

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2022 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2022 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.

- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.

- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: KS-507 - Kansas Balance of State CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Kansas Housing Resources Corporation

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.1.a.(1), VII.B.1.e., VII.B.1.p., and VII.B.1.r.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022:	
	1. select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC’s coordinated entry system; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC’s geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC’s Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	Agencies serving survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
9.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	No	No	No
10.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	No
11.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
14.	Local Jail(s)	Yes	Yes	No
15.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	No	No	No
19.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
24.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
25.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.				
35.				

By selecting "other" you must identify what "other" is.

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(2)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
2.	ensured effective communication with individuals with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats;
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The KS Balance of State Continuum of Care (KS BoS CoC) developed a governance charter in 2020 and made revisions in 2021 and 2022. The Charter established a Membership and Nominations Committee that meets monthly to actively recruit diverse stakeholders representing all facets of the homeless service sector to the CoC general membership or to the CoC Steering Committee. New members are continuously solicited through announcements during the nine BoS-CoC regional planning meetings, through the BoS CoC Collaborative Applicant’s email listserv that goes out to over 400 organizations across the BoS, on the KS BoS website and through social media platforms. CoC membership applications are made easily available and are posted on the CoC website. 2) To ensure effective communication with individuals with disabilities, the BoS CoC made its website accessible to persons with disabilities through the AccessiBe platform. CoC meetings and sessions are recorded and placed on the website with closed captions, all meetings are provided with a virtual format for those with mobility issues. 3) The CoC actively recruits persons with lived experience to not only join the COC membership but to participate in leadership and decision-making roles as part of the CoC Steering Committee, and on the board of directors of our funded agencies, in fact the CoC Steering Committee has the strongest representation of those with lived experience than any year past. The goal of the CoC is to establish a substantive voice for those with lived experience in CoC leadership. The CoC actively provides continuous invitations to organizations serving Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQIA+, and persons with disabilities to participate in regional planning meetings, activities, regional and CoC wide decision-making roles. The CoC has created formal partnerships with the KS Department of Aging and Disability Services (KDADS), Managed Care Organizations (MCOs), and has actively invited tribal nations to participate in CoC activities and leadership. The CoC Equity Committee is also currently re-designing, an invitation campaign aimed at ensuring inclusion and equity throughout our system, specifically geared towards Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQIA+, and persons with disabilities.

1B-3.	CoC’s Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(3)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;
2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information; and
3.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC solicits and considers opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that know about homelessness or have an interest in preventing and ending homelessness by providing an opportunity for public comments on the CoC website. The CoC website offers a private feedback option for the public that allows for and encourages those who are interested to freely express their opinions in a welcoming and open environment. Regionally, service providers conduct various needs assessments aimed at garnering information from other types of service providers or anyone who has an interest in preventing and ending homelessness. Service providers are also invited and strongly encouraged to attend CoC Regional Planning meetings. In 2022, the CoC members attended the National Alliance to End homelessness national conference to learn from their peers, national advocacy organizations, and government officials on different aspects of preventing and ending homelessness. 2) All regional planning meetings, and CoC committee meetings are open to the public for all those interested in participating, these open meetings serve as open spaces to express questions, comments, and concerns regarding homelessness throughout the 101-county geographic area of the CoC. All CoC meetings including the CoC Statewide Call, Steering Committee meetings and General Committee meetings, and Regional Planning meetings are recorded and placed on the CoC website for public viewing. 3) The CoC regularly solicits input from its members to develop and establish policies and procedures. This happens during committee work, on the CoC website on the policy page, and the CoC public comment page. To promote adequate feedback the CoC has created Ad Hoc workgroups and/or subcommittees conducted by those with expressed strong interest in specific matters that takes place during the policy development process, such as when the Steering Committee established a special workgroup to construct the CoC Written Standards or HMIS Lead monitoring. The CoC uses the information it has gathered when developing and/or updating internal policies and procedures but also shares the information it garners when the CoC is providing advocacy to state, regional and local officials, as well as to other statewide planning committees.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(4)		
Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:		
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;	
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;	
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and	
4.	how your CoC effectively communicated with individuals with disabilities, including making information accessible in electronic formats.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC notified the public that the CoC local competition was open and accepting new and renewal applications through several mediums. An announcement of available funding with distinct HUD NOFO information was posted on the KSHC website and CoC Facebook page on 8/3/22. A formal notice inviting all renewal and potential new applications was sent to the CoC on the CoC listserv on 8/3/22. Recipients were encouraged to forward the listserv communication to any organizations not previously funded that would be interested in applying for the FY22 Competition. The CoC has been clear and concise in all communications that the CoC will not only consider but encourage project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC program funding to address community gaps in the homeless system. The CoC continuously endorses the need for new CoC applicants during Regional Planning meetings, committee meetings, the monthly CoC statewide call, and other community events where the CoC is present. The CoC's collaborative applicant, Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition (KSHC), held a specific training for new applicants, on May 24, 2022. As a result of our efforts, in 2021, the CoC received a strong submission from the Kansas Coalition Against Sexual and Domestic Violence (KCSDV) for a new project serving survivors of sexual, dating, and domestic violence and in 2022, we received applications from two new applicants who had never applied before. 2)The CoC's website lists all policies and procedures for the NOFO process, including NOFO Competition Overview Policy & Procedure which explains the process to apply for funds. 3)In 2022, the CoC approved a Rank and Review Policy and Procedures and the Reallocation and Appeals Policy which explains how the CoC submits projects to HUD, why and how reallocations are made, and how and on what grounds to file an appeal. After the Rank and Review have completed its work, they submit the priority ranked slate of applications recommended for funding to the Steering Committee for final approval. The CoC's collaborative applicant individually notifies applicants that their projects were accepted or denied by the CoC. Then notifies the entire membership of the final priority listing through the listserv. 4) In 2022, the CoC made its website accessible through AccessiBe and all communications are posted there as well as through e-mail. Respective CoC meetings were also recorded and can be accessed via the CoC website.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
 - 24 CFR part 578;
 - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
 - Section 3 Resources;
 - PHA Crosswalk; and
 - Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	
	In the chart below:	
	1. select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	No
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	

