchdog upon the empire, and therefore, ostensibly, being inimical to its unbridled quest for *“full spectrum dominance”* – just like Al Jazeera television based in Qatar, which, absurdly

enough, exists in the same nation as America's CENTCOM headquarters, but still operates unchallenged bringing all the visual details of the gory crimes of empire inflicted by CENTCOM and its NATO Allies upon innocent Muslim civilians. The intended purpose of course being social engineering – the sine qua non for waging modern warfare upon civilian populations which can span the gamut from manufacturing consent to manufacturing pretexts and ripen conditions for “*clash of civilizations*” – in order to sustain the otherwise untenable “*imperial mobilization*”.

How can one tell manufactured reportage and fabricated leaks from the real stuff? How can one see through the Mighty Wurlitzer?

As daunting as it might appear to the mainstream television watcher, it is in fact rather straightforward for those unencumbered by blind faith in governments and its statecraft. Just look for the core-lies and unquestioned axioms of empire that are typically retained in the “leaks” and reportage which, in order to sound credible, often openly expose what is mostly already known anyway or judiciously employ some variation of “[Limited Hangout](#)” wrapped in a veneer of dissent or 'freedom of the press'.

It's the exact same recipe as is used by all the other fabricated and controlled dissent assets of empire when they are not outright spinning patent lies, for spinning half-truths requires far more brilliance. It is not for nothing that James Jesus Angleton, Head of CIA Counter Intelligence 1954-1974, is quoted in the 1992 BBC-2 Documentary on [Operation Gladio](#): “**Deception is a state of mind and the mind of the State**”.

The core-lies retained in the Wikileaks' disclosure – which I call 'the Afghanistan Papers' – is to once again reaffirm that there is a real nemesis called “Osama Bin Laden”, that the “war on terror” is real, that it is being inflicted upon the West from Pakistan-Iran nexus, and to re-substantiate the handoff of former President George W. Bush's clairvoyance to the Obama Administration that “*If another September 11 style attack is being planned, it probably is being plotted in Pakistan, and not Afghanistan!*”! That, when such

a “planned” attack transpires, it “will make Sept. 11 pale by comparison”. See: ‘Bin Laden’: Key enabler of “imperial mobilization” and nuclear attack on Iran-Pakistan (<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2008/04/binladen-keyenabler-nuclearattack.html>).

The successful handoff of “imperial mobilization” to Pakistan and Iran, now further sprightly underscored by Wikileaks' documents, is once again demonstrated by President Obama's Secretary of State Hilary Clinton's July 2010 remark to the [BBC](#) as quoted by [Reuters](#): “There are still additional steps that we are asking and expecting the Pakistanis to take. But there is no doubt in anyone's mind that should an attack against the United States be traced to be Pakistani, it would (have) a very devastating impact on our relationship”. And that is merely just another echo from the Obama Administration of what the Pakistanis themselves have been made to parrot the past 9 years, as demonstrated by its own Ambassador's [remark](#) in 2008: [On] Wednesday, a media report quoted Pakistan’s envoy to Washington as saying that US leaders had warned Islamabad that if the United States suffered an attack that was traced back to Pakistan Washington would retaliate. “Those (statements) have been made,” Ambassador Hussain Haqqani told editors and reporters at *The Washington Post*. “We want to make sure that it doesn’t come to that.”. To show Pakistan's unflinching willingness to do as much more as was asked, the Ambassador of Pakistan had further stated in an interview to [Reuters](#) in 2008:

'Pakistan would attack Osama bin Laden the moment it had reliable intelligence on the Al Qaeda leader's whereabouts, Ambassador Husain Haqqani said on Wednesday. Haqqani also said he was confident Pakistan could help foil any Al Qaeda plans to attack the United States, although he did not know of any right now. “A cooperative effort between all the allies, and that includes Afghanistan, Pakistan and the United States and NATO – I think we can thwart any potential plans for an attack,” Haqqani said in an interview with Reuters. He said Pakistani intelligence had helped defeat many of the “several

dozen” Al Qaeda plots detected worldwide since the September 11, 2001, attacks, but government officials knew of no immediate threats to the United States. Haqqani said Pakistan would act on its own against Al Qaeda if necessary. *“If Pakistan, Afghanistan or the United States had specific intelligence on the location of Osama bin Laden, they would have acted on it. No reservations would have come in the way of action on that, and none will even in the future,”* he said. *“If any of us had that actionable intelligence we would all act. We would act separately, we would act in tandem, we would act cooperatively – we would act.”*

So, could these self-serving 'Afghanistan Papers' have been any more convenient as a casus belli, carrying forth the same core-lies now entering its tenth year? If Wikileaks' dramatization grabbing all the world's headlines isn't an officially sponsored **“modified limited hangout”** for exactly that purpose of reinforcing the core-lies, then the White House not even bothering to stop the New York Times – whose own motto is 'All the news that's fit to print' – from publishing it, even giving it *“all got gold stars”* as the [Salon](#) put it, is downright inexplicable: *“So, uh ... why was all of this information classified and top secret? If it's old news, and it just confirms what "everyone" already knows, what was the rationale for keeping it classified and calling WikiLeaks all sorts of mean names for publishing it?”*

What would it matter afterwards, after Iran and Pakistan have been bombed, what were lies and what was truth? Did the bogus mea culpa by the 2005 Presidential Commission on intelligence failure, the [Iraq Study Group's disingenuous conclusion](#): **“We conclude that the intelligence community was dead wrong in almost all of its prewar judgments about Iraq's weapons of mass destruction. This was a major intelligence failure,”** reverse the decimation of Iraq? Did the New York Times 2008 revelation of [Pentagon's Message Machine](#) after 'all the barbers in town already knew it', return back to its silos each and every cruise missile that was dropped upon the innocent civilians of Iraq?

That is the real import of the craftsmanship of the Mighty Wurlitzer! To engineer a fait accompli by manufacturing consent among the gullible masses and dissent among the rabble rousers, leaving future scholars, historians, and the odd malcontent to laudingly study the ashes left behind by “*history's actors*”. A diabolical modus operandi of democratic statecraft which the Mighty Wurlitzer's operators even brazenly brag about: **“We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do.”** (Ron Suskind, New York Times, [Oct. 17, 2004](#))

Those attempting to see through its fog of deception when a fait accompli can still be averted – before missiles have left their silos, before pen has been put to legalisms – are labeled as 'kooks' and 'conspiracy theorists', their efforts infiltrated (as in cointelpro), and energies defocussed by introducing what's cynically called “*beneficial cognitive diversity*”. See [Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory](#) (<http://tinyurl.com/cognitive-diversity>).

There is an undeniable categorical imperative before the Western peoples today. With the escalating belligerence towards Iran and the dismantling of Pakistan palpably on the visible horizon, will the profoundly *innocent of knowledge* in the West allow themselves to be fooled once again into more criminal aggression upon civilian populations in the name of 'War on Terror'? See: 'War on Terror' is not about 'Islamofascism' – Please get with the real agenda you people! (<http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/03/war-on-terror-not-about-islamofascism.html>). Or, will they courageously choose to not be deceived by the Mighty Wurlitzer's many ruses at every level which ultimately justify the unquestioned core axioms of “imperial mobilization”?

Further Study

As this is a self-study note – because satisfying one's curiosity by a modicum of due diligence is the order of business rather than reading synopsis and

excerpts – the following suggested minimal reading is useful in comprehending the pernicious role of intelligence assets which perpetually infiltrate the media and the academe. You will learn how society is manipulated in the direction of its ruling-elite's agendas, how ordinary peoples serving in positions of influence in the institutions of the ruling-elite involuntarily succumb to freely promulgating the oligarchs' agendas, and most importantly, why it is almost impossible for ordinary decent peoples, too busy pursuing their 'American Dreams', to perceive this sophisticated manipulation which goes on 24x7.

1. Hugh Wilford, *The Mighty Wurlitzer, How the CIA Played America*, Harvard Univ. Press 2008.
2. Carl Bernstein, [THE CIA AND THE MEDIA](http://tinyurl.com/3ykyhd), Rolling Stone, October 20, 1977 (<http://tinyurl.com/3ykyhd>).
3. Richard Keeble, [Hacks And Spooks](http://tinyurl.com/mz7z6d), March 3, 2006 (<http://tinyurl.com/mz7z6d>).
4. William Schaap, attorney, expert witness on media disinformation, sworn court testimony, Volume IX, November 30, 1999. [Video](http://tinyurl.com/ng8w9s) (<http://tinyurl.com/ng8w9s>), [Transcript at King Center](http://tinyurl.com/ng8w9s) (also available [here](http://tinyurl.com/ng8w9s)).
5. Zahir Ebrahim, *Prisoners of the Cave*, 2003, Chapter 6: [How does the US News Media end up towing the line?](http://tinyurl.com/nnk26a) (<http://tinyurl.com/nnk26a>). The Military-Industrial-Media corporate complex with interlocked board memberships, and opaque stock ownership by institutional investors the largest of which is the U.S. Government (see Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports at <http://CAFR1.com>), represent the same vested interests which control the Policy Planning of the CIA and the Pentagon as the Primacy Imperatives of the sole superpower, the United States of America. These interlocking for-profit corporations and their majority stock-holders who profit from war, primacy, and hegemony, also own and control the news media, news distribution,

the entertainment industry, and essentially all outlets of exercising sophisticated Hegelian Dialectics of Mass Deception upon a not too knowledgeable population. With increasing consolidation of information synthesis, packaging, and delivery into fewer and fewer corporate hands owned and controlled by a handful of people, the ideological alignment of their interests makes a sham of the much touted 'fourth pillar of democracy'. Therefore, operationally speaking, the government is almost trivially able to influence news to manufacture consent for its primacy imperatives in the same way that the oligarchs are able to select the government to implement their agendas in an entirely bipartisan way. Rather than the White House issue daily directives to the editorial staff of major news organizations as in any vanilla dictatorship, the editorial staff and the corporate owners a priori know **“All the news that's fit to print.”** With the right placement of assets and stooges in the news organizations, the tactical synchronization of worldwide propaganda is made superlatively effective – as the 'War on Terror' against 'Militant Islam'. Also see Chapter 4: [The Role of Mass Media in Empire Building](#) (<http://tinyurl.com/m5mbri>).

6. Additionally, see the watered down 'non-conspiratorial' model of self-censorship to stay gainfully employed, and the self-selection of like-minded blind-sighted journalist-editorial-staff in any news organization as per the often unstated overarching imperatives of the news organization in which one automatically knows what flourishes and what doesn't, in Noam Chomsky's *Manufacturing Consent*, 1986. Chomsky's treatise is applicable more to the incestuous self-censorship of shallow but well-intentioned mental-midgets – the bulwark of the newsmedia – rather than the calculating mercenarial agendists and vulgar propagandists selectively planted in key positions for psy-ops by the 'Mighty Wurlitzer'. More insidious than “manufacturing consent” however, is “manufacturing dissent”, something which Noam Chomsky has unfortunately written little about, leaving it to his nondescript student to unravel in [“Weapons of Mass](#)

- [Deception – The Master Social Science](http://tinyurl.com/la5bv6)” (<http://tinyurl.com/la5bv6>). Witness the manufactured dissent in real action wherein it retains the same core-axioms as manufactured consent, in “[My beef with the stellar congressman Hon. Ron Paul](#)” September 15, 2009 (<http://tinyurl.com/nn6dth>). Also see “[Open Letter to Hon. Ron Paul Supporters](#)” October 29, 2008 (<http://tinyurl.com/lawra8>).
7. What permits all this manipulation and deception to occur? It is the deliberate gaping-hole left in the *'fourth pillar of democracy'* by the founders of the world's greatest modern Republic on paper – a colossus in practice which has today taken over the world with its systems, values, and [McDonalds backed by McDonnell Douglas](#) (<http://tinyurl.com/mcwwuv>) whereby, the once 'hidden hand' of the market which went so hand-in-hand with its 'hidden fist' is now nakedly un-gloved – as explained in *Prisoners of the Cave*, Chapter 7: [Resistance to Empire – New Directions](#) (<http://tinyurl.com/m22r3s>).
 8. See the Message-Machine in its full-blooming operation today in “[Anatomy of Modern Lies and the Merchants of Death](#)” (<http://tinyurl.com/ldr8mp>).
 9. See the spin on Hugh Wilford's book *the Mighty Wurlitzer* by Michael Warner in *Intelligence in Recent Public Literature*, as if willful public deception for a 'greater cause' was only the moral and categorical imperative of the past very judiciously engaged in by superlative *'presidents and key Congressmen [who] held “a fairly sophisticated point of view” that understood that “the public exhibition of unorthodox views was a potent weapon against monolithic communist uniformity of action.” The CIA subsidized freedom in order to expose the lies of tyrants—and then winced silently when that freedom led to an occasional bite on America’s hand.'* The full URL is revealing: <https://www.cia.gov/library/center-for-the-study-of-intelligence/csi-publications/csi-studies/studies/vol52no2/pdf/U-%20Studies%2052-2%20-Jun08-MightyWurlitzer-Web.pdf> (<http://tinyurl.com/nrn8yq>)

10. This self-study would be incomplete without acquiring some familiarity with the name Edward Bernays. See his 1928 seminal classic on the art of behavior manipulation and involuntary persuasion titled '[Propaganda](http://tinyurl.com/lS7fcs)' (<http://tinyurl.com/lS7fcs>). Read it online [here](#). Watch Bernays describe his mind-craft briefly [here](#). With more time on one's hands, watch this four hours long, four-part BBC4 documentary titled "[The Century of Self](#)" featuring the work of Edward Bernays, the Freud family, and the political psychoanalysts: [part-1](#) (<http://tinyurl.com/yfh9gfa>), [part-2](#), [part-3](#), [part4](#). These mass manipulation techniques for both "[engineering of consent](#)" and its dialectical "[manufacturing of dissent](#)" are part and parcel of the Mighty Wurlitzer's ordinary persuasion toolkit. More specialized psychological tools are continually explored and refined in many think-places in the West under the sanitized vernacular of "Human Relations" and "Public Relations", including presumably at the [Tavistock Institute in London](#). Listen to Aldous Huxley's take on where mind-behavior manipulation techniques are rapidly headed, wherein people will simply end up loving their own servitude, titled "[The Ultimate Revolution](#)" (<http://tinyurl.com/ahuxley>), March 20, 1962, at Berkeley.
11. Today, the Edward Bernays led comprehension of mass psychology, and its sophisticated manipulation as an [essential instrument of state-craft](http://tinyurl.com/endless-mindfck) (<http://tinyurl.com/endless-mindfck>) – **the social "engineering of consent"** – is empirical, and quite diabolical. This is betrayed, for instance, not only in the 1996 book by Zbigniew Brzezinski titled "**The Grand Chessboard**" where he noted his now famous maxim "***Democracy is inimical to imperial mobilization***", but also in the 1997-98 report by the so called "[Terrorism Study Group](#)" which accurately predicted the chain reaction of reaction-response cycle to '**Catastrophic Terrorism**', predicated upon the psychological axiom '**Public Assumptions' Shape Views of History**: *"Such presumptions are beliefs (1) thought to be true (although not necessarily known to be true with certainty), and (2) shared in common within the relevant political community. The sources for such presumptions are both*

personal (from direct experience) and vicarious (from books, movies, and myths).” The following passage from [History commons](#) (<http://tinyurl.com/mlzfn5>) lends [prima facie evidence](#) (<http://tinyurl.com/6qhgfg>) to how the Mighty Wurlitzer diabolically harnessed the 'searing' or 'molding' event of 911, the “**new Pearl Harbor**”, to successfully implant the public myths for the never ending “[War on Terror](#)” (<http://tinyurl.com/dmr8gr>) deemed necessary by political psychoanalysts for overcoming the democratic instinctual aversion to “**imperial mobilization**”:

*“An act of catastrophic terrorism that killed thousands or tens of thousands of people and/or disrupted the necessities of life for hundreds of thousands, or even millions, would be a watershed event in America’s history. It could involve loss of life and property unprecedented for peacetime and undermine Americans’ fundamental sense of security within their own borders in a manner akin to the 1949 Soviet atomic bomb test, or perhaps even worse. Constitutional liberties would be challenged as the United States sought to protect itself from further attacks by pressing against allowable limits in surveillance of citizens, detention of suspects, and the use of deadly force. More violence would follow, either as other terrorists seek to imitate this great ‘success’ or as the United States strikes out at those considered responsible. **Like Pearl Harbor, such an event would divide our past and future into a ‘before’ and ‘after.’**”*

12. Another immediately pertinent text-book example of Edward Bernays' influence on social engineering with the help of the Mighty Wurlitzer, is the psychological mantras and existential pretexts created to rapidly bring the “Ultimate Revolution” to fruition with microchip RFID implants in human beings. See [Swine Flu: The Ultimate Revolution in the Making](#) and the [Note on Myth Construction](#) (<http://tinyurl.com/2byhwml>). Climategate is clearly yet another Mighty

Wurlitzer operation: see [Between Global Warming and Global Governance – Concern for Environment is a ‘Hegelian Mind Fck!’](#) (<http://tinyurl.com/cb74c6>). Also see [Reflections on Modernity, Climategate, Pandemic, Peer Review, and Science in the Service of Empire](#) (<http://tinyurl.com/science-and-empire>).

13. Finally, the question of [reform](#) continually arises among the antagonists of the Mighty Wurlitzer, [the idealists](#), and the [“malcontents”](#). They would rather pretend that some rational “reform” can do away with the Mighty Wurlitzer altogether. The following response to the question raised by Robert Jensen, Professor of Journalism, **“Can journalism schools be relevant in a world on the brink?”**, September 15, 2009, injects a doze of reality-check: [“Re-titling can perhaps make the problem-space more apparent: Can journalism schools be relevant in a New World Order of one-world government?”](#) (<http://tinyurl.com/kpgkth>). Edward Bernays brilliant successes at mass behavior manipulation has conclusively shown that masses are typically not motivated into action by information, rather, by appeal to their irrational sub-conscious mind, to their fears, and that they remain quite susceptible under hidden emotional manipulation. It appears to this cynic, that unless the much wanted fourth pillar of democracy, journalism – the watchdog upon the corridors of power – adopts these same manipulative tactics of the oligarchs in order to even begin to play its theoretically assigned role in a theoretical constitutional republic, it is destined to largely remain a tool of signaling and communication among the elite themselves, and of the masses' manipulation, regardless of any window dressing of reform! To substantiate this egregious point, see table 3 titled “APPROXIMATE USE OF MEDIA” in Zbigniew Brzezinski's seminal book [“Between Two Ages - America's Role in the Technetronic Era”](#), page 14 (<http://tinyurl.com/nqlxk5>). The readership data for the printed press like the NYT, while quantitatively dated, is qualitatively even more attuned to the hypnotic reality of television mass media today where useful advance information can sometimes be gleaned in

the inside pages of the elite's own printed press – provided one has learnt how to parse the elite's language – but rarely if ever on mass television.

Conclusion

When [*Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*](#) to orchestrate a [*one-world government*](#) is the ingrained doctrine among the 'ubermensch' and their instruments, it is trivial to come up with any rationalism for even the most atrocious barbarism inflicted upon the 'untermenschen'. Convince the public of absurdities and one can get them to acquiesce to any atrocity – from outright murderous invasion of other nations to torturing their civilians in the most horrendous conditions, to getting their own citizens to accept giving up their civil liberties without a sigh. Nothing new here – 'standard 007 issue' of the hectoring hegemons! That same Mighty Wurlitzer and its paymasters however would be chewing on cyanide capsules in another situation. It is best not to forget that. Baboons wear no clothes – even when they steal the sunglasses.

- ### -

Source URL: <http://print-humanbeingsfirst.blogspot.com/2009/05/note-on-mighty-wurlitzer.html>

Source PDF: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2010/08/note-on-mighty-wurlitzer-updated-aug282010.pdf>

Source DOC: <http://humanbeingsfirst.files.wordpress.com/2010/08/note-on-mighty-wurlitzer-updated-aug282010.doc>

activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents [here](#)), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <http://PrisonersoftheCave.org>. He may be reached at <http://Humanbeingsfirst.org>. Verbatim reproduction license at <http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org#Copyright>.

Last updated 08/28/2010 12:00:05 4722

A Note on the Mighty Wurlitzer 12 / 12

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government'

Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government'

Zahir Ebrahim

Project Humanbeingsfirst.org

December 11, 2008

'I have never believed that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US. I have never seen black helicopters hovering in the sky above Montana. But, for the first time in my life, I think the formation of some sort of world government is plausible. A “world government” would involve much more than co-operation between nations. It would be an entity with state-like characteristics, backed by a body of laws. The European Union has already set up a continental government for 27 countries, which could be a model. The EU has a supreme court, a currency, thousands of pages of law, a large civil service and the ability to deploy military force. So could the European model go global? There are three reasons for thinking that it might. First, it is increasingly clear that the most difficult issues facing national governments are international in nature: there is global warming, a global financial crisis and a “global war on terror”.' (Gideon Rachman, And now for a world government, Financial Times, [December 8 2008](#))

And there you have it, right from the mouthpiece of high finance, the skill for

the New World Order, the media asset of the intelligence apparatus, testing the water temperature. This time, the FT's chief foreign affairs columnist lets the full caboodle out of the bag, saying exactly what Project Humanbeingsfirst has been warning about: that the most natural solution to global fictions and global manufactured crises will be presented as “world government”. As David Icke had pointed out over ten years ago, there has to come a point at which the devilish conspiracy for world government will need to break surface. But before that time, all references to it must be discredited as 'tin-hatted' conspiracy theories. That breaking of surface has been happening gradually in disjoint bits and fragments for the past few years. Even Congressman Ron Paul blatantly [talked about it](#) during the 2008 Republican Debates carried on CNN – something that would have been unheard of in mainstream coverage in the past. But this instance in the Financial Times editorial is the most egregious testing of the waters because it brings all the manufactured global boogiemens together, and exactly posits their solution-space as “world government”. It brings to full circle implementation these ominous words of G. Edward Griffin from '[The Capitalist Conspiracy](#)':

“Create conditions so frightful at home and abroad, that the abandonment of personal liberties and national sovereignty, will appear as a reasonable price for a return to domestic tranquility and world peace.”

A bit of high-school level few studious nights homework would reveal that all three items on Mr. Gideon Rachman's list are elaborately manufactured fictions. To know that **a) global warming**, is a politically motivated global fiction, or at best, of a highly contentious nature among scientists themselves and therefore, hardly a scientific fact upon which such a monumental global policy as world-government can be advocated, begin at Steve Watson's short news story '[Over 650 Scientists Challenge Global Warming “Consensus”](#)', and [download PDF](#) of the full 231 page report released December 11, 2008, titled: '**U. S. Senate Minority Report: More Than 650 International Scientists Dissent Over Man-Made Global Warming Claims - Scientists Continue to Debunk “Consensus” in 2008**'. Or read its [Introduction Chapter here](#). To learn that **b) global financial crisis**, is deliberately manufactured, the [Monetary Reform Bibliography](#) contains sufficient references and perspective which ties it all together. To understand that **c) global war on terror**, is synthetic and fabricated, read the minuscule compilation of Project Humanbeingsfirst's reports which succinctly unravels it all: [The WAR on TERROR 2008 Omnibus Collection \(PDF\)](#).

After doing one's due diligence and all that homework, where does that leave a bewildered but commonsensical person? It at least leaves one to ponder that such deep intelligence propaganda programs spinning manufactured death in a perpetual war that is intended to last for lifetime, spinning manufactured global financial collapse as happenstance of overspending due to Wall Street shortsightedness, and spinning natural climate changes as manmade – [all to create global governance structures piece-meal and through faits accomplis](#) – are being relentlessly seeded into peoples' consciousness, and not one in the worldwide mainstream news media is able to call on it? Are all of them morons? Or are they all sell-outs? How can that be? How does the "[Mighty Wurlitzer](#)" accomplish this?

The answer to that can also be easily understood – for we know far too much from recent history, if only one is reminded of it. Notice how Rachman begins his editorial "***I have never believed that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US***", such that in a single opening sentence, he puts to rest why he might now be saying the same thing that the so called [conspiracy theorists](#) have been asserting for many years. He presents his version as a new emerging necessity to the global problems. What is this – other than a very sophisticated intelligence psy-op to now make it acceptable to take the conspiracy out of the previously discredited realm of 'tin-hatters' and start discussing it as the preferred solution-space? But coming from a respectable news media like the Financial Times(?) they are hardly a tabloid newspaper, one might ask. Such psyops and disinformation is the norm rather than the exception, as the following two articles disclose: Carl Bernstein's '[THE CIA AND THE MEDIA](#)', and Richard Keeble's '[Hacks And Spooks](#)'.

In addition, the following passage from the court testimony of expert witness Mr. William Schaap on psyops and disinformation, dated November 30, 1999, is very useful in acquiring a perspective that is denied to most Western peoples. Watch his hour long [video testimony](#) or read its [transcript](#). This is what he says on 'conspiracy':

“The average American would hear something from the government or hear the news on television and assumes that what they're hearing is the truth unless they're shown otherwise. They assume that almost nothing is ever a conspiracy.

In Europe it's very much the opposite. Anything happens. They

tend to think it's a conspiracy unless you show them that it wasn't a conspiracy. I mean, after all, "conspiracy" just means, you know, more than one person being involved in something. And if you stop and think about it, almost everything significant that happens anywhere involves more than one person.

Yet here there is a -- not a myth really, but there's just an underlying assumption that most things are not conspiracies. And when you have that, it enables a government which has a propaganda program, has a disinformation program, to be relatively successful in -- in having its disinformation accepted. ... But another reason it works is that disinformation is very, very effective over time. The longer that you, whoever you are, can control the spin on a story, the more that spin becomes accepted as the absolute truth. And in this country the government has a great deal of power and influence over that spin."

The conclusion of this response therefore, is best expressed in the 1974 prescriptive words of the CFR author Richard N. Gardner, from his article in Foreign Affairs titled: '[The Hard Road To World Order](#)'. The former Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for International Organizations under Kennedy and Johnson, and a member of the Trilateral Commission, had accurately captured the [Zeitgeist](#) which was to exist in the near future – and that future is here today – in which, the import of Mr. Gideon Rachman's editorial becomes clear:

"In short, the 'house of world order' will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion' to use William James' famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault."

(If the above link for the Financial Times news story doesn't work, access it through Mr. Rachman's [Financial Times blog](#). Also see his two followups after being bombarded by adverse comments [here](#) and [here](#).)

Please send your letter to editor to [Project Humanbeingsfirst](#), and to the

[Financial Times](#), airing your opinion whether you agree, or acquiesce, to losing the independence of your nation-state to solve the problems outlined by the Financial Times and the [global ruling elite](#)!

Thank you.

NB. See [On Global Warming](#).

[Print](#)

The author, an ordinary researcher and writer on contemporary geopolitics, a minor justice activist, grew up in Pakistan, studied EECS at MIT, engineered for a while in high-tech Silicon Valley (patents [here](#)), and retired early to pursue other responsible interests. His maiden 2003 book was rejected by six publishers and can be read on the web at <http://PrisonersoftheCave.org>. He may be reached at <http://Humanbeingsfirst.org>. Verbatim reproduction license at <http://www.humanbeingsfirst.org#Copyright>.

Links Updated: 090120090714231506

Response to Financial Times Gideon Rachman's 'And now for a world government'

[Newer Post](#) [Older Post](#) [Home](#)

Prisoners of the Cave

Chapter 2

Chapter 2

Don't Ever Ask “Why is it possible to hate America”

The US mainstream media never tires of unquestioningly mouthing the Government's version that this war is all about “war on terrorism”. There are many who argue that this war is actually about many things, but the only thing it is not about, is fighting “terrorism”. Because if it was, then the first question to be asked would have been “why”. Why did 'they' hit us on 9/11? For any crime, ask the FBI what they look for? Yes the motive! The Why!

Have we seen much of the questioning “why?”*1

If the mainstream news media had tried to help us understand the 'why' by looking for a motive, instead of the totally senseless parroting of the President's equally inane ~ “*they hate us because we are good and a democracy*”, we might have noticed the little obscure fact that while as despicable an act it is to kill 3000 American innocent civilians in the heart of America, of whom at least 350 dead were innocent Muslims as well, America has been doing 9/11 on other nations regularly since the end of WWII. We might even have understood that the way we do it, is not by running airliners full of innocent passengers into tall buildings and making big news headlines, but with more subtlety and finesses, which though less shocking and purposely less newsworthy, is usually far more devastating than what this

country suffered.

So let's briefly review the "why" question that the American media might have explored, even by taking 9/11 on its face value that it was a 'Pearl Harbor' surprise invasion from abroad rather than one complicitly aided and abetted from within, and tried to uncover "why" it is possible to hate America.

Unveiling America's Secret History

We employ deceit and proxy agents to do our dirty deeds, the dictatorships we impose and support by supplying them weapons that get used to suppress their own people as in Indonesia and the entire Middle East; the clandestine overthrow of elected democracies that we engineer as in Iran in 1953 by hoisting the most ruthless dictatorship in the form of a kingdom on the people of Iran, and we aid and abet the king to suppress his own people for three decades, its secret police SAVAK being next to none in oppressive tactics and remaining closely allied with the Israeli Mossad and the American CIA until the very end; the insurrections and coups and arming of the rebels that we pursue in the name of ideology and "national interests" as in almost every country in South America for decades. The US brought down the democratically elected government of Salvador Allende and replaced him with the right-wing despotic General Augusto Pinochet on September 11, 1973 in Chile, there being a somewhat ironical association with the date 9/11. Not only was Allende assassinated, thousands of his supporters, mostly left wing, were rounded up, tortured, and put to death, with active support of the US to the military junta of Pinochet. It is amply documented in Christopher Hitchen's *The Trial Of Henry Kissinger* (56 – 76). Kissinger had once remarked that he saw no reason to allow a certain country (Chile) to "go Marxist" just because "*its people are irresponsible*". General Colin Powell, the US Secretary of State when asked during a question answer session before the war in Iraq began, about the hypocrisy that we want to bring Democracy to Iraq but we brought a ruthless General's murderous dictatorship to Chile, remarked ~ "*that was not the most attractive moment in US history*".