18.		
-----	--	--

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG and ESG-CV funds;
2.	participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;
3.	provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and
4.	provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in Consolidated Plan update.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The KSHC staff consults regularly with the ESG Program recipient, Kansas Housing Resources Corporation (KHRC), in CoC planning efforts. KSHC and KHRC coordinated the development of performance and evaluation standards for ESG-funded activities as well as developing the CoC's written standards. A standing agenda item on the monthly CoC meetings is for the ESG Program Manager to provide updates on ESG activities, as well as receive questions and direction from CoC members related to planning efforts. 2)The ESG Program Manager participates in the CoC regional meetings to further ESG and CoC planning, evaluation, and collaboration efforts. Collaboration and evaluation with the ESG Program recipient are further enhanced by KHRC serving as the HMIS Lead for the CoC. As such, the ESG Program Manager, the CoC HMIS Oversight Committee Chair, a representative from the Veteran's Administration, a representative from the Kansas Coalition Against Sexual and Domestic Violence, a representative from the Department for Aging and Disability Services, and staff from Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition, representing the Collaborative Applicant and HMIS system administration, meet weekly to review and evaluate HMIS data quality for the CoC including ESG and ESG-CV performance. CoC policy dictates that KSHC monitors ESG sub-recipients regularly. KHRC is heavily involved in developing and updating all CoC policies and procedures and was actively involved in developing new Written Standards for the KS BoS CoC as well as updating all HMIS Policies and procedures. 3)The BoS CoC's four consolidated plan jurisdictions and the CoC have ongoing collaborative efforts in planning and providing annual PIT/HIC data to HMIS. The CoC submits annual PIT & HIC Data to each of the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions, as well as service capacity, provider network information, and the annual Gaps Analysis. 4) The members of the four consolidated plan jurisdictions and the CoC are continuously working together at informing the development of the Consolidated Plan including but not limited to participating in monthly CoC committees, subcommittees, and regional and standing committees. The CoC submitted its annual Gap Analysis to each jurisdiction and submitted requested data from HMIS to inform their planning.

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	No
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	No
3.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients.	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance.	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting AAQs or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance of service providers.	Yes
6.	Other. (limit 150 characters)	
	Monitored projects to ensure each have policies in place that do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity.	Yes

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC Governance Charter designates a seat on the CoC Steering committee for the education community. The seat is currently being filled by a representative of the school district in Salina Kansas who has immense connections to several of the school districts within the CoC 101 county geographic area. In addition to the designated educational community seat, the Executive Director from the Kansas Head Start Association serves on the CoC Steering Committee. In addition, the CoC, through KSHC as its collaborative applicant, has Memorandums of Understanding (MOU) with all its CoC-funded Agencies that they must establish policies and practices that are consistent with, and do not restrict, the exercise of rights provided by, the education subtitle of the McKinney-Vento Act, and that is consistent with other laws relating to the provision of educational and related services to individuals and families experiencing homelessness. The CoC Written standards specifically outline that funded agencies are required to employ a dedicated staff person to ensure that all program participants have adequate access to the participant's educational needs. CoC Regional Coordinators along with KSHC's Director of Community Engagement and the education Steering Committee member serve as direct resources for all CoC member organizations to ensure that children are enrolled in school and connected to appropriate services within the community, including early childhood programs such as Head Start, Part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and McKinney-Vento education services. Also, the CoC has executed an MOU with the Kansas Head Start Association to cross-train on each other's systems and to fill seats on each other's leadership committees.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.		

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Through the executed MOU between KSHC and funded agencies, the CoC requires funded agency programs to inform families and unaccompanied youth of their educational rights, enroll all children in early childhood education programs, or in school, as appropriate, and connect to appropriate services in the community. The funded agency is required to designate in policy who has the responsibility to educate and inform the responsible party of the educational services that are available and/or are needed. In most cases programs have designated the participant’s case manager for this role. Program staff have established relationships with area school districts to assist with enrollment and connect with the liaison and/or educational provider for the youth in the home. The funded agency program also has the responsibility to ensure children in the home are enrolled and maintain enrollment. The CoC Monitoring & Technical Assistance Guidelines outlines the monitoring process that KSHC utilizes to ensure that funded agency programs adhere to the executed MOU and all established CoC policies and procedures. The adopted CoC Monitoring & Technical Assistance Guidelines also encourages funded agencies to request Technical Assistance through KSHC to establish these formal relationships if agencies need assistance. The MOU with funded agencies also tasks KSHC, as the collaborative applicant, to ensure that CoC adopted policies and practices are consistent with, and do not restrict the exercise of rights provided by the education subtitle of the McKinney-Vento Act and are consistent with other laws relating to the provision of educational and related services to individuals and families experiencing homelessness.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	No	No
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	No	No
4.	Early Head Start	Yes	Yes
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program–(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	Yes	Yes
7.	Healthy Start	Yes	Yes
8.	Public Pre-K	No	No
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.			

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors—Collaborating with Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations who help provide housing and services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking to:	
1.	update CoC-wide policies; and	
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Initially, the KS BoS CoC Governance Charter designated a domestic violence (DV) workgroup to coordinate the CoC response to homelessness among persons who are victims/survivors of domestic violence, stalking, sexual violence, sex trafficking, and dating violence. In 2022, the CoC made the domestic violence workgroup into a standing committee responsible for ensuring survivor issues are included in all considerations and operations of the CoC including, but not limited to, policies and procedures, coordinated entry protocols, comparable database participation, trauma-informed intake practices, and annual training provided to all members of the BoS CoC. A representative from the Kansas Coalition Against Sexual and Domestic Violence (KCSDV) joined the HMIS Oversight Committee and is responsible for establishing policies, procedures, and protocols for functions essential to the viability and success of a comparable database (s) including, but not limited to, data privacy, data quality, analysis, reporting. Several CoC members representing domestic violence services serve on the CoC Steering Committee as well. This ensures that any policy or action of the CoC is thoroughly vetted through the KCSDV lens. The KCSDV is Kansas’ leading statewide voice for victims and survivors of sexual and domestic violence with the mission of preventing and eliminating sexual and domestic violence. KCSDV is also a network made up of 25 coalition member programs located across the state of Kansas. KCSDV member programs provide direct client services to victims and survivors of sexual and domestic violence in their respective areas of Kansas. KCSDV helps, supports, advocates, assists, and troubleshoots for and with KCSDV member programs concerning topics and issues such as training for new leadership and board of directors, legal assistance, legislation, trauma-informed care, how to work with local law enforcement, communication strategies and more. 2)CoC ensures all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors. The Domestic Violence Committee provides annual training for all CoC member agencies regarding understanding trauma-informed care, systems advocacy services and collaboration, safety planning, understanding the intersections of domestic and sexual violence and homelessness, and understanding barriers that victims face in rural communities. Upon request, agencies may request technical assistance from the DV Committee.

1C-5a.	Annual Training on Safety and Best Practices to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinates to provide training for:
1.	project staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma-informed, victim-centered) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually); and
2.	Coordinated Entry staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma informed care) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The Kansas Balance of State CoC works closely with the Kansas Coalition Against Sexual and Domestic Violence, (KCSDV) for training and education. CoC-funded agency project staff are required to attend the annual training modules sponsored by the CoC’s Domestic Violence Committee, which is presented by KCSDV. This training is offered to the entirety of the CoC membership, including all KCSDV member agencies. The annual training is built on the following four distinct training modules: a) Expanding Our Knowledge: Understanding Domestic and Sexual Violence and Stalking in Kansas; b) Understanding and Accessing Advocacy Services; c) Understanding the Intersections: Overcoming Barriers, Safety Planning with Survivors, and Providing Survivor Centered, Trauma–Informed Services; d) Housing Survivors Safely: Collaboration and Partnership. In addition to these yearly annual training program; staff from KCSDV along with other domestic and sexual violence provider agencies organize monthly information sessions on the 3rd Wednesday of each month during the CoC’s Domestic Violence Committee meeting to address safety and best practices in serving survivors of sexual, domestic violence and/or stalking for all CoC member agencies. The Domestic Violence Committee is an open committee whose schedule is publicly posted on the CoC website with the direct Zoom link. 2) Coordinated Entry staff works closely with KSCDV. The Director of Coordinated Entry Systems (CES) and the HMIS System Administrator meet regularly with KCSDV and other domestic violence service providers during the monthly CoC Domestic Violence Committee meetings and weekly HMIS Oversight Committee to develop CES and HMIS policies that promote best practices on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of sexual and domestic violence and stalking by prioritizing safety, utilizing an effective transfer plan, and ensuring confidentiality.