And just recently on seeing the populace demand in the majority population

in Shi'ite Iraq for a religious government styled after Iran, Donald Rumsfeld, the US Defense Secretary remarked that he had no interest in allowing such a theocratic government to come to power in post war Iraq despite its persistent demand by the majority people of Iraq, and despite the US government's own public proclamation that this war is all about bringing democracy to Iraq! So is it a democracy from a list of Generals that US dictates? It is pointless rehearsing all the history because not only is it well documented, but one might well argue that it was in those bad old days of the Cold War, and this war on Iraq is all about Bin Laden collaborating with Sadaam to take down the towers so we can't possibly have more mullahs running Iraq. So let's examine what occurred last year under the saintly stewardship of this White House in Venezuela, where none of these allegations can be true.

The three day military coup in Venezuela in 2002 is a fascinating example of contemporary US foreign policy initiatives for protecting "*American national interests*" abroad. The popularly elected government of Hugo Chavez, a man of the lay people, whose government is very popular among the masses but not among the minority elite which own most of the country, or the press which is vehemently against him, the presidency of OPEC presently being in the hands of Venezuela and this nation being the largest oil supplier for the US, was over thrown by the military in a coup d'état. The very first things the new General did, in the 72 hours that he was in power was: 1) suspend the democratic constitution, 2) dismiss the elected representatives, and 3) dismiss the judges. The New York Times in less than 24 hours of the coup, in its very next day edition brought out the now infamous headlines ~ "*Democracy has now been restored in Venezuela*"! The fascinating details of this farce were broadcast on Pacifica, including why the US should be interested in removing Chavez and evidence implicating it. As I recall it was Robert Fisk once again who did the reporting from Caracas. His interviews are probably still available on Pacifica's website for anyone betting that America is a noble country that only wishes to bring democracy to the uncivilized world. The way the coup was defeated so quickly by the hundreds of thousands of ordinary peasants and supporters of Chavez is a guiding beacon for the citizenry of the world on how to resist US inflicted 'democracy'.

“It is only irresistible if one chooses not to resist”, as I believe I once heard Tariq Ali, the famous antiwar activist, remark.

The economic policies we force them to adopt through our sophisticated machinations of sanctions and instruments of economic hegemony such as the WTO treaties, the World Bank, the IMF, and the ECA, as in almost every developing country in the world except Malaysia and Cuba who refused help from the World Bank and escaped.*2 Since the end of WWII, 104 stifling economic sanctions have been imposed on the civil populations of the world. *“In 1998 alone, the US had sanctions against 75 countries, accounting for 52% of the world's population.”**3 Then of course, there is the least talked about and totally unaccountable and unregulated ECA (Export Credit Agency), a lending agency to the developing world. It is a unique partnership between corporations and the American tax payers, and according to some experts, far worse than the world Bank.*4

The structural re-adjustments in poor countries pushed by these lending and aid agencies through corrupt dictatorships, oblige these developing nations to high interest loans for useless projects that are subsequently contracted out to multinational corporations, and in return for privatization of their national resources for a song. The corrupt leadership also nets a few million in the process and make palatial homes with it. While the poor are squeezed dry by higher taxes and even higher cost for their own natural resources.

Privatization of drinking water in Cochabamba Bolivia at the hands of Bechtel Corporation, or electricity in India at the hands of Enron Corporation, is a glaring example of this.*5

This is a two prong economic assault on the developing countries – prevent them from making their own lower cost products indigenously, and destroy their existing indigenous low tech capacity to make them your perpetual consumers. It is necessary to briefly explore this incredible system of exploitation of the developing nations, where 3/4th to 4/5th of all humanity make their home. It might help you understand with clarity “why they hate us” more than a million hours of watching American mainstream news and Sunday morning talk shows.

The first aspect of this is imposed through various Free Trade Agreements

and WTO regimes to ensure that items which are of necessity, such as essential medicines for killer diseases for instance, cannot be locally manufactured through intellectual property rights patent protection – thus ensuring that only the multinationals will be supplying the products at their own asking premiums to a captive audience for a very long time. If you don't pay, you die. It is rather besides the point who invested what in developing the life saving drugs, as in this example. The entire system is designed to support this monopolistic endeavor by denying the fruits of Western labors to the developing nations, while harvesting them of all their own resources, with rules of the game that were also conveniently invented by the West. The American courts granted the idea of patent protection to all kinds of things – some even unpatentable things – but it was for their own domestic competition and the American's own internal matter. Since when did American courts acquire jurisdiction over other countries? Since empire! What empire? The empire spawned by the Multinationals in whose interest it is to monopolize their products through the protection of the military and economic imperial reach of the hectoring hegemons.

The second aspect is how these multinationals destroy the domestic small time mom and pop producers just as they did in America, for the benefit of huge profits for their shareholders and stock market speculators on Wall Street. The multinationals come in and buy out the local companies and local producers. Once the local competition is wiped out, they reign supreme. Even if they want to, the local indigenous producers cannot compete with these giant corporations due to their fantastic economies of scale, high tech sophistication in manufacturing, slick psychological marketing, and enormous initial price competitiveness where the multinationals can out compete a local producer or a domestic self-sufficiency because of their other diversified businesses and products worldwide. They command so much surplus cash and leverage that they can cleverly circumvent the toothless anti-dumping clauses in trade agreements and other meaningless rules that the poor developing nations are sold on and made to sign on the dotted line. Just the sheer magnitude of resources they can bring to bear to wipe out local competition, ranging from preferential host government policies to outright buyouts at ten to hundred times the market value of the local businesses, can

entirely destroy domestic producers. And thus the concomitant self-reliance of an independent nation, making everyone only abject consumers of multinationals in the name of progress and “modernity”.

Often the same products that they previously grew, raised, or produced themselves, admittedly sometimes of lesser quality due to their own low tech but independent and self-reliant ways, they are now obliged to purchase from the multinationals. They either become unemployed in the process, or through economic conscription, come into the service of their new pay masters – the New East India Companies of the New American Century. Admittedly some do benefit, and typically it is the same 1-2% educated and affluent class who are employed in managerial positions, or who can afford the luxuries of life. The common man just sweats more blood and tears to eke out a subsistence living. Thus “Multinationalism” finds natural allies among the ruling elite in any developing nation. And it is the same folks who also control the wealth, resources, and unaccountable power in the poor nation! They will create fantastic rationalizations for their support of the Multinationals, ranging from acquiescence to the fait-accompli of their presence in their nation (can’t beat ‘em, join ‘em), to “*trickle down economics*” that they learnt from their Western masters that George H. W. Bush Sr. once had the temerity to call “*Voodoo economics*”, to actively seeking and soliciting them as finance and trade ministers, bankers, and Chambers of Commerce of the nation. Avarice is nationalism blind – which is why we have “Multinationalism” today on a global scale. No one will deny these observations because they have become truisms today. Indeed, the wealthy commerce man in the developing nations would likely retort back: *what century are you living in, this is reality today my friend, get with it*, as I have experienced first hand in my interlocution of many a rich and powerful in the industry and society in my own developing country.

This is the world wide Wall Street based empire that America is crafting with the backing of its big military machine and economic muscle as the sole superpower. You either march to the American tune to secure an “*American peace*” for the Americans and their favored allies in the industrialized nations, or not at all. It is equally insidious how this marching is forced upon the poor

and the lowly – how the developing nations are prevailed upon to open up the doors to their domestic markets. John Perkins, a former consultant for the World Bank, finally found the courage after trying for over a decade, to write about his role as an “economic hitman” for the World Bank in helping destroy developing nations’ indigenous economies world wide in the guise of “development”. His amazing book, *Confessions of an Economic Hitman*, must be made essential reading for all Americans. He explains how the developing nations are deliberately and systematically made beholden to the World Bank and the IMF, and then subsequently, in exchange for alleviating debt repayment, forced to make structural reforms towards privatization from state ownership and public commons held in the public trust for the benefit of the indigenous peoples.*6 Often times, these are accompanied with compromises in local government policies and rules regarding zoning, pollution, work standards, compensation, and remitting the enormous profits back to the home countries in the industrialized West, all of which favor the multinationals to the detriment of their own domestic industries, their own foreign currency reserves, and their own peoples.

While in America, the corporations worry about OSHA regulations and workman compensations, and in paying a fair wage for 40 hour work-week with essential healthcare to boot, there are usually no such standards for other human beings on the planet employed by these corporations, especially those in the production capacity. There, human labor is just a commodity to keep while it is useful and productive, and to discard when it has outlived its usefulness. I have witnessed these things first hand in my own country, in factories supplying to multinationals and export only businesses – and that includes pretty much everything one buys in supermarkets like Walmart, K-Mart, Costco, etc. in America. These inhuman work conditions are not implemented by the “White Man” himself, his hands and suits are too clean to mess with such exploitation directly, but by his dutiful proxy agents – the local employers and local ruling elite who lord over their own peoples in the service of their masters du-jour. While outside their factories in industrial areas they will have large posted signs saying “No Child Labor Used Here”, the villages are full of sub-contractors employing whosoever they want and in whatsoever work condition they want, especially for cottage industries that require small

fingers and delicate hands. It's the wink wink and nod nod of the ruling elite, and business as usual for everyone. None of these things are especially secret – they are in fact, right out in the open.

These new corporate marauders, not too unlike their imperial predecessor East India Company, come in with any crack in the door that is invariably there due to the corruption of petty dictators wielding absolute powers and ruling their public with an iron fist, and is then forced to be made open wider and wider with each new debt service deferment plan by the IMF, and each new loan for new monstrous “development” project from the World Bank and all its sister affiliates.

The impact of this economic slavery is quite obvious for everyone to see, it is not buried in secret and classified documents. All one has to do is to survey the developing world to examine who is doing business there, what is on the shelves in their stores and who has produced them, and where are all the profits from these products and services being repatriated to and in what currency. In the vast majority of cases, the trail of money will start at Wall Street, and end at Wall Street. The poor developing nations only consume like good consumers, and at the end of the year, are left with a huge foreign exchange deficit because that's how profit made in local currencies is remitted in dollars and Euros and Yens back to the greedily awaiting stock holders in the developed nations. A poverty stricken developing nation, often having sufficient natural resources under its own soil to be self-reliant in many cases, is already in enormous debt to various lending agencies of the West under the guise of “development”. It is thus further unable to pay its huge debts due to the depletion of its foreign currency reserves after the multinationals have extracted their pound of flesh, and consequently continues on in the perpetual vicious lender's trap of: *here is some more money for debt servicing, now be a good boy and make the following changes in your laws so more big multinationals can come rape you further but we will call it privatization and market liberalization.*

It is an amazing self-sustaining positive feedback loop from the minds of the money lenders, if I might be permitted to use my engineering parlance to describe their usurpative system. And as any engineer understands, all such

loops are unsustainable and unstable. The best example of such instability that actually reached critical mass due to the unbridled greed and ambitions of one such American Corporation, the Bechtel Corporation of San Francisco, is the oft repeated story of the brave peoples of Cochabamba in Bolivia. One could, if one had the courage, consider this economic onslaught and unprovoked aggression by the West, as much a call to arms in self defense as those early courageous patriots did who had the sense and thechutzpah to throw all that tea overboard. The British too were fighting a “war on terrorism” at that time for empire!

While all this is going on, the Americans are told by their politicians and parroted by their media that the US is the most generous and altruistic of nations, even though their own Ex. President Jimmy Carter finds the courage to tell the truth after leaving office that “*We are the stingiest nation of all*” to Christian Science Monitor.*7

Dear thinking reader, link this global reality today to George Kennan's imperial advice from 1948 (see next), to the warnings of the unquenchable hydra of the American military-industrial complex by President Eisenhower, and to the hectoring hegemon's desire for America's “*preeminence*” and “*full spectrum dominance*”, and judge for yourself whether it is the great munificence of a superpower, or a case of Kafkaesque 'knowledgeable ignorance' of its peoples.

Continuing with how we do things in America, if all else fails, the wars we impose when they try to wiggle out of our control by covert and overt weapons support to the proxy agents, as in the 8 year war waged by Iraq on Iran resulting in over a million Muslims dead. And we do all this while we continue to maintain the cover of “plausible deniability” just in case we are caught as in Iran-Contra case. The two books by investigative journalist Dilip Hero: *Iraq – In the Eye of the Storm*, and *The Longest War – The Iran-Iraq Military Conflict* documents the devastation suffered by both the countries at the instigation and support of the US, eventually to both sides, to keep them fighting. An official in the US government had even commented something to the effect ~“*let them kill each other*”.

And we totally rely on the collective amnesia of the docile news media to ever

remind let alone inform the nation of its past sins as in this “war on Iraq”, where there has been a complete silence on the history of Iraq – and who brought the Baathist to power, who armed, aided and abetted them as geopolitical chess pieces for three decades, who starved and killed their civilians through 12 long years of economic sanctions. When the alleged retributions come for our sins and many more innocent lives are lost, we wonder “why do they hate us”. While all this goes on, the guilty continue to sit 'pretty' in high office, or go on lecture circuits commanding high fees after they leave office, and are sometimes even awarded the Nobel Peace Prize if they do their PR right.

How to win the Nobel Peace Prize

President Jimmy Carter, known as the conscionable president, refused to bomb Tehran despite recommendations from his wife and advisors, as noted by a speaker recently, builds homes for Habitat for Humanity with his own bare hands, and is the winner of the Nobel Peace Prize. His own National Security Advisor (ZB) took credit for handing the Soviets their Vietnam in Afghanistan, leading to the destruction of an entire civilization and loss of multiple of its generations to multiple civil wars and poverty, eventually leading to 911 – if one is to believe the facile version of 911 put forth by the American Government. Whether or not Bin Laden was involved in 911, the facts of history attest to the machinations of the United States of America in the exercise of its military and economic power since the end of World War II as forcefully articulated by George Kennan in 1948:

*“We should cease to talk about vague and – for the Far East – unreal objectives such as human rights, the raising of living standards, and democratization. The day is not far off when we are going to have to deal in straight power concepts.”*8*

ZB's own confessions to this end are highly instructive. The 1998 ZB interview to *Le Nouvel Observateur*, translated from the original French by author and historian William Blum, is reproduced below. The translator also footnoted that: “* There are at least two editions of this magazine; with the

perhaps sole exception of the Library of Congress, the version sent to the United States is shorter than the French version, and the Brzezinski interview was not included in the shorter version.”

Question: *The former director of the CIA, Robert Gates, stated in his memoirs [“From the Shadows”], that American intelligence services began to aid the Mujahadeen in Afghanistan 6 months before the Soviet intervention. In this period you were the national security adviser to President Carter. You therefore played a role in this affair. Is that correct?*

Brzezinski: *Yes. According to the official version of history, CIA aid to the Mujahadeen began during 1980, that is to say, after the Soviet army invaded Afghanistan, 24 Dec 1979. But the reality, secretly guarded until now, is completely otherwise. Indeed, it was July 3, 1979 that President Carter signed the first directive for secret aid to the opponents of the pro-Soviet regime in Kabul. And that very day, I wrote a note to the president in which I explained to him that in my opinion this aid was going to induce a Soviet military intervention.*

Question: *Despite this risk, you were an advocate of this covert action. But perhaps you yourself desired this Soviet entry into war and looked to provoke it?*

Brzezinski: *It isn't quite that. We didn't push the Russians to intervene, but we knowingly increased the probability that they would.*

Question: *When the Soviets justified their intervention by asserting that they intended to fight against a secret involvement of the United States in Afghanistan, people didn't believe them. However, there was a basis of truth. You don't regret anything today?*

Brzezinski: *Regret what? That secret operation was an excellent idea. It had the effect of drawing the Russians into the Afghan trap and you want me to regret it? The day that the Soviets officially crossed the border, I wrote to President Carter. We now have the opportunity of giving to the USSR its Vietnam war. Indeed, for almost 10 years, Moscow had to carry on a war unsupportable by the*

government, a conflict that brought about the demoralization and finally the breakup of the Soviet empire.