1C-5b.	Using De-identified Aggregate Data to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	the de-identified aggregate data source(s) your CoC uses for data on survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; and
2.	how your CoC uses the de-identified aggregate data described in element 1 of this question to evaluate how to best meet the specialized needs related to domestic violence and homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC utilizes de-identified aggregate data from Clarity Human Services (HMIS) and Osnium’s Victim Service Providers’ (VSP) comparable database) through Annual Progress Reports (APR)/Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Reports (CAPER), annual reports such as the Housing Inventory Count (HIC), Point-in-Time (PIT) count, Longitudinal Systems Analysis (LSA), the National Network to End Domestic Violence’s Domestic Violence Counts Report, and the Kansas Coalition Against Sexual & Domestic Violence annual report. Submitted Coordinated Entry assessments and referral data are also data sources regarding survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. 2) When utilizing CES through HMIS, VSPs must ensure that all households experiencing homelessness are entered into HMIS as de-identified. De-identification is listed as an option on the CoC HMIS consent form. The VSP and head of household must select this option and sign. Therefore, VSPs are prohibited from entering Personal Identifying Information into HMIS at any time for any reason. An internally generated ID number will be generated by HIMS that the enrolling agency can associate with the participant, but that cannot otherwise be identified with the participant. CoC CES policy dictates that CES staff will use this number to identify the participant when communicating with the VSP.

1C-5c.	Communicating Emergency Transfer Plan to Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC communicates to all individuals and families seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance:	
	1. the emergency transfer plan policies and procedures; and	
	2. the process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) During the intake process, all CoC funded agencies are required to inform every participant and/or participant family of the CoC’s Emergency Transfer Plan along with its policies and procedures, and where to find it on the CoC website regardless of if the participant or participant family identifies as someone who is currently fleeing domestic, sexual violence and/or stalking. CoC Funded Agencies are required to inform all participants of the transfer plan where to find it on the CoC website as well as provide participants with a copy. This is outlined in the MOU between KSHC and CoC funded agencies. Program participants are properly educated on how the emergency transfer plan identifies tenants who are eligible for an emergency transfer, the documentation needed to request an emergency transfer, confidentiality protections, and how an emergency transfer may occur, and guidance to tenants on safety and security. 2) According to the CoC Emergency Transfer Policy, housing providers are required to have an outlined practice dictating the housing provider’s methods in obtaining requests and processing those requests. The ability to request a transfer is available regardless of sex, gender identity, race, religion, or sexual orientation. The CoC Emergency Transfer Plan is based on a model Emergency Transfer Plan published by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Organizations offering CoC funded program assistance who is concerned about the safety of its participants, must review the organization’s processes in requesting an emergency transfer from the participant’s current unit to another unit within the CoC’s geographic area.

1C-5d.	Access to Housing for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC effectively utilizes a “No Wrong Door” approach to ensure that all subpopulations including survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have access to all the housing and services available within the CoC’s geographic. The Domestic Violence Addendum in the CoC CES Policies and Procedures decrees that all staff conducting assessments at DV-dedicated and non-DV-dedicated access points will be trained on the complex dynamics of domestic violence, trauma-informed care, privacy and confidentiality, and safety planning, including how to handle emergencies. All-access points will screen all incoming households to determine whether they are at risk of harm. CoC CES Policies and procedures also outline definitive Affirmative Marketing processes that promote a well-advertised DV-CES by utilizing the Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition and partner websites, social media posts, fliers, brochures, and pamphlets to promote the CES across the CoC geographic area. Regional Coordinators implement additional regional or county-specific literature for more targeted advertisement, street outreach, or direct contact with people at service sites including survivors of domestic violence, sexual assault, or stalking to ensure these survivors have fair and equal access to all the housing and services available within the CoC’s 101 counties geographic area.

1C-5e.	Including Safety, Planning, and Confidentiality Protocols in Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC’s coordinated entry includes:

1.	safety protocols,
2.	planning protocols, and
3.	confidentiality protocols.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The Coordinated Entry Committee has added a Domestic Violence Addendum to the CES Policies and Procedures to ensure that all staff conducting assessments at DV-dedicated and non-DV-dedicated access points will be trained on the complex dynamics of domestic violence, trauma-informed care, privacy and confidentiality, and safety planning, including how to handle emergencies. 2)All access points will screen all incoming households to determine whether they are DV survivors at risk of harm. Victim Service Providers (VSPs) are prohibited from contributing personally identifiable information (PII) to the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) to protect confidentiality and survivors are instead entered anonymously. 3)Agencies are encouraged to utilize HUD VAWA protections (24 CFR 5.2005) to develop the CoC’s VAWA Emergency Transfer Plan based on HUD’s model emergency transfer plan (HUD form 5381), that provides participants who report that they are under actual or imminent threat with the opportunity to secure safe housing which includes unit transfers, a notice of occupancy rights and prohibitions for denial/termination of assistance or eviction on the basis or as a direct result that the participant is or has been a victim of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or stalking.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+–Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

	1. Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
	2. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
	3. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy–Updating Policies–Assisting Providers–Evaluating Compliance–Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	whether your CoC updates its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary, based on stakeholder feedback;
2.	how your CoC assisted providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination;
3.	your CoC’s process for evaluating compliance with your CoC’s anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC’s process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC’s anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) In 2022, the Ks BoS CoC implemented an updated written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy based on stakeholder feedback to ensure that LGBTQIA+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination. The policy originated in the CoC Equity Committee where the policy went through an intensive dissection process certifying that the updated CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy meets the explicit and implied requirements delineated in HUD’s Equal Access to Housing Final Rule. The CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy is reviewed at least annually by the CoC Equity Committee incorporating stakeholder feedback, the CoC Steering Committee then evaluates the policy confirming policy effectiveness. 2) The CoC assists housing providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQIA+ participant and participant families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination by offering regular CoC wide trainings, and technical assistance when needed. The Equity Committee conducts annual training courses with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in accordance with 24 CFR 578.93. 3). In accordance with its CES and HMIS policies and procedures, KSHC annually reviews data from the system to determine if any race or gender or other types of disparities exist. The analysis aims to understand where gaps in services may exist and will be disseminated to CoC Housing Providers to allow for input. The Ks BoS CoC Monitoring guidelines, policies and procedures Housing Providers must provide documentation of compliance with fair housing requirements 24 CFR 578.87(b), 24 CFR 578.103(a)(14) and (17), 24 CFR 578.93(c)(1), as well as its Grievance Policy as required by 24 CFR 578.9 during the monitoring process and must be readily available for all interested parties. 4) CoC agencies administering a project that is determined to be non-compliant with the CoC’s anti-discrimination policies and procedures will receive notification in writing from KSHC outlining the determined violations and needed corrective action within 5 business days. Corrective actions could include updating organizational and/or program policies and practices, technical assistance from KSHC, additional training, or other corrective measures.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area–New Admissions–General/Limited Preference–Moving On Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the FY 2021 CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with—if there is only one PHA in your CoC’s geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2021 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Pittsburg Public Housing Authority	35%	No	No
Manhattan Public Housing Authority	10%	Yes-Both	No

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference—if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or	
2.	state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC has strong working relationships with the largest Public Housing Authorities plus smaller ones in the KS-BOS CoC's geographic area. The CoC has executed formal written agreements with Lawrence Douglas Public Housing Authority, Pittsburg Housing Authority, Southeast Kansas Community Action Program (SEK-CAP), and the Wichita Public Housing Authority, which serves our southeast region regarding the use of Emergency Housing Vouchers. The LDCHA specifically ensures support for the Moving on Strategy by setting aside vouchers for those exiting permanent supportive housing and dedicating housing vouchers to those fleeing domestic violence. The Pittsburg Housing Authority partnered with the BoC CoC and Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition for the use of the Foster Youth Independence vouchers. SEK-CAP adopted a homeless preference for their Tenant-Based Rental Assistance vouchers awarded by Kansas Housing Resources Corporation. The CoC and its members continue to educate and advocate that these and other PHAs in our geographic region adopt a homeless preference for Housing Choice Vouchers to end homelessness. The CoC has a strong partnership with the Kansas State Housing Authority, Kansas Housing Resources Corporation (KHRC). Along with being the state's Emergency Solution Grant grantee, KHRC is the KS BOS CoC's HMIS Lead. KHRC operates the HOME program, Low Income Housing Tax Credit program, a State Low Income Housing Tax Credit program and is Kansas's Community Service Block Grantee. In 2022, KHRC worked with the KS BoS CoC membership to seek our opinion on the priorities for their HOME American Rescue Plan (ARP) application to HUD. Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition provided the KS BoS CoC Gaps Analysis and HMIS data to KHRC as well. KHRC uses a portion of their HOME program for Tenant-Based-Rental-Assistance for low-income persons.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	Yes
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes

	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

	1C-7c. Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry. NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process?

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	No
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	No
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	No
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	
		No

	1C-7d. Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness. NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	No
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	

	1C-7e. Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV). NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	No
--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

1C-7e.1.	List of PHAs with Active MOUs to Administer the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program.	
Not Scored—For Information Only		

	Does your CoC have an active Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with any PHA to administer the EHV Program?	Yes
--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

If you select yes to question 1C-7e.1., you must use the list feature below to enter the name of every PHA your CoC has an active MOU with to administer the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.