Question: *And neither do you regret having supported the Islamic fundamentalism, having given arms and advice to future terrorists?*

Brzezinski: *What is most important to the history of the world? The Taliban or the collapse of the Soviet empire? Some stirred-up Moslems or the liberation of Central Europe and the end of the cold war?*

Question: *Some stirred-up Moslems? But it has been said and repeated Islamic fundamentalism represents a world menace today.*

Brzezinski: *Nonsense! It is said that the West had a global policy in regard to Islam. That is stupid. There isn't a global Islam. Look at Islam in a rational manner and without demagoguery or emotion. It is the leading religion of the world with 1.5 billion followers. But what is there in common among Saudi Arabian fundamentalism, moderate Morocco, Pakistan militarism, Egyptian pro-Western or Central Asian secularism? Nothing more than what unites the Christian countries.*9*

Frighteningly amoral execution of George Kennan's policy articulation from 1948 of "going to have to deal in straight power concepts", no? Wouldn't you say that all American Presidents have been doing exactly that?

I had also personally witnessed on television, President Carter in 1978 toasting to the health of the King of Persia, Raza Shah, with approximately the following words: ~"To your majesty, to the love that your people have for you." This to a tyrant responsible for brutally suppressing his own people with American supplied weapons, and while Carter is toasting his host inside the Palace, outside the streets are filled with people protesting their king. When the revolution proceeds a few month later, instead of a mea culpa, a reign of vilification, long war, and sanctions is imposed on the people of Iran. And for what crime? For wanting their freedom from American-CIA imposed tyranny at the hands of one of their own elite? The Iran Hostage Crisis, covered on ABC Nightline daily, which I would occasionally catch while eating dinner in the late night cafeteria at MIT, as I recall was quite devoid of any significant

history or accurate analysis of the past 26 years leading up to the crisis. I subsequently saw a shredded memo painstakingly put together and freely available in the streets of most countries in the region about some of the imperial work being done by the American staff in the US embassy in Teheran, whom the hostage takers were calling CIA spies. The taking of these hostages, many of them civilians, was probably the biggest blunder the Iranians made after their revolution, and were paid for in spades by America with the war imposed on them through Iraq. If Jimmy Carter had deserved any Peace Prize, it would have been to avert the crisis with Tehran and successfully bring back the hostages, make amends with Iran for its people finally exercising their will and set the stage for friendship between the two countries, leaving a legacy of peace and prosperity for the region and appreciated the world over. He did not do that.

What is the prize for?

You might say Camp David and Egypt-Israel peace accord over a desert that was militarily occupied in a war? When his own people call Anwar Sadaat a traitor for making his private and separate peace with Israel and breaking up the Arab stance on Palestine which is what Israel wanted all along; and he is also a despised dictator of his own people hated and killed by them for his oppressive policies only to be replaced by another brutish dictator who is also continually kept in power by being the second largest US aid recipient in the world after Israel – is that a peace at the barrel of a gun or an enduring peace with justice?

Brokering a “peace accord” that was only a new manifestation of an old “divide and conquer” plan that the peoples at least on one side of it did not want, and which only allowed Israel a freer hand to continue suppressing the Palestinians and incrementally continue to swallow up their lands without interference from its Arab neighbors, instead of one in which all could have lived in justice and peace with full rights of return for those displaced, is an imperial farce forced upon a beleaguered peoples. And the impact of precisely this “peace accord” for which Carter got the “peace” prize are visible to all and sundry in Palestine – an amazing case study in faits accomplis that become “irreversible” – a modern day genocidal resettlement of another's

land right before the very eyes of the silently bespectating world!

What about Menachem Begin? He certainly also had all the qualifications for the Nobel Peace Prize, having blown up the King David Hotel in 1948 as part of the terrorist Stern-Irgun gangs and was once the most wanted criminal in Britain.*9A

Let's see who might be in line next? Ariel Sharon and George Bush Jr. and Sr., as well as Bin Laden and Zbigniew Brzezinski, because after all, they did defeat the Soviet Union and bring an end to the four decade long Cold War. They all appear to have the right pedigree of "blood-experience" for the Nobel Peace Prize!

So pardon me if I am not tripping all over myself congratulating the "peace prize" winners!

Don't ever look for blood on thine hands

The entire Iran-Contra scandal in the mid 1980s remained about supplying arms to Iran illegally which was under US Sanctions, while also supplying arms to Iraq legally so that the two can kill off each other went unnoticed. Why no one brought up the primal sin for prosecution, the war crimes against humanity? Imposing this proxy war on a nation through another nation, and then arming them both to destroy them both? The rest of the world watched this soap opera, an American original, with at best cynical amusement at their imperial democracy at work on trivialities. The imposing senators with their earnest questioning of Colonel Oliver North and John Poindexter to demonstrate to their constituencies that they were earning their keeps, never once thought of asking what moral and international right they envisaged and what machinations they had hatched to get two nations to fight each other! While Poindexter was convicted only on account of lying to Congress, and not on account of any blood on his hands, him and many of the key players were issued Presidential pardons by Mr. George Bush Sr. during his presidency, including former Defense Secretary Casper Weinberger, to protect his own rearend from incriminating testimonies. And again, these would have only been about who lied to whom, instead of who caused the

death and destruction of how many!

Nixon is known as a liar everywhere in America, he was almost impeached as a liar. But all politicians lie, as Machiavelli observed of the soulless politician. Nixon's mistake was that he was caught. But did anyone in America ever charge him, or his predecessors Kennedy and Johnson, whose policies he was carrying out but tailored to his own megalomania, as war criminals for killing a million people in Vietnam? Was he impeached for that? Did impeachment for war crimes even enter the Senate debate or in the national discourse? "Of Pirates and Emperors", isn't it? That is not only a very interesting Noam Chomsky book title, but also a stark reality of the powerful, as St. Augustine had shrewdly observed at the dawn of Western Christian civilization.

And the Cold War victims haven't even been tallied up. How many Muslims died in Afghanistan in America's decade long war against the Soviet Union, and the aftermath ravaging and bombing of its society to prehistoric times? On whose head is their blood, the puppets or the puppeteer's? Even as recent as March 1, 2003, the secret deal signed between the US and Turkey to allow passage of US troops through Turkey into Northern Iraq, which subsequently fell through, but a secret clause in it that later leaked out, permitted Turkey to send in 80,000 troops into the Kurdish Northern territories in Iraq to once and for all crush the Kurds with a final solution.*10

If this genocide comes to pass, as it is coming to pass for the Palestinians at the hands of the Israeli government directly aided and abetted by the US government and its willing tax payers, and the Kurds are sacrificed as geostrategic pawns at the altar of oil, how many will love the United States?*11

The documented sins of America are spread out across far too many scholarly books and are far too numerous to make them easily accessible for the average uninitiated American, what with them being too absorbed in football, baseball, and basketball, and continually being trained on 15 second attention spans on mind altering television. What is perhaps needed is an entertaining series "Idiots guide to America's Sins" or 30 minute documentaries "Bowling for America – Unraveling America's God Given

Rights to Exercise Its Global Hegemony”, in the style of *Bowling for Columbine* that can be run as infomercials on the same corporate television channels that the mainstream America gets their daily dose of garbage from. Marketed properly, perhaps even as a comic book series, it can be put in millions of homes, and be seen and read by millions of kids every year! Why do tobacco companies focus on kids as their long term customers? When will we learn from them? See the analysis of grass-roots activist movements and new directions in bringing awareness to the hypnotized masses in America in Chapter 7.

This is the reality of USA. Ask anyone in the affected countries and they will inform you of the injustices they have suffered at the hands of this country. The only ones who aren't aware of this, is the American public, unless some of them happen to travel to one of these countries, dare to mingle with the people, and come back enlightened. Why is the American public the only ones in the dark? Even a simple taxi driver in the streets of any other far less affluent developing nation like Bangladesh, India, Pakistan, Egypt, Iran or Syria exhibits more understanding of the world affairs than the much more literate and wealthy general American public. Does it perhaps have anything to do with them also being the citizenry of a superpower nation? Any correlation? You be the judge!

Thus, had the news media rightfully performed their jobs, we might have perhaps also better appreciated that St. Augustine did not get it all wrong when he related the story of the pirate, responding to Alexander's question:

*“When the King asked him what he meant by infesting the sea, the pirate defiantly replied: 'the same as you do when you infest the whole world; but because I do it with a little ship I am called a robber, and because you do it with a great fleet, you are an emperor.'” *12*

The Premise: If Only the Americans Knew

Let's examine the premise behind the above discussion, that if the dirty laundry were aired publicly and contemporaneously, it would make a difference.

More and more history of the United States has become the history of United States military campaigns, as is self evident from even the few open skeletons briefly examined above. And there are many more, all 58 years worth, ever since the end of WWII. The famous Washingtonian renegade and eternal skeptic John Judge, who grew up in the Pentagon with both his parents holding the highest security clearances there, and who says he once even sat on a SAM (Surface to Air Missile) in the Pentagon courtyard as a kid implying that the Pentagon has always had the means to protect itself, maintains that this classified military history is deposited in secure 27 'one acre sized' huge underground vaults and buildings in Maryland, never to see the light of day by any contemporary historian. Perhaps we will have to wait a century or more when someone might excavate one of these storage facilities, to learn what really went on in the world under the auspices of the US. Where exactly is this history held is immaterial, the point being that it is not accessible to civilian historians in a timely manner.

We often say, that those who don't learn from their history are condemned to repeat it. When a nation loses control of its own history, forget about learning from it, they aren't even informed about it, then what is the plight of that nation? Even what was generally made available through FOIA requests, the earnest US Attorney General has now ruled should be denied (but stated in more legalize tone that the agents should sit on it and not respond to it). Even if there was a Howard Zinn to write another "People's History of the United States" for its overseas exploits, he wouldn't be able to, because access to that history is limited to the military spooks, and not the civilians. Is this of any concern to the intellectuals and scholars of this nation who write histories? Or just leave it to posterity to sort it all out? So first of all, there is a problem in making the dirty laundry even available contemporaneously to generate an immediate public response.

This isn't just a problem in America, but a systemic malfunction to varying degrees in perhaps all oppressive and authoritarian nations, that the natives are the last ones to know what their governments have been up to, whereas everyone else, especially those on the receiving end, seem to be able to rehearse it for generations without any need for written texts! But it is worse

in America because the personal freedoms, the opulence, and the relative isolation in which they live, makes its population overly trusting of their leaders, making the nation a perfect prisoner of the cave! Conversely, some may argue, that the only reason the United States is able to provide such liberties and luxuries to its citizens as does the rest of the developed Western world, is through economic exploitation of the rest of the developing world backed by their big military muscles, the main impetus behind corporate globalization and WTO treaties.

This begs the stated question that if its secret military and economic machinations were revealed to its people contemporaneously in the mass media, instead of decades later in scholarly exposés that few can read, would it bring this prosperity to a grinding halt? If the media were to dutifully fulfill its obligation of being a watchdog of not just the government, but of all sources of power in the global society, political, economical, and social, and wherever there was exploitation or injustice, they would be there reporting it, would it make any difference to the people in the United States? Are the Americans very conscionable people?

Let's see how they would react in another instance of exercising conscience for information that is already readily available, not buried in a vault, but also not advertised on the mainstream media: if the people found out that the Walt Disney company pays 5 cents per shirt to the worker in a Bangladesh sweat shop who works 7 days a week 16 hour days, getting perhaps 1 day off per month, and sells that shirt in the US for \$17.99, would they buy that shirt? You can answer this for yourself, for it will help you also reflect on how much of a loss is it really that the military history of the United States is hidden from its people? And see if you would answer in the affirmative to: majority of the people of the United States are not willing victims of deception for the sake of living a luxurious life, that they have to be deceived purposely any time there is injustice perpetuated in their name, by the government, or by the corporation, and for this White House, the distinction between the two can sometimes be hard to make.

The Premise: “War On Terrorism” is a Great Pretext

Based on the preceding analysis of America's own history of secret proxy wars, and its economic and military terrorism designed to shock and awe a civilian population into subjugation, we can outright reject the assertion that this is a “war on terrorism”. Because if it was, then the first obvious target of this war would have been the United States itself, the godhead of all terrorism as evidenced above. It is the biggest hoarder and developer of the vilest weapons of mass destruction with no qualms about using them. Its total count of nuclear bombs exceeds 10,000 according to Dr. Helen Caldicott, the founder of Physicians for Social Responsibilities.***13**

America, and her favored allies including Britain, France, Russia, and China, have persisted in developing the most lethal forms of biological, chemical, and Armageddon initiating nuclear weapons of mass destruction, while America continues to insist that no one else in the resource rich developing world should possess them, even for self defense against the neocolonial marauding empires. Even while boldly threatening the non nuclear nations with preemptive nuclear first strikes at its whim, and while already commencing the development of a new generation of nuclear bombs that can be used on civilian population centers on specific targets without destroying the entire city – as a more humane method of combating the “evil doers”, others are not permitted the right to self-defense. Indeed, Donald Rumsfeld even advocating the use of chemical weapons – some nerve gas that can cripple the enemy without pain, as a more humane method of conquering the foe. Incredible disingenuousness, most surely taking the prize for the most disingenuous warfare doctrine in the Guinness Book of World Records. And even far more incredible, the gullibility of the American public, to accept this dementia as indeed the unilateral right of America to do so, surely also winning them the first prize for the most indoctrinated people on the planet – far ahead of any public in any totalitarian regime that has existed in the twentieth century. From Mars, the biggest terrorist state appears to be right here at home, with its flag waving public “United we stand” being the “brown shirts” du-jour! But you be the fair judge of that.

The second target in the revised axis of evil would have been Israel, America's earnest ally and co-conspirator, the illegal occupier and murderous

oppressor of Palestine, the only country in the Middle East confirmed to possess nuclear weapons, and a dire threat to all its neighbors according to the neighbors themselves. Israel's nuclear weapons were first confirmed to the world in 1986 by Mordecai Vanunu, their nuclear technician working on the project in the belief that he was defending Israel. He seemed to have had a sudden realization why Israel should need so many offensive nuclear weapons including city busting Hydrogen bombs if it was only for defense. He has since been sitting in an Israeli jail in solitary confinement, serving a 18 year sentence for treason against the State of Israel for telling the world about her nuclear arsenal. A conservative estimate of Israel's hoard of nuclear weapons is 200 – 300, circa 1986, stationed in the Negev desert and ready for the “Samson Option”.^{*14} While the number of ~200 is often quoted, even today, it is an old number. The current actual size of Israel's nuclear arsenal is likely to be in the thousands, comprising an assorted cocktail of Armageddon inflicting gifts of the Jews to modern civilization.