PHA
Lawrence Douglas ...
Wichita Housing A...
Pittsburg Housing...

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Lawrence Douglas County Public Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Wichita Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Pittsburg Housing Authority

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

1D-1.	Discharge Planning Coordination.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1. Foster Care	Yes
2. Health Care	Yes
3. Mental Health Care	Yes
4. Correctional Facilities	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition.	13
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	13
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every recipient—that checks Housing First on their Project Application—to determine if they are actually using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation; and
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of the competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) During the FY2022 CoC Annual Competition Award process, the KS BoS CoC required each project applicant to submit HUD’s published Housing First Self-Assessment. The CoC ensured that each applicant who checked Housing First on their application reflected that response based on the information submitted on the Housing First Self-Assessment. If a discrepancy between the applicant’s self-assessment and the application emerged, KSHC staff reached out to the project applicant to address the discrepancy. 2) Project applicant’s Self-Assessment report summary score was used as a customized local criterion during the local competition rating and ranking process. This local criterion score calculated several factors such as Project access & input, Project leasing practices, Project services and housing practices, and Project specific standards. The Self-Assessment calculates project performance by ensuring projects have adequate written policies and procedures and that agencies properly train and abide by those policies and procedures. 3) The CoC has clear expectations laid out in its Coordinated Entry and HMIS policies and procedures for data collection and reporting. KSHC staff verifies that projects are prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing and are not requiring service participation or preconditions of program participants by running monthly reports to determine participant destination, homeless status, and the time between program enrollment and the date housed. CoC CES staff coordinates with participating agencies during monthly CES case conferences to ensure projects are actively utilizing a Housing First approach. Each CoC Program Grant Recipient undergoes a routine monitoring process to certify compliance with applicable federal regulations governing administrative, financial, and programmatic operations and to confirm agencies are achieving performance objectives. KSHC has partnered with Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services (KDADS) to provide Housing First training, consultation, and technical assistance, for the implementation and operation of all KS BoS CoC Programs seeking to implement high fidelity Pathways Housing First (PHF) programs to address homelessness for individuals with psychiatric disabilities experiencing homelessness. These training opportunities will be conducted by Sam Tsemberis, founder of Pathways Housing First between Oct 1, 2022, and September 30, 2023.

1D-3.	Street Outreach—Scope.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.j.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC’s street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;
2.	whether your CoC’s Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC’s geographic area;
3.	how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and
4.	how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC’s street outreach efforts are developed and planned at a regional level as each region has unique outreach barriers. These efforts are supported through regional planning, coordinated entry, and regional community partnerships. Regions whose geographic area is made up of Rural and Frontier Counties, the KS BoS CoC has created working partnerships with faith-based entities such as local churches and community ministerial alliances. The CoC has strong relationships with law enforcement, housing authorities, and other area social service providers in these counties as well. The Lawrence-Douglas region continues their successful partnership with the city of Lawrence Parks and Rec Dept. to enhance their region’s street outreach efforts. The CoC partners with two PATH programs: Bert Nash Mental Health Center and the Central Kansas Mental Health Center who perform street outreach within the catchment area. The KS BoS CoC also practices a no wrong door approach, using telecommunication technologies to perform VISPDATs and to enter participants into the Coordinated Entry System. The CoC advertises appropriate housing and supportive service programs on its website directing all eligible persons towards needed resources and has a “Help Me” form that immediately goes to the CES team when it is submitted online. 2)These outlined methods have ensured that the KS BoS CoC street outreach is able to cover 100% of all 101 counties served by the CoC. 3)Each of the nine regions within the CoC complete outreach on an ongoing basis with a strong commitment completing at a minimum of once a year. The Lawrence Douglas region performs outreach more frequently as an urban area. Douglas County employs a Regional Coordinator to assist CoC member agencies in coordinating regular and continuous street outreach efforts. 4)Throughout the CoC, agencies utilize technologies, have staff, or even contract with third parties to access language interpretation for persons with disabilities and with limited English proficiency served by their programs.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate strategies your CoC implemented to ensure homelessness is not criminalized and to reverse existing criminalization policies in your CoC's geographic area:

		Ensure Homelessness is not Criminalized	Reverse Existing Criminalization Policies
1.	Engaged/educated local policymakers	Yes	Yes
2.	Engaged/educated law enforcement	Yes	Yes
3.	Engaged/educated local business leaders	Yes	Yes
4.	Implemented community wide plans	Yes	Yes
5.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing—RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.l.	

		2021	2022
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC—only enter bed data for projects that have an inventory type of "Current."	358	397

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits—CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Resource	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI—Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	TANF—Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
4.	Substance Abuse Programs	Yes
5.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
6.	Other (limit 150 characters)	
	Medicaid & Medicare	Yes

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC's geographic area;
2.	works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including substance abuse treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services; and
3.	works with projects to promote SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC Mainstream Benefits Workgroup (MBW) provides up-to-date information and training to the entirety of the CoC membership regarding mainstream resources. The workgroup regularly provides virtual presentations performed by mainstream benefit providers and experts, such as Wichita State University’s ombudsman’s office, the 3 Medicaid Managed Care Organizations, the Department of Children and Families (DCF), and Kansas Works. Through a formal partnership with KDADS the CoC is exhibiting a seminar series for Transitional Aged Youth on preventing homelessness and substance misuse. The first part of the series offered mainstream resource information regarding education, employment, substance abuse, healthcare, financial literacy, and housing prevention. All presentations are recorded and placed on the CoC website for public viewing. 2)The Mainstream Benefits Workgroup strongly markets its training to project staff who assist program participants in locating, applying for, and receiving appropriate healthcare resources. The CoC works collaboratively with the Kansas Department of Health and Environment, 3 Medicaid Managed Care Organizations (MCO’s), KDADS, local substance abuse treatment providers, and local mental health centers to ensure program participant access to needed healthcare services. The Mainstream Benefits Workgroup creates enhanced training for program staff to make appropriate referrals for direct support, to link clients to appropriate resources. 3) The CoC, in strong partnership with KDADS, strongly encourages all CoC member agencies to have SOAR certified staff to deliver SOAR services to qualified program participants. Through its partnership with KDADS, the CoC regularly and continuously produces regular material on topics such as obtaining SOAR certifications, SAMHSA published information, and other continued educational opportunities. New in 2022, the collaborative applicant, Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition, hired a “boundary spanner” to work with persons with severe service needs. Along with helping to navigate individuals to housing and services, the boundary spanner is SOAR certified and completes SSI/SSDI applications.

1D-7.	Increasing Capacity for Non-Congregate Sheltering.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.n.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC is increasing its capacity to provide non-congregate sheltering.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC has seen an immense need to increase its capacity to offer Emergency Shelter options for people experiencing unsheltered homelessness throughout the CoC. The 2022 CoC GAP analysis demonstrates a small finite number of both congregate and non-congregate emergency shelters throughout the CoC. The CoC has several emergency winter shelters but lacks emergency shelter options for those experiencing unsheltered homelessness outside of the operational winter months. Several of the CoC’s membership agencies have applied for funding through the Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) to enhance the use of non-congregative emergency shelter options in their communities, these funds are primarily used to purchase short term hotel stays for program participants. In the Southeast Region of the CoC, organizations have partnered with the local Community Mental Health Agencies to utilize funding through KDADS to purchase hotel stays for eligible unsheltered homeless persons. The McPherson Housing Coalition (MHC) located in McPherson County in the South-Central Region of the CoC has begun to build “tiny cottages” that serve as short term emergency non-congregative shelters for those who are currently experiencing unsheltered homelessness. The MHC was able to build these tiny cottages through an aggressive community fundraising campaign. MHC is actively fundraising to expand this concept non-congregative concept and hopes to include permanent housing options through the endeavor as well. In 2021, the Lawrence Community Shelter in partnership with Kansas University, developed a similar “village style” for non-congregative shelter program in Douglas County. Monarch Village provides a 90-day stay where the program connects participants to needed supportive services such as, a Housing Navigation and a Housing Stabilization Case Manager to support participants to remain successfully housed.

ID-8.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies–Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.		
Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to:		
1.	develop CoC-wide policies and procedures to respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and	
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The KS Balance of State Continuum of Care (KS BoS CoC) Infectious Disease Control Policies and Procedures offers guidance to the CoC’s membership on how to respond to infectious disease outbreaks and how to prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness. The Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition (KSHC) and the CoC Mainstream Benefits Committee promptly informs the Ks BoS CoC Membership of any updated regulation information concerning infectious diseases made by the Center for Disease Control (CDC), the Kansas Department of Health (KDHE), and local Health Departments. The Regional Public Health Nursing Supervisor serves on the CoC Steering Committee and was directly involved in the development and updating of the CoC wide Infectious Disease Control Policy and Procedures. 2) Due to the de-centralization of the 102 health departments, Regional Coordinators and the KSHC Director of Community Engagement work together to ensure the respective health departments are key participants during the regional planning process. KSHC as the collaborative applicant is developing formal agreements with local health departments to best prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness. Through partnership with local health departments and KDHE Regional Plans, we can effectively promote congregate responsive options. KSHC and KDHE are currently developing an MOU for KDHE to provide education and training for the CoC membership and service providers in the areas of Infectious Disease Understanding, Infectious Disease Control, and Emergency Responsiveness. Through these formal agreements The CoC will effectively collaborate with local health departments, KDHE, and KDADS will provide Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) to the CoC membership.