How Israel got its nuclear weapons is an interesting tale, not to be rehearsed here. As a key ally of America through the Cold War, it is very likely that some of Israel's warheads remained aimed at the Soviet Union, as much as Israel's own enemies in the region. The Soviets were likely made aware of this because that is why they could never belligerently threaten Israel on the side of their own Arab client-states during the 1973 Arab Israeli war and essentially stayed out of it, while America openly supported Israel. And that is also what likely emboldened Israel to preemptively take out the Iraqi Nuclear reactor nearing completion in 1981 that was being built with Russian assistance, with no retaliation from the Soviets. And this was going on even as Iraq was being supplied with conventional weapons of mass destruction by America and the West and goaded on to fight Iran's Islamic revolution to neutralize it – using the Iraqis as the front foot soldiers to take the brunt of the casualties, with a nuclear armed Israel as the backup armor. I am quite certain that had Iran's counter offensive prevailed over Iraq and taken over Baghdad, that Israel would have struck with a preemptive Nuclear strike on Iran – as the immediate interests of America and Israel merged on the borders of Iran (apart from the continued out flow of oil). Thus nuclear arming of Israel was a necessity for America seen in this light – as almost an

extension of America in the Middle East. An idea that JINSA has diligently pursued, to build up Israel's defenses to have it prevail over all its neighbors by wielding its nuclear club. So who are the real terrorism orchestrators here? Who orchestrated the deaths of a million Muslims on either side in the Iran-Iraq war? Who terrorizes all its neighbors with nuclear weapons? Who is militarily occupying Palestine and oppressing an already impoverished people? Against whom should a logical and rational "war on terrorism" be waged if it was for real? What is your verdict?

Among the three of them, the United States of America, the Russians, and the Israelis – the latter most likely being the third largest nuclear power surpassing China, the Great Britain, and France, as well as the new kids on the block India and Pakistan – they possess upwards of 20,000 hydrogen and other nuclear cocktails of death, perennially holding the 5 billion population of the planet as well as the planet itself hostage. Any miscalculation of which can trigger an automatic doomsday scenario, the Armageddon wet dreams of George Bush and his terrorist Evangelical Christian Zionist fanatics. Such is meticulously documented in Helen Caldicott's book "The New Nuclear Danger". Come-on now, who are the real terrorists here?

Do try to keep the false patriotism in check as you endeavor to answer this question unhyprocritically! It's hard to do isn't it? So pretend that you are a Congolese or Nepalese citizen and are looking at this issue without any strong feelings of association and sympathy with any side. Now what's your verdict?

What is it really all about – "War on terrorism" or "War of Imperialism"?

The postulate: this war is all about controlling access to oil and other global resources for the "**full spectrum dominance**" of earth and its peoples, is far more convincing, because not only does it speak consistently to the facts being demonstrated on the ground, but because it also provides the basis for world conquest as outlined by ZB as the historical prerogative of a lone unchallenged superpower empire. If one stares at the map of Central Asia,

Eurasia as ZB called the prize, one notices how land locked the newly independent former territories of the Soviet Union really are, and one begins to remember the Great Game played by Russia and Great Britain in the century past, and America and the Soviet Union just two decades ago in denying access to the Russians to the warm water ports of the Arabian Sea. Afghanistan is the partial access point to Central Asia control of which has been fought for centuries, and now that control is in the hands of the Americans – all the riches of Central Asia must now pass through the corporate hands of the American multinationals who will eventually control Afghanistan, and through the ports of Pakistan which the Americans already control. Thus control of the oil and gas of the Central Asian Republics, and control of the access to these treasures through Afghanistan, presents a convenient enabler for America to prevent the rise of any other nation from challenging its global supremacy and preeminence.

The much talked about oil pipeline that the Taliban drew the ire of the Americans for, was just a link in this complex chain of geostrategic dominance of Central Asia. The belligerence being shown Iran is also part of this strategy, because the entire Caspian Basin is floating on black gold – and access through Iran is the eventual goal. If Iran is attacked, that would be the prime reason – disguised of course as WMDs and Osama Bin Laden miraculously being sighted in Tehran!

This war is indeed about the battle for control of the world's precious and diminishing energy resources, because that is what all the Western industrialized societies run on, from food production and distribution to transportation to plastics to military machines to textiles to manufacturing, all need oil and energy. One who controls the purse strings, controls the allegiance, as the saying goes. With American supremacy on world's oil spigots, the emerging economies of the rest of the planet, including Japan, Germany, Russia, China, India, and all of Europe, would be dependent on the generosity of the United States, and thus prevented from developing their economies at growth rates that could militarily or industrially pose a potential threat to the American Hegemony. Today, the military budget of the United States is greater than the next 14 nations combined defense spending. In a few years, other nations will be increasing their economies and their military

budgets as well – but not faster than the Americans – and that is the détente equation on the table enabling a permanent disparity in favor of the United States. This can only happen if the United States can control the energy availability and its cost to other nations. The Americans certainly don't want to starve out other nations because it is ultimately trade and commerce that makes an empire. The Americans only desire to limit and control other's growth rates by controlling their energy supply – for that is what is ultimately needed to begin rivaling the economic and military prowess of the United States. And of course, when necessary, be able to credibly threaten turning off the tap completely – and backed by its immense defense spending and “full spectrum dominance”, perhaps get away just by saber rattling!

This war is also really all about surrounding China, the likely harbinger of the new Cold War in about thirty years – the amount of time allocated for this “war on terrorism” – for beyond that will be the anticipated détente with the new emerging powers. The Americans do not think that they can hold off the rest of the world for longer than this 30 to 50 year period even with proper control of the world's energy supply, and other challengers will eventually emerge. The American presence in Afghanistan, which China considers as its own backyard, is a direct threat to China and its intent is to send a strong message to China – to be careful, from Taiwan to reneging on trade and manufacturing contracts for the American corporations! China today has so much surplus cash that they can outright purchase all of America's trillions of dollars in outstanding debt – and if they were to switch to the Euro from the dollar, the American economy can be brought crashing down overnight. The two nations' destinies have now become intricately intertwined for the foreseeable short term, and the American presence in China's backyard is an essential leverage on the Grand Chessboard to keep China “obedient” – at least for the time being! Later it will be Détente as in the previous Cold War – and having neighborhood proximity to the nemesis will always be to their advantage.

Thus in a nutshell, molding the geopolitical situation in America's best interest today while it can still get away with bullying everyone else in order to secure an “**American Peace**” in the “**New American Century**” is the real raison

d'être behind this fiction of "war on terrorism". So long as oil remains the main energy source fueling the economic and industrial capacity of industrialized nations, and as long as no other energy alternatives become viable for fueling the growth of an industrial economy, and as long as corporate profits continue to motivate foreign policies of nations, this war of hegemony against the oil rich nations will remain a reality. Control of oil is indeed a prerequisite for global domination in the modern times, as various other economics and imperial motivations were in the past times. All this propaganda against Islam and the "war on terrorism" against the so called "Islamic jihadis" is really a pretext in order to control the oil rich Muslim lands and deploy American troops directly or through proxy servile dictators to further the American control of this enabler to empire and hence global preeminence. If tomorrow, such an enabler becomes "banana trees", overnight the Muslim "jihadis" will be replaced by the terrible "Amazon terrorists". Although America will still need to be in Afghanistan for the sake of China, and perhaps in Iraq for Eretz Yisrael, but the loci of interest would shift from Central Asia and Middle East to South America!

And of course, this war is also all about the Zionist agenda for Eretz Yisrael and their desperate need for cheap energy and permanent preeminence over their Arab neighbors, is also a far more convincing argument when you look at who is behind it all, even not counting Paul Wolfowitz, the mastermind Jewish Zionist Neocon. Most of the Neocons are Jews and/or Zionist sympathizers. The confluence of their interests, which are not too dissimilar from American hegemonic interests for America – since they mostly happen to be the same folks – explains the *raison d'être* for this war far better than "war on terrorism". The Zionist strategy for dominating the Arab lands – or rather Eretz Yisrael – is openly outlined in the 1996 paper by Richard Perle, Douglas Feith, David Wurmser: *A Clean Break: A New Strategy for Securing the Realm*,*¹⁵ and in the letters written by the Project New American Century authors to both President Clinton and President George W. Bush, encouraging them to launch attacks on Iraq, Iran, and Syria all through the late 1990s up to the present time. Exhibit A summarizes the role of various Zionist authors and strategic thinkers in the service of America's lifetime of "war on terrorism". The distinguished Richard Perle developed the strategy

further by employing this new serendipitous “war on terrorism” that – putting it charitably – fell into his and Israel's lap, to achieve the same ends in his 2003 book *An End To Evil – How to Win The War On Terror*. *16

President George W. Bush Jr., on the eve of invasion of Iraq in search of the fictitious WMDs, on 26 February 2003, as the key-note speaker at the highly influential Neocon Zionist Jewish think-tank American Enterprise Institute at which Richard Perle is a Resident Fellow, noted with enthusiasm:

“Some of the finest minds of our nation are at work on some of the greatest challenges to our nation. You do such good work that my administration has borrowed twenty such minds. I want to thank them for their service”.

So the best Zionist minds are at the helm of the American nation according to the President of the United States! Is that what the 300 million peoples of the United States want – for a handful of Zionist Jews to determine and execute the policies of their nation in the first interest of Israel? What has made the American politicians and consequently the American nation so beholden to the Zionists? A patriotic American, be it a flag waving one in Iraq or Afghanistan and potentially returning home in a body bag, or the one saluting “United We Stand” in the main streets of America, must try to understand the role played by the Jewish AIPAC, JINSA, AEI, the Heritage Foundation, the Council for World Jewry American Jewish Congress, and a dozen other high profile Zionist think-tanks lining Washington DC, in leading their nation in search of the fictitious WMDs and the fictitious threat from invisible paper tigers – the leadership for which George W. Bush Jr. offered his effusive thanks at the altar of the Jewish lobby, Jewish power, Jewish thinking, and Jewish money! America is the new Zion of the Jews – why don't they just leave Palestine alone?

Thus it must become apparent to the American public that closing one's eyes to the stark realities around us does not mitigate those realities anymore than an ostrich burrowing its head in the sand, nor does it curb the desires of the war mongering greedy empire for the Zionist led “full spectrum dominance” of the planet to secure a very “American Peace” in the “New American Century”

– a “peace” in which nuclear armed Israel will reign supreme in the Middle East as the policing arm of the Great empire.

My humble request to the world leaders, world media, and the world’s intelligent peoples, is to stop calling this Zionist American aggression on the world a “war on terrorism”, but to kindly refer to it by its proper and correct name: **“War of imperialism”!**

So finally we have now arrived at the heart of this essay. Let’s quickly review what we have accomplished thus far: we have demonstrated a convincing strategic theory of global supremacy outlined for us by ZB, we have convincingly argued that this administration is aligned with this goal, we have factually analyzed the ruthless team assembled to exploit it unhindered, and we have demonstrated a realistic motive to implement the theory of global supremacy with, namely control of oil as the lever. Furthermore, we have demolished all red herrings that were thrown at us. What is the remaining problem now? The good peoples of this American nation, a populist democracy. It is only in their consideration that the imperialists do not come right out and announce that they are building the new Rome – for the American public does not want to be the new Romans, although they certainly wish to continue enjoying the benefits of living in Rome!

Footnotes Chapter 2

**1 See Shattered Illusions – Analyzing the War on Terrorism* Edited by Aftab A. Malik, for a collection of exposé articles by a team of leading international scholars for understanding both America’s and Israel’s war on terrorism.

**2 See Kevin Danaher’s 10 Reasons to abolish the IMF and World Bank*, to understand the devastating effect these financial institutions have on the societies of the third world – complete economic slavery.

**3 See Why Do People Hate America.*

*4 See References for web sites for “Food first” and ECA.

*5 See Vijay Prashad’s *Fat Cats and Running Dogs – The Enron Stage Of Capitalism*. He makes an interesting investigative study of the privatization impetus and the consolidation of public commons into the few hands of multinational corporations who are the main beneficiaries of the globalization process led by the United States of America.

*6 [Added 2005] The red-herring ubiquitous argument provided is that such state enterprises are “inefficient” and do not make a profit. If you ask a barber what ails the world, he will likely tell you all those long haired lice infested people who do not get a haircut. If you ask a doctor what ails the world, he will likely list all the diseases that bite mankind. And if you ask a Western economist what ails the world, he will for sure tell you all the state enterprises that don’t turn a profit – privatize them. The first pillar of modern Western market capitalism exempted many things from private ownership and market economy, including **essential public services** and **public commons**. The laws of supply and demand of Macroeconomics only really work properly in society when there is elasticity in the supply and demand, albeit temporary inelasticity usually sees something go up, prices or shortages. And the intent of promoting market competition is to make up for it through human beings’ natural enterprising ingenuity and entrepreneurial spirit **for personal profit**, by competing fairly and making a buck in the process. Thus promotion of private competition in many human endeavors in the market place, when one is not allowed to monopolize the markets, often leads not just to better products and services, but also to remarkable innovations and other social benefits of having an engaging and thriving polity motivated to go to work for personal profit.

That is the sane theory of market capitalism in its pristine sense, and in a nutshell. These laws and theories however, do not work in practice among a living breathing peoples, when there is a perpetual captive audience for a commodity of inherent limited supply having infinite demand; or in other words, when there is no permanent elasticity possible. What is one gonna do, starve or die if one can’t afford food or

water or electricity or medicine? Thus precisely because we are a human beings and not commodities ourselves, wise governments and enlightened societies provide “profit-less” – as measured on a financial statement of a corporation – government administered social welfare benefits and other essential services to their peoples. Otherwise why not let it all be driven by market economy? And when they foolishly rush into privatizing the essential services and essential benefits without which people can’t live in today’s modernity, we get the Enron’s and the private power producers’ fiasco in California as the perfect counter example of how the primacy for profits by corporations naturally lead them to unbridled greed, and why privatizing essentials of human needs, services, and public commons into their profit-making hands is a terrible proposition for any free, independent, and self-reliant peoples – be they in America, or in Bolivia to Zimbabwe.

Suffice it to say that it is not necessary that all public services and state run enterprises turn a “financial” profit – why should they? Why should asking such a question even be meaningful in that context? To illustrate more clearly, have you recently asked yourself how you intend to make a profit from all your investments in educating your children? You did spend a fortune – where is the balance sheet? It isn’t in monetary terms is it? And similarly, neither are many national endeavors and imperatives held in for-profit corporate hands precisely because they are a public trust, a service to the people for having their needs met as the primal agenda, as opposed to turning a financial profit for Wall Street. One glaring example of a public trust is running the Government. And even better and more specific, a nation’s Department of Defense. When is the Pentagon gonna turn a profit? It’s a bloody sink hole of 400 billion dollars a year – what do they have to show for it? Couldn’t even protect the nation on 911 despite trillions of dollars in defense spending over the past sixty years.

So let’s privatize the Pentagon; instead of **.gov**, make it a **.com**, and let’s trade its Futures on Wall Street. It will for sure get efficient, and finally stop wasting tax payers’ hard earned monies that are desperately needed for their own domestic social spending, on frivolous big toys.

Since they would now have to account to at least some entity, even if it is only the greedy hydra of Wall Street, perhaps they might finally turn a profit. The new Chairman of the Board will surely be uncompromising, exclusively for-profit, and non-forgiving as a task master, unlike the **dot gov** which does not seem to be immediately accountable to anyone, least of all to the people on whose account the public trust is held, i.e., the trust to protect the nation from all invaders, external and internal.

It is indeed rather incredible how the Pentagon is actually privatized. Its income is derived forcibly from the public by levying taxes, yet its unaccountable spendings are largely made to private corporations in the giant military-industrial complex of America with a revolving door between the Pentagon, the Government, and the Corporations. See *The Iron Triangle* by Dan Briody for an eloquent exposé of this incestuous self-reinforcement among the ruling elite in America, including past US and European heads of state.

So what I mean by privatizing here is to have the Pentagon stock itself traded on Wall Street – because that is the equivalent of the privatization that the lending agencies and free trade agreements seek of the developing nations' public goods, public services, and public commons under the market liberalization regime of neocolonialism. If it is good for the goose, it must be good for the gander too – right?

Well no, because even in America we will only privatize those things that actually make sense to the ruling elite making more profit. If they privatize the Pentagon, how in the name of Christ will they perpetually and unaccountably dish out the multi-billion dollar perpetual contracts at the public's expense to the military-industrial complex of America, always under the pretext of fighting various boogie men and boogie ideologies du jour for which "*absence of evidence is not evidence of absence*"? Do you finally begin to comprehend this racket now? Thus once again note the following statements from the PNAC report (already quoted in Chapter 1 at length, also see Exhibit A for the names of its authors): "***The Price of American Preeminence: The program we advocate – one that would provide America with forces to meet the***

strategic demands of the world's sole superpower – requires budget levels to be increased to 3.5 to 3.8 percent of the GDP...

They want more money from the American public – and are afraid the public won't give it to them unless there is a boogiemán to scare them with: “... ***Until the process of transformation is treated as an enduring military mission – worthy of constant allocation of dollars and forces – it will remain stillborn. ... Further, the process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor***”.

See Chapter 9 for how this new boogie man du-jour of “*Islamic Extremism*” and “*evil jihadis*” is easily dismantled for the benefit of Plato's Cave dwellers, only before whom, the Secretary of Defense of the world's mightiest superpower and the boss of the Pentagon, could have the “courage” to boldly state with a straight face on mainstream television: “*absence of evidence is not evidence of absence*”! I would have died laughing if this were a movie, the stark reality however is instead making me furiously pen this exposition of the real evidence for the Cave dwellers.

Okay so all you Western economists who win Nobel Prizes in “science” as you dehumanize human beings and their inherent and essential needs, into commodities to be bought and sold based solely on mathematical relationships and models, what is your answer now? I haven't ever seen a single paper by one of you stalwarts of capitalism and experts on market economy, on privatizing the Pentagon! But you are very happy privatizing everything else, from prisons, to healthcare. Crappy science behooves crappy results! See John Perkins' *Confessions of an Economic Hitman* for one such crappy science in action – not just from some hole in the wall university in a third rate developing country, but from the top engineering and science institution in the beacon of all science and technology, the United States of America, my own alma mater, M.I.T. John Perkins' describes how he used a mathematician from MIT to derive Markov econometric models

in the best example of pseudo science to come out of Academe, to put more developing countries under the lenders' prisons. If this is what Western "Modernity" is all about as Mr. Bernard Lewis, the infamous doctrinal scholar and author of *What Went Wrong – The Clash Between Islam and Modernity in the Middle East*, seems to prognosticate as the hegemonist imperative of a dominant civilization, the peoples of the world desire none of it. Hence we need the "War on Terrorism" to make sure they accept it. Also see Chapter 9.

*7 Christian Science Monitor, 29 Dec. 1999, noted in *Why Do People Hate America*.

*8 George Kennan in the once-classified Policy Planning Study 23 from 1948, quoted by Noam Chomsky. Quote excerpted from Mark Chmiel's *Elie Wiesel and the Politics of Moral Leadership*, page 16.

Original citation: Memo by George Kennan, Head of the US State Department Policy Planning Staff. Written February 28, 1948, Declassified June 17, 1974. George Kennan, *Review of Current Trends*, U.S. Foreign Policy, Policy Planning Staff, PPS No. 23. Top Secret. Included in the U.S. Department of State, Foreign Relations of the United States, 1948, volume 1, part 2 (Washington DC Government Printing Office, 1976), 524-525. Can be read in its gory details at: http://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Memo_PPS23_by_George_Kennan.

*9 See Zbigniew Brzezinski's 1998 interview to French magazine "Le Nouvel Observateur". Translation by William Blum at <http://www.globalresearch.ca/articles/BRZ110A.html>.

*9A See Lenni Brenner's *Zionism in the age of Dictators* ch26 <http://www.marxists.de/middleeast/brenner/>, and *The Iron wall* ch9 and ch5 <http://www.marxists.de/middleeast/ironwall/index.htm>.

The obituary for Menachem Begin ob'm <http://www.ou.org/chagim/yomhaatzmauth/begin.html> noted the following: "*Begin's picture, that of a wanted terrorist, was posted in all British prisons and offices in Palestine. The British conducted an extensive manhunt for Begin, who had a price on his head that began at*

\$8,000 but was raised to %50,000. Begin escaped the British dragnet by disguising himself as a bearded Orthodox rabbi.”

The obituary, characteristic of history written by the victors to write of their monumental crimes as if it was a badge honor or some “ubermensch” imperative to have committed them (just as the history of the settlement of America is remorselessly written upon the blood and genocide of 10 million of its native inhabitants), also noted the following:

'Begin's critics saw him as a narrow-visioned fanatic and dangerous adventurer. But despite the decades he spent on the margin of the political mainstream, Begin's impact on Israel's first generation was surpassed only by his arch political foe, David Ben-Gurion. Begin was the first prime minister to refer to the west bank as Judea and Samaria, considering them an integral part of the Land of Israel. No sooner had he been elected than he went off to visit an Israeli settlement in the west bank, Ekon Moreh, and declared it to be part of "liberated Israel." It was under his tenure that Jews embarked on the wholesale settlement of the territories.'

And who permitted the Israelis this license? The United States of America with its open check book, the unquestioned support of its silently bespectating “democratic” public too busy chasing their own “American Dreams” and conveniently co-opted by the special interests of a handful that runs their nation in their name, its smiling front-men presidents who pay regular homage to these special interests to get elected, and those who glorify them by bestowing Nobel Peace Prizes upon them for their monumental crimes against humanity! An Orwellian touch of Kafkaesque proportions!

And what's the difference between then and now? Carter shared the prize with Begin and Sadaat, and George Bush calls Ariel Sharon a “*man of peace*”!

In an age where genocidal wars are waged “*by way of deception*” and the history is written by the victors, while the process contemporaneously goes on, is it very surprising that some silly peoples

on the receiving end of this largess may hate us? Them hating us after the facts accomplished are long sown and *the old have been killed off and the young have forgotten* would be rather ineffectual and meaningless, wouldn't you agree? David Ben-Gurion's observation has more Machiavellian elements of sociological significance than meets the eye! It permits writing great exposés and erudite works of history after the fact, and also provides great intellectual fodder for obit columns!

*10 Reported by Connie Zulam, the Kurdish activist, on Pacifica Radio.

*11 See *Tinderbox* by Stephen Zunes; *Blowback – The Costs and Consequences of American Empire* by Chalmers Johnson; and John Pilger's *The New Rulers of the World*, in order to understand some of the dirty laundry that the United States has generated that needs to be aired out in front of the flag waving mainstream public. Instead of broadcasting the sins of their Government as the constitutional watchdogs on the corridors of power, the amnesiac corporate controlled mainstream media and the American press have become cheerleaders for the Government dutifully echoing their every word as “sanctified” and unquestioned news, indeed often vying with each other to get the choicest seats in the “embedded” space.

Also see an interesting simple tabulation of America's sins in Zoltan Grossman's 'A Century of US Military Interventions From Wounded Knee to Afghanistan' in the book *Why Do People Hate America* by Ziauddin Sardar and Merryl Wyn Davies.

See Noam Chomsky's collection of his thoughts in *Understanding Power – The Indispensable Chomsky* to understand the minds and deeds of the United States of America as the global power broker. Also see his very popular booklet *9-11* for insights that the media won't provide on why they hit us. Although Chomsky has inexplicably chosen to unquestioningly accept the US Administration's version of the events of 9-11, he provides a typical and now familiar Chomskyan perspective of why such an attack might be considered inevitable and not at all surprising, and that it is a criminal act rather than an act of war and thus must be approached like any crime – first investigated, and the culprits

found, charged, and punished in a court of law; instead of bombing Afghanistan to oblivion.

Noam Chomsky however has suddenly decided to trust his Government and has chosen to ignore the “new Pearl harbor” aspects of 9-11, but that does not diminish his position which I would generously interpret as: were such an attack indeed unilaterally designed and carried out by someone in the world upon the USA (now or in the future), it should not come as a surprise to the American peoples, and this is how they should react to it – and Chomsky lays it out for them as “*arguably the most important intellectual alive*”.

My problem with this generous concession to my old college professor is that it is built upon a non-intuitive and non-substantiated premise – that one must never wonder that rogue elements within the United States Government, including in the White House itself, including even the President or any of his cabinet members or his intelligence agencies, may be a criminal party to covert-ops contemporaneously. If one wonders that out aloud while it is still ongoing, as the 9-11 spawned global adventures still are, then one is a “conspiracy theorist”: for there is no “concrete evidence” to ponder such a complicity – but there is certainly an abundance of *concrete ironclad evidence* to implicate Bin Laden right off the bat, the true *hectoring hegemon* on the planet who even describes himself in such bombastic words (for the sarcasm impaired and those who deliberately like to misquote and misinterpret for doctrinal penmanship, that is sarcasm – see the author's field in the PDF:

<http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf>)!

Chomsky's unwarranted assumption that it could only have come from Muslim criminals outside the American shores as a “blowback” story, and not for instance, from Jewish Zionist neo-con criminals in Israel or America, as his newly developed faith in the non-mendacity of absolute power and his own peoples compels him to opine rather vociferously, is selling God only knows how many hundreds of thousands of copies of his 9-11 booklet. Seven Stories press, its publisher, is ecstatic I am

sure, and so is the White House, the Pentagon, and the Knesset, to have discovered a new friend in “*arguably the most important intellectual alive*”.

Although Professor Noam Chomsky is my esteemed college teacher and once upon a time lifelong mentor, esteem stops at the gates of hell. It is indeed hell on earth for the innocent victims and nations in this “war on terrorism”, and those who aid and abet it, even if inadvertently by virtue of the positions they take publicly that lends any credence to the unwarranted axiom of foreign invasion for this new pearl harbor, have blood of the innocent on their hands.

911 is a point of departure for me from distinguished Noam Chomsky’s views – wherein I see the deliberate hands of the American-Israeli intelligence agencies and/or “*Second Foundationers*” from deep within the American ruling elite in orchestrating this New Pearl Harbor. For a country that can sense what is deep within the bowels of the Earth and in the farthest reaches of the heavens, that seeks “*full spectrum dominance*” of air, land, sea, space, cyberspace, et. al. in its own military doctrines, and that publicly warns in its strategy documents that the only way for it to embark on this “*imperial mobilization*” is an increased military budget that can only come about in a “new pearl harbor”, 9-11 has only one reality – **self-inflicted**.

The hard and concrete signed receipts for 9-11 will of course only be forthcoming either in another fifty years as the Congressional records recently declassified betray the knowledge and connivance of President Roosevelt and Winston Churchill in significantly increasing the probability of the commissioning of the original Pearl Harbor – President Nixon himself believed this to be true; or upon the defeat of the Fourth Reich just as the Nazi secret documents became available to the victors; or upon their complete *full spectrum dominance* of the planet whereby in the bragging rights for world conquest will be their shining glory – similar to ZB openly claiming his role in the defeat of the USSR by handing them their “Vietnam war” by virtue of knowing and deliberately provoking the Soviets starting six months before their

invasion: *“the reality, secretly guarded until now, is completely otherwise Indeed, it was July 3, 1979 that President Carter signed the first directive for secret aid to the opponents of the pro-Soviet regime in Kabul. And that very day, I wrote a note to the president in which I explained to him that in my opinion this aid was going to induce a Soviet military intervention.”* When you kill one man it is murder, when you kill six million Jews it is “the” holocaust of history, when you destroy entire nations from Vietnam to Afghanistan to Iraq, and now preparing to do the same to Iran, it is only *“American Primacy and its Geostrategic Imperatives”* on *“The Grand Chessboard”* – the new “Modernity” of Bernard Lewis. And Noam Chomsky still thinks Bin Laden did 911 and waits for evidence before he will look into examining any other premise?

History is a man’s best friend in the Machiavellian-Straussian world of geopolitics and disinformation. Knowing this history better than anyone else and yet still holding on to the positions that my distinguished Professor Noam Chomsky does on 911, is an enigma that continually begs the question, why? Why has Noam Chomsky not served his self-appointed duty as he so vociferously proclaimed for himself in “Responsibility of Intellectuals”? And perhaps that's why he is indeed *“arguably the most important intellectual alive”!* The absence of any questioning of the fundamental axioms of the 911 discourse is so disturbing that it needs to be unraveled further.

Chomsky generally relies on **officially disclosed facts**, often from the New York Times itself (as from other newspapers and “official sources” of the Government such as declassified documents and autobiographical confessions of glorified murderers and thugs after they have left office as prime ministers, presidents, and cabinet members), mainly through careful culling and back-reading of past coverage to piece together a picture from disparate pieces of “officially disclosed” information, and usually in the words of his antagonists themselves, as evidenced in his prolific books. It is also an analysis skill I learnt, I presume from him, by I suppose reading his many books over the years and attending his famous American foreign policy courses at MIT circa 1980. That’s how I also sometimes read newspapers (on the rare

occasions that I do anymore) when I want to track down a particular obfuscating issue – lookup the topic in back issues, unwinding the clock backwards. It works sometimes, but not always, and it does not work on secrets, especially contemporary covert-ops!

Thus what happens when the New York Times chooses not to disclose the facts, whether deliberately, as it only prints “*All the News That’s fit to Print*” according to its own motto, or because they don’t have the facts either, and there is no Daniel Ellsberg secretively disclosing the “*Pentagon Papers*” to the newspapers, willing to risk going to jail for a hundred years for his conscience, and the petty thugs and murderers are still in high office and haven’t had time to write their confessionals yet for their obligatory book tours?

Then what, pray tell, is the responsibility of the erudite intellectuals and their famed self-proclaimed moral imperative of rational cynicism which:

“should bear witness to the misery of the world, should be provocative by being independent, should rebel against all hidden and open pressure and manipulations, should be the chief doubter of systems, of power and its incantations, should be a witness to their mendacity”
(Vaclav Havel: “Disturbing the Peace: A Conversation with Karel Hvizdala”, quoted by Mark Chmiel in “Moral Leadership”)?

Are they to wait to be a credible “*witness to their mendacity*” and not perform their profound retrospective analysis of lessons already learned from history until the forward historians and perpetrators write their new official histories and autobiographies, and the courageous conscientious objectors leak new secrets?

Should they further wait to discover Hitler's written orders to Eichmann for genociding the Jews before becoming convinced that the Holocaust occurred?