ID-8a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively equipped providers to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants by:	
1.	sharing information related to public health measures and homelessness, and	
2.	facilitating communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC’s Collaborative Applicant, the Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition (KSHC) is creating an MOU with the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) to develop an effective MOU which will create an elaborate partnership to address public health concerns such as the prevention and limitation of infectious disease outbreaks among the homeless population residing in the CoC. This formal partnership outlines specific procedures for communicating information between KDHE and the CoC membership. Quarterly, KDHE will update the CoC membership during the monthly CoC-wide call to discuss current and potential infectious disease trends and outbreaks, and to inform the CoC on resources such as vaccines, distribution of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), and other up to date necessary information. 2) The Kansas Balance of State (Ks BoS CoC) Infectious Disease Control Policies and Procedures, which were developed in coordination with KDHE, state that KSHC will be the voice and action arm of the CoC Steering Committee in any all planning and coordination meetings for the response to an infectious disease outbreak to streamline the process for immediate response and information dissemination to the CoC membership. To best ensure regular communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers, the CoC Mainstream Benefits Workgroup has placed a standing agenda item at the top of the agenda for KDHE and/or other public health agencies to discuss public current or potential public health issues facing communities within the CoC geographic area. The formal agreement with KDHE will support KDHE and other specialized entities to provide targeted outreach training for street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers to effectively conduct safe practices when providing necessary outreach services. The CoC wide Infectious Disease Control Policies and Procedures dictate for Regional Planning Committees to incorporate local response and emergency management teams in the development of local emergency infectious disease responses to ensure that outreach, shelter, and housing providers are best equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants. ¿

1D-9.	Centralized or Coordinated Entry System—Assessment Process. NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	
Describe in the field below how your CoC’s coordinated entry system:		
1.	covers 100 percent of your CoC's geographic area;	
2.	uses a standardized assessment process; and	
3.	is updated regularly using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC has implemented a Coordinated Entry System (CES) across the 101 counties of the KS BoS CoC, covering the entire geographic area. The Coordinated Entry Committee (CEC) oversees the entire CES and works on behalf of the CoC to plan, train, market and evaluate the entire CES. 2)The CoC CES has a “No Wrong Door” approach, all agencies agreeing to be part of the CES receive full training and are considered an Access Point. All Access Points agree to provide full CES participation to any individual or family who requests housing assistance. These Access Points are trained to conduct the Assessment in a standardized process. The KS BoS CoC utilizes the VI-SPDAT to establish scoring criteria that translates the participant’s current living situation and barriers impacting the participant’s ability to obtain and/or maintain housing into a numerical score that can be used to inform the prioritization process. In cases where the assessment tool does not produce the entire body of information necessary to determine a household’s prioritization, agency case managers provide additional information through regular case conferencing. The VI-SPDAT assessment/score and case conferencing prioritize households as defined in the HUD CE Notice: Section II.B.3. 3)The KS BoS CoC opens up the Policies and Procedures to a public comment period for 30 days to allow those who are experiencing homelessness and the community at a large to provide input. The HMIS has been designed to offer households in the system an opportunity to provide feedback, attend meetings and to be a part of improving the system. The CES participating agencies also bring their client’s input to the CE committee to relay their input as we revise policies.

1D-9a.	Program Participant-Centered Approach to Centralized or Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their preferences; and
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people using coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.To reach persons who are most vulnerable to unsheltered homelessness, experiencing homelessness, or who may have barriers to accessing programs and resources, the KS BoS CoC CES Policies and Procedures create a framework that ensures access points to local homeless systems and resources are well advertised to the entire community. The CES Policies and Procedures outline agency responsibilities to ensure resources are eligible to all people regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, age, familial status actual/perceived sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status or disability. 2.The KS BoS CoC CE ensures that people with more severe service needs and levels of vulnerability are prioritized before those with less severe service needs. The VI-SPDAT establishes scoring criteria that translates the participant’s current living situation and barriers impacting participant’s ability to obtain and/or maintain housing into a numerical score that can be used to inform the prioritization process. In cases where the assessment tool does not produce the entire body of information necessary to determine a household’s prioritization, either because of the nature of self-reporting, withheld information, or circumstances outside the scope of assessment questions, the KS BoS CoC allows case managers working with households to provide additional information through case conferencing. 3.To ensure rapid referrals and program intake, if an agency is aware that they will be requesting a referral, they notify the CES team immediately. Once an opening is available, the CES team will have three (3) business days to provide the agency with a referral and will assist with connecting the referred household being referred to the agency to the maximum extent practical. 4.The assessment process will not screen people out of the CE process because of perceived barriers to housing or services, including but not limited to: too little or no income, active or a history of substance abuse, domestic violence history, resistance to receiving services, the type or extent of a disability-related services or supports that are needed, history of evictions or poor credit, lease violations or history of not being a leaseholder, or criminal record. Each region manages their own regional CES list and provide referrals within their independent regions to avoid forcing households to move long distances to be served.

1D-10.	Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	02/22/2022

1D-10a.	Process for Analyzing