For such signed receipts and concrete evidence of high crimes and misdemeanors are rarely left behind as calling cards, and only in Pink Panther movies if at all; if they are ever written down, they remain very

closely guarded as top secret national security imperatives as Brzezinski himself states in his interview quoted in the main text, and “shredded” the moment knowledge of their existence is feared in a contemporary setting as Iran-Contra’s gorgeous secretary is testimony – official disclosure is a far cry and must usually await 50 years when there is no potency left in its secrecy. For something like 911, if I was a superlative “ubermensch” Hari Seldon plus Daarth Vaider all rolled into one, as I posit my adversaries likely are (see Chapter 1), I would not write anything down either because I would like to maintain “**plausible deniability**” – a term even ordinary peoples learnt for the first time in the Iran-Contra Congressional hearings. Is there some new electromagnetic radiation weapon that the Pentagon has deployed in the American Academe that is zapping their powers of cynicism and critical analysis based upon direct experience of recent history?

Please see Chapter 5 where the absurdity of accepting the Government’s position on 911, given what we already do know as facts, and also what is missing as concrete evidence, and what is commonsense and rational based on wisdom, and what is emotional and illogical based on whim or collusion, is further made manifest.

Also see Daniel Ellsberg’s memoirs *Secrets – A Memoir Of Vietnam And The Pentagon Papers*, for a first hand, first person, glimpse into the mendacity “of systems, of power and its incantations”, the bold faced lies, the purposeful and deliberate Governmental deceit – for those who may be too young to remember Vietnam. As the only outspoken Muslim present in one of his antiwar talks, I had a long chat with both Daniel Ellsberg and David Harris after their speeches near San Francisco at the College of Marin’s Olney Hall, on April 29, 2003 in a Town Hall Forum titled: “Reclaiming American Democracy through Awareness and Action”, organized by the Ruth Group (ruthgroup.blogspot.com). I also gave them an earlier draft of this book for their comments.

Unfortunately, I never heard from them. But in any case, the remarkable comment Daniel Ellsberg made at that meeting is a lesson for all conscionable peoples – he said *his biggest regret was why he did not*

do it sooner (i.e. the Pentagon Papers), *for he could have perhaps saved thousands of American lives, and hundreds of thousands of Vietnamese lives.* He has repeatedly, publicly called for conscientious people working in the corridors of power to leak internal secret documents that can show concrete proof of Governmental lies akin to what his Pentagon Papers showed, for that seems to be the only way that both ordinary denizens and their brilliant intellectuals of the “show me” nation when it comes to the crimes of their own emperors, will believe. I am just incredibly impressed by this man, and meeting him and talking to him privately was an honor for me, as it was to talk to David Harris who is even more remarkable – as a young idealist student at Stanford, and the president of its student body, he pointedly refused to be drafted and willingly spent three years in jail instead. Imagine doing that at 21 or 22 – like Rachel Corrie. His hands are clean. Clean hands are infinitely better than soiled ones even after the latter have been washed through a belated mea culpa and attempts at restitution. Blood can't be washed off.

Please see Chapter 8 for their advice to me and to all the Muslims living in America, and David Harris' incredible comment, that they, the white Americans, must stand up for the minority Muslim community in America today, because tomorrow, all Americans may experience the same fascism being unjustly dished out to the Muslims.

This book is the analysis that ought to have rightfully come from the mind of Noam Chomsky – and given my much smaller brain and unknown name, its impact and calibre is likely to be commensurate. But for reasons of sanity, I have stopped following intellectuals and mullahs of all stripes – now I only follow myself, for it's far better to be led to hell through the arrogance of one's own best efforts to avoid it, rather than by the allegiance to a pied piper, branded or not. I find it extremely ironical, and profoundly disturbing, that contrary to all his past writings in which he has called his own superpower nation a “rogue state”, Noam Chomsky has rushed to accept the very same “rogue state's” explanation of 9/11. See Chapter 5.

*12 See Cambridge edition of St. Augustine's seminal book *The City of God against the Pagans*, page 148.

*13 See Helen Caldicott's book *The New Nuclear Danger – George W. Bush's Military Industrial Complex* for a detailed break down of the US nuclear arsenal.

*14 See Seymour M. Hersh's revealing book: *The Samson Option: Israel's Nuclear Arsenal and American Foreign Policy*.

*15 An essential reading for how monumental criminals hide under the legal covers when they are on the empire's teams, a version of this paper can be found at: <http://www.iasps.org/strat1.htm> or <http://www.israeleconomy.org/strat1.htm>. Compare to when the empire handed its victor's justice at Nuremberg to the vanquished who had committed the same crimes: <http://avalon.law.yale.edu/imt/07-26-46.asp>.

*16 See *Advance Praise for 'An End to Evil'* by Karen Kwiatkowski at <http://www.lewrockwell.com/kwiatkowski/kwiatkowski53.html>. A good succinct summary of the war planners in their own words can also be read at: <http://www.masters-of-war.org/pnac.html>. Also see *Learning To Love Big Brother – George Bush Channels George Orwell* By Daniel Kurtzman, San Francisco Chronicle, July 28, 2002, <http://www.masters-of-war.org/georgeoforwell.html>

•

[Return to Table of Contents](#)

The Plebeian antidote to Hectoring Hegemons

[Home is PrisonersoftheCave.org](#)

Please leave your comments for the book [here](#).

If you feel this book can perform an essential public service in its humble message, please help promulgate it. Thank you.

[Project Humanbeingsfirst.org](#)

[Welcome](#) | [Pubs-Index](#) | [Topics](#) | [Print](#) | [PressRelease](#) | [Mirrors](#) | [PDF](#) | [Hot](#) | [Wblog](#) | [Contact](#)

[Latest](#) | [Essays](#) | [Letters](#) | [NewsBriefs](#) | [Books](#) | [Reviews](#) | [FAQ](#) | [SelfStudy](#) |

[About](#) | [Search-box](#)

A Project Humanbeingsfirst Document

Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory

Anatomy of Conspiracy Theory

Zahir Ebrahim | ProjectHumanbeingsfirst.org

http://www.america.gov/conspiracy_theories.html

Editions: Español | Français | Русский | فارسی | 中文 | عربي

 **America.gov**
Engaging the world

Entire Site SEARCH

Home American Life Economy World Regions Communities Multimedia Publications

Global Challenges International Relations

You are in: Home > International Relations > Peace & Security

Print 
Email 

CONSPIRACY THEORIES AND MISINFORMATION

Click on the text boxes below to learn about popular conspiracy theories.




Conspiracy theories exist in the realm of myth, where imaginations run wild, fears trump facts, and evidence is ignored. As a superpower, the United States is often cast as a villain in these dramas.

RESOURCES ON MISINFORMATION

Debunking 9/11 Conspiracy Theories and Controlled Demolition Myths

Shutterstock

Conspiracy theories exist in the realm of myth, where imaginations run wild, fears trump facts, and evidence is ignored. As a superpower, the United States is often cast as a villain in these dramas.



NSC 10/2 directed CIA to conduct “covert” rather than merely “psychological” operations, defining them as all activities “which are conducted or sponsored by this Government against hostile foreign states or groups or in support of friendly foreign states or groups but which are so planned and executed that any US Government responsibility for them is not evident to unauthorized persons and that if uncovered the US Government can plausibly disclaim any responsibility for them.” – Furthermore, in order to preempt such uncovering, to continually seed red herrings and false theories; to delegitimize their unraveling by labeling them as kookish “conspiracy theories”; to defocus public energies by introducing “beneficial cognitive diversity”, fabricated leaks of half-truths which retain core-lies, and “Limited Hangout” mea culpae, all fed through the “Mighty Wurlitzer” messaging machinery; to brand the few daring and persistent unravellers of “truth's protective layers” as deniers of reality suffering from “emotional or mental illness”, an “oppositional defiant disorder” exhibiting a pattern of “negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures” and therefore a threat to themselves and to society; and when all else fails, to eliminate

these 'malcontents' who refuse to tow the official line by branding them “*domestic terrorists*”.

Some may rationally ponder that how is it, that such a long running global conspiracy for world government as outlined in Project Humanbeingsfirst's report “**The Enduring Capitalist Conspiracy for World Government**”, can be kept alive across centuries and across geographies. This brief paper examines that question.

Noam Chomsky had once observed an insightful nature of such “conspiracies”, as the open shared natural goals stemming from the very nature of its definition, which could therefore, no more be termed a conspiracy than both GM and Ford endeavoring to maximize their profits at all cost be termed a 'global corporate conspiracy'.

I have always added to that, the equally un-remarkable observation that a hungry lion anywhere in the world pouncing upon a lamb is similarly no global conspiracy by the world's lions to eat up all the lambs on the planet. That is just the nature of the bestial predators when its “might defines right”. The higher cerebral concepts of “right”, “wrong”, “moral”, “immoral”, etc., do not even exist among any primal predators, for these only behave according to their nature. Pious platitudes, if they could be argued by the lion or the snake for instance, would in fact only be disseminated to the lambs and the mice to make them an even easier morsel to acquire!

The only thing that occasionally deters such exercise of primacy is a collective natural response like the one observed in the “Battle at Kruger” park. Indeed, the quest for the holy grail of extracting voluntary servitude from the masses of mankind is the key idea of cultivating a willingly compliant public in order for the illuminated ones becoming their stewards for life. In Bertrand Russell's timeless characterization, to extract voluntary servitude such that: ***“a revolt of the plebs will become as unthinkable as an organised insurrection of sheep against the practice of eating mutton.”***

Thus we observe that from Plato to Nietzsche, from the philosopher-king to the 'ubermensch', all have argued the necessity of ruling upon the sheepish masses as the 'divine' imperative of the “enlightened ones”. Indeed, Zbigniew Brzezinski even sub-titled his seminal book “*The Grand Chessboard*” with its egotistical subtitle “*American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives*”, merely extending that idea of 'ubermensch' rule from the most “enlightened ones”, to the most powerful sole-superpower!

The same theme exists among the “Chosen Peoples”, to deem their primacy upon the goyem their inherent nature, their divine destiny. The 'ubermensch' are suckled these lessons in their mothers' milk to acquire those imperatives across generations in perhaps the same way as the generations of corporate executives and CEOs who inherently know that they need to continually enhance the valuation of their company's stock performance in a capitalist system.

So, when these 'divine' ubermensch creatures who are beyond good and evil, self-servingly

behave in their primal predatory natural manner across time and space, across evolution or creation, are they being “**conspiratorial**”?

In the Chomsky-Ebrahim nomenclature, perhaps not.

In the Ron Paul nomenclature, it is merely a shared “*Conspiracy of Ideas*” in which “*CFR exists, the Trilateral Commission exists*”, and that, it is only “*an ideological battle*” wherein:

“some people believe in Globalism, and others of us believe in national sovereignty; and there is a move on toward a North American Union just like early on there was a move on for a European Union and it eventually ended up.

...

These are real things, it's not somebody made these up, it's not a conspiracy, they don't talk about it, and they might not admit about it, but there has been money spent on it ...

So it's not so much a secretive conspiracy, it's a contest between ideologies; whether we believe in our institutions here, our national sovereignty, our Constitution, or are we going to further move in the direction of international government, more UN. You know, this country goes to war under UN Resolutions. I don't like big government in Washington. So I don't like this trend towards international government ...

But it's not so much it's a sinister conspiracy, it's just knowledge is out there, if we look for it, you'll realize our national sovereignty is under threat!”

In the United States' legalese nomenclature, breaking of a “*federal statute*” by at least two or more persons working in collusion (and when caught), is defined as “*criminal conspiracy*” and “*federal crime*”. According to the Columbia Encyclopedia, it is criminal whether or not Congress imposed criminal sanctions on the activity itself. A conspiracy need only be proved by “*circumstantial evidence*” even “*if it violates the rules against hearsay evidence*”:

Conspiracy: “in law, agreement of two or more persons to commit a criminal or otherwise unlawful act. At common law, the crime of conspiracy was committed with the making of the agreement, but present-day statutes require an overt step by a conspirator to further the conspiracy. Other controversial aspects of conspiracy laws include the modification of the rules of evidence and the potential for a dragnet. A statement of a conspirator in furtherance of the conspiracy is admissible against all conspirators, even if the statement includes damaging references to another conspirator, and often even if it violates the rules against hearsay evidence. The conspiracy can be proved by circumstantial evidence. Any conspirator is guilty of any substantive crime committed by any other conspirator in furtherance of the enterprise. It is a federal crime to conspire to commit any activity prohibited by federal statute,

whether or not Congress imposed criminal sanctions on the activity itself.”

According to such legalism, smart conspirators, if powerful enough, could affect the enactment of conducive federal statutes, or prevent the enactment of adverse ones, that would enable them to get away with many morally reprehensible systems and acts. The Federal Reserve System for instance, falls into this category. A legalized extortion racket to enslave the public in perpetual debt for the issue and supply of their own national currency. Similarly, bootlegging is a federal crime one decade, a respectable business the next! And internationally, it is the enactment of laws under WTO which defines what is criminal and what isn't – not the raping and harvesting of developing nations that goes on under its conspiratorial rubric!

Thus suffice it to say, the word “conspiracy” even has legal semantics, albeit rather limited. It is limited because it is easy to circumvent an abhorrence and call it legal when the king makes the laws.

But the multitudinous connotations of this word do not stop there. It also has a 'tin-hatted' or 'kookish' implication in furtherance of the devilish art of political science based state-craft. This was indeed implied by Congressman Ron Paul in his afore-quoted speech when he stated regarding the North American Union: ***“These are real things, it's not somebody made these up, it's not a conspiracy, ... So it's not so much a secretive conspiracy, ...”***

In fact, some of the best cloaking devices for clandestine covert-operations and hidden agendas have been invented by the most brilliant minds – here is one exposition for instance from Ezra Pound: ***“invent two lies and have the public keep arguing which one of them might be true”***. Another is by Leo Strauss – the erudite teacher of the majority of the neo-cons – called ***“Noble Lies”***. A third by the White House, often referred to as ***“plausible deniability”***, okay may be it was invented by the DIA, the grand-daddy of all intelligence agencies. This thinly-veiled euphemism for deception to protect the leadership if things go badly in covert-operations became public knowledge during the Iran-Contra scandal, the televised coverage of which had gripped the American nation for months, including myself. And this wasn't just a rogue operation with ad hoc deniability cover by patriotic agents as most in the public are led to believe. Deniability is official government policy vis a vis any covert operation dating back to President Truman's signing of NSC 10/2. That directive made the introduction of “plausible deniability” a requirement for CIA's clandestine operations in case they were ever blown while still active. Below is an excerpt from ***“Note on U.S. Covert Action Programs”***:

'Management of Covert Actions in the Truman Presidency

The Truman administration's concern over Soviet “psychological warfare” prompted the new National Security Council to authorize, in NSC 4-A of December 1947, the launching of peacetime covert action operations. NSC 4-A made the Director of Central Intelligence responsible for psychological warfare, establishing at the same time the principle that covert action was an exclusively Executive Branch function. The Central Intelligence Agency (CIA)

certainly was a natural choice but it was assigned this function at least in part because the Agency controlled unvouchered funds, by which operations could be funded with minimal risk of exposure in Washington.¹

CIA's early use of its new covert action mandate dissatisfied officials at the Departments of State and Defense. The Department of State, believing this role too important to be left to the CIA alone and concerned that the military might create a new rival covert action office in the Pentagon, pressed to reopen the issue of where responsibility for covert action activities should reside. Consequently, on June 18, 1948, a new NSC directive, NSC 10/2, superseded NSC 4-A.

NSC 10/2 directed CIA to conduct "covert" rather than merely "psychological" operations, defining them as all activities "which are conducted or sponsored by this Government against hostile foreign states or groups or in support of friendly foreign states or groups but which are so planned and executed that any US Government responsibility for them is not evident to unauthorized persons and that if uncovered the US Government can plausibly disclaim any responsibility for them."

... The Special Group and the 303 Committee approved 163 covert actions during the Kennedy administration and 142 during the Johnson administration through February 1967. The 1976 Final Report of the Church Committee, however, estimated that of the several thousand projects undertaken by the CIA since 1961, only 14 percent were considered on a case-by-case basis by the 303 Committee and its predecessors (and successors). Those not reviewed by the 303 Committee were low-risk and low-cost operations. The Final Report also cited a February 1967 CIA memorandum that included a description of the mode of policy arbitration of decisions on covert actions within the 303 Committee system. CIA presentations were questioned, amended, and even on occasion denied, despite protests from the DCI. Department of State objections modified or nullified proposed operations, and the 303 Committee sometimes decided that some agency other than CIA should undertake an operation or that CIA actions requested by Ambassadors on the scene should be rejected.'

Lastly, among the already well-known tools of Management of Covert Actions for statecraft, we also have the "*limited hangout*" and "*modified limited hangout*" conspiracies to mislead the public in case "plausible deniability" for governmental wrong-doing doesn't work. This modus operandi of accepting partial mea culpa for something less consequential in order to mask the more egregious crimes was amply demonstrated by Richard Nixon during the waning years of his presidency. A good description of it with excerpts from the Nixon tapes planning the red herrings is on Wikipedia.

Calculated omission is perhaps the most crafty tool of public persuasion in the general statecraft of deception as it naturally relies on ignorance – for who can have complete knowledge of every subject? Aldous Huxley aptly recalled the Churchillian term for creating such a chasm between facts and fiction as lowering an “*iron curtain*” around the public's sense of perception:

'The greatest triumphs of propaganda have been accomplished, not by doing something, but by refraining from doing. Great is truth, but still greater, from a practical point of view, is silence about truth. By simply not mentioning certain subjects, by lowering what Mr. Churchill calls an “iron curtain” between the masses and such facts or arguments as the local political bosses regard as undesirable, totalitarian propagandists have influenced opinion much more effectively than they could have done by the most eloquent denunciations, the most compelling of logical rebuttals.' (Aldous Huxley, 1946 Preface to *Brave New World*, 1931)

Keeping thinking peoples plausibly occupied lest they discover the real levers of power is much more complicated than mere manufacturing consent among the masses. The latter are generally quite amenable to simple propaganda, to the big lie, and appeal to the irrational mind and subconscious fears (or needs), with repetitious reinforcement. That diabolical science of mass persuasion is already well understood, thanks to the pioneering work of Edward Bernays and the Mighty Wurlitzer, not to overlook Goebbels and Hitler, as the engineering of consent among the majority. Hitler categorized them into type-1, **“those who believe everything they read; ... when the voting papers of the masses are the deciding factor; the decision lies in the hands of the numerically strongest group; that is to say the first group, the crowd of simpletons and the credulous.”**

The minority of thoughtful peoples however, also often the people of conscience, pose a different problem. According to Hitler, the type-3, **“those who critically examine what they read and form their judgments accordingly. ... Hence the trash that newspapers are capable of serving up is of little danger--much less of importance--to the members of the third group of readers. In the majority of cases these readers have learnt to regard every journalist as fundamentally a rogue who sometimes speaks the truth. Most unfortunately, the value of these readers lies in their intelligence and not in their numerical strength, an unhappy state of affairs in a period where wisdom counts for nothing and majorities for everything.”** If not craftily waylaid, this third group of people stand to acquire some real comprehension of the otherwise carefully hidden from the masses in plain sight, conspiratorial forces which actually shape their world.

These rebels – referred to as the 'malcontents' by H. G. Wells in his famous book “*New World Order*” – can also figure out that the visible rulers whom the public elects with such gravitas every so often to run their country on their behalf in a momentous celebration of 'democracy' which in reality only affords the demos the choice between twiddledee and twiddledum in a carefully choreographed Hegelian Dialectic, are actually not their public servants. To hide the fact that these elected psychopaths are really still only the errand boys and girls of an invisible ruling-

oligarchy, manufacturing dissent to mislead the energies of the handful of thinking and conscionable peoples has become a necessary instrument of statecraft.

This deception typically entails manufacturing dissent chiefs who can craftily take their respective flock, by a circuitous route, to the same pastures as the mainstream public. I.e., the net impact of their celebrated dissent in derailing the manufactured consent among the masses being exactly zero. These crafty dissent-chiefs, fortunately enough, are also rather trivial to identify. **Their main modus operandi appears to be to oppose power while still echoing its core message!**

These traffickers in 'truth' – i.e., absurdities – will often truthfully describe the visible puppetshows and bravely challenge empire on what is already known and overt, such as its criminal bombings and maiming of other nations. But they will also, for instance as in this war on terror, also echo one or more of the primemover axioms of empire which ab initio enables empire's "imperial mobilization"! Namely, that some 'Ali Baba' magically pulled off 911 from his almighty perch in the Hindu Kush, that Islamofascism is a genuine threat to mankind, that Al Qaeeda is out to impose its will on the West and is being aided and abetted from Pakistan-Iran nexus, etc. Because these dissent-artists hector empire in its killing of innocent civilians, they often attract big audiences among the type-2 category people identified by Hitler, **"those who no longer believe anything;"**. According to Hitler, these were formerly type-1 who changed their allegiances from unquestioningly believing establishment's dogmas, to blindly opposing them. They now unquestioningly follow their new leaders, the artfully fabricated dissent-chiefs.

But they also attract some type-3, fortunately only temporarily, since fabricated dissent is trivially exposed to those unencumbered by blind-faith in power and its many incantations. See Weapons of Mass Deception for more in depth deconstruction of the diabolical Manufacturing of Dissent.

And for the most recalcitrant among the 'malcontent' unwilling to subjugate their own common and political sense to the devil's science, exclusively the type-3 of Hitler's classification whose value **"lies in their intelligence and not in their numerical strength"**, new modalities to discredit them are continually constructed. The latest being mental illness.

As reported in the Washington Post, if "there might be a legal entitlement to be a jerk", most assuredly there will be legal entitlement to be a non-conformist, i.e., an independent thinker:

'Today's DSM defines "oppositional defiant disorder" as a pattern of "negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures." Symptoms include "often loses temper," "often deliberately annoys people" or "is often touchy." DSM omits this symptom: "is a teenager."

This DSM defines as "personality disorders" attributes that once were considered character flaws. "Antisocial personality disorder" is "a pervasive pattern of disregard for . . . the rights of others . . . callous, cynical . . . an inflated and arrogant self-appraisal." "Histrionic personality disorder" is "excessive emotionality and attention-seeking." "Narcissistic personality disorder" involves "grandiosity, need for admiration

. . . boastful and pretentious.” And so on.

If every character blemish or emotional turbulence is a “disorder” akin to a physical disability, legal accommodations are mandatory. Under federal law, “disabilities” include any “mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities”; “mental impairments” include “emotional or mental illness.” So there might be a legal entitlement to be a jerk. (See above, “antisocial personality disorder.”)’ -- George F. Will, The Washington Post, February 28, 2010, **Handbook suggests that deviations from 'normality' are disorders**

It should now be self-evident that while a conspiracy is still active, or while mileage is being extracted from a clandestine operation that is on-going or an agenda that is being secretly pursued, or to hide or spin some other egregious wrong-doing, all analytical and deconstructive references to its unraveling must be squashed or dismissed. Inter alia, by defocussing attention from them, by introducing clever red herrings and side shows, and by labeling such detective work by the public as kookish “*conspiracy theories*”.

Its advocates, the few daring and persistent unravellers of “*truth's protective layers*”, to be marginalized by labeling them as fools, idiots, deniers of reality suffering from “*emotional or mental illness*”, an “*oppositional defiant disorder*” exhibiting a pattern of “*negativistic, defiant, disobedient and hostile behavior toward authority figures*” and therefore a threat to themselves and to society! Those resorting to any active opposition against the government's lies and deceit, such as through civil disobedience, to be designated as “*domestic terrorists*”.

And when the conspiracies are prematurely blown despite all the Machiavellian preemption efforts at masking them, to have the multiple cover stories ready for “*plausible deniability*”, including some version of “*limited hangout*”, using the ubiquitous Mighty Wurlitzer's message machine.

Is all this a fairy tale? The rabbit hole runs deep and permeates not just the news media and the privately funded think-tanks, but also the academe which is routinely tapped for statecraft.

Cass R. Sunstein of Harvard Law School, the man who is today President Obama's Information Czar in the White House, in his 2008 paper titled “**Conspiracy Theories**”, called this process of the creation of diabolical red herrings, introducing “**beneficial cognitive diversity**” through “**cognitive infiltration**”. The paper has to be read in its entirety in order to be appreciated for its brazen and open appeal to Machiavelli for conducting statecraft when thinking people remain unencumbered by its mere propaganda spin.

What are these deceptions of statecraft if not real conspiracies by the establishment to mask their real clandestine covert-operations, untenable agendas, and wrong-doings?

Thus, if it is axiomatically asserted that there is no such thing as a real conspiracy, then that really works wonderfully in the interest of the cloak-makers because it makes one forget the perspectives of history.

And this complex Machiavellian deception game behind alleging 'kookishness' bears exposing fully: invent two or more lies, not just one, and keep the good hearted well meaning peoples in the "populist democracy" occupied debating which one of them might be true, for it would hardly matter what conclusions they reached. And wherever they ended up, to perhaps yank one of the lies from underneath them by conclusively showing it to be false thus conveniently demonstrating a baseless "conspiracy theory" in order to keep that notion alive in the public imagination. This consequently delegitimizes in the public mind serious researchers' efforts in uncovering any covert-operation while its secrecy is of paramount necessity. Afterwards, after faits accomplis, after statute of limitations expiring, it makes little difference if historians and confession artists make a pecuniary gain peddling what is inconsequential history to the newer evolving realpolitik du jour. The recognition of this self-evident truth of the matter and its utility to Machiavellian statecraft was boldly narrated even in the New York Times, quoting a senior advisor to the president of the United States at the time, George W. Bush:

"That's not the way the world really works anymore," he continued. "We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality. And while you're studying that reality -- judiciously, as you will -- we'll act again, creating other new realities, which you can study too, and that's how things will sort out. We're history's actors . . . and you, all of you, will be left to just study what we do." (Ron Suskind, NYT, Oct. 17, 2004)

So many complex semantics for the simple term "*conspiracy theory*" – it's not just mere nomenclature – that this overview of its usefulness to statecraft was necessary in order to situate anything with such a bombastic title as "*The Capitalist Conspiracy*", in its proper social-political-legal-conspiratorial context.

And an equally insightful and rational response to this question of long enduring conspiracy for world domination, is added to the motivational mix by G. Edward Griffin in the video below:

"After a man has far more money than he possibly can spend for pleasures, what is left to excite him? For those with the ruling class mentality, the answer is power – raw power over other human beings. Money can buy such power only to a point, beyond that, politics is the sport, and world politics is the ultimate game."

Thus, Griffin aptly noted: "***The New World Order Is Not New***", but a common objective borne of natural inclination to primacy which apparently transcends time, space, geography and race. It naturally increases in its scope in proportion to the vistas of power it acquires. And it automatically attracts to its cause the coterie of sycophants and useful idiots essential in realizing its overarching agendas. It is helped along, as W. Cleon Skousen uncannily observed in "***The Naked Capitalist***":

'The real value of Tragedy and Hope ... [is the] bold and boastful admission by Dr. Quigley that there actually exists a relatively small but powerful group

which has succeeded in acquiring a choke-hold on the affairs of practically the entire human race. Of course we should be quick to recognize that no small group could wield such gigantic power unless millions of people in all walks of life were “in on the take” and were willing to knuckle down to the iron-clad regimentation of the ruthless bosses behind the scenes. As we shall see, the network has succeeded in building its power structure by using tremendous quantities of money (together with the vast influence it buys) to manipulate, intimidate, or corrupt millions of men and women and their institutions on a world-wide basis.’ (pg. 6)

Subsequent manipulation of global events through statecraft machinations become trivial when one has already taken over the state's machinery through its many essential instruments of policy-making. The same instruments today are behind the formulation of coercive policies for ostensibly addressing the myriad global crises plaguing mankind today – from Global War on Terror to Global Financial Collapse to Global Epidemics to Global Warming to Global Food Shortage to Global Water Shortage etceteras – and for which, the solution posited, is of course Global Governance:

‘I have never believed that there is a secret United Nations plot to take over the US. I have never seen black helicopters hovering in the sky above Montana. But, for the first time in my life, I think the formation of some sort of world government is plausible. A “world government” would involve much more than co-operation between nations. It would be an entity with state-like characteristics, backed by a body of laws. The European Union has already set up a continental government for 27 countries, which could be a model. The EU has a supreme court, a currency, thousands of pages of law, a large civil service and the ability to deploy military force. So could the European model go global? There are three reasons for thinking that it might. First, it is increasingly clear that the most difficult issues facing national governments are international in nature: there is global warming, a global financial crisis and a “global war on terror”.’ (Gideon Rachman, And now for a world government, Financial Times, December 8, 2008)

Right out of the box of user manuals for the **“end run around national sovereignty”** made available to the world through the benevolence of the Council on Foreign Relations:

“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.

Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the central institutions of the U.N. system, but the main thing is that the

essential functions be performed.

The question is whether this more modest approach can do the job. Can it really bring mankind into the twenty-first century with reasonable prospects for peace, welfare and human dignity? The argument thus far suggests it better had, for there seems to be no alternative. But the evidence also suggests some grounds for cautious optimism." (Richard N. Gardner, *The Hard Road To World Order*, Foreign Affairs, April 1974, 558-559)

In summary, the upshot of it all is that it becomes a moot point what label one might give to this empirical predatory behavior wrapped in deception. Zbigniew Brzezinski even openly proclaimed its pertinence to statecraft in the very first sentence of his book mentioned earlier: ***"Hegemony is as old as mankind"***. And Nicolò Machiavelli very perceptively outlined its recipe in *The Prince* whose modern reincarnation in murderous play on the Grand Chessboard has been to convince people of absurdities to get them to acquiesce to the atrocities and spendings of hegemony.

The undeniable fact remains that world-government has been a long historical passion of oligarchs! The quest for the hegemony of the entire world has been their natural enduring conspiracy for world government. Its new religion is secular humanism. Its new imperative is population reduction. Its primal modus operandi is deception, which, in its harbingers' own words, is to create *"an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece [by making it] look like a great 'booming, buzzing confusion'"*. And it is finally coming to its grand fruition in our own time with continuous newer myths and crises creation which span the gamut from 'Global Warming' to 'Global War on Terror', and more recently from 'Global Swine Flu Pandemic' to 'Global environmental disaster due to oil spill', each new crisis and myth leading to enacting new legalisms for incrementally eroding more national sovereignty while ushering in more police-state. In keeping with the "conspiracy theory" paradigm of statecraft, most useful idiots are kept busy mindlessly chattering on about "conspiracy theories" and "Islamofascism" in perfect echo with the Machiavellis. Enjoy Ed Griffin's narration in *The Capitalist Conspiracy* video, made in 1972!

The Capitalist Conspiracy

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=6260646431723948415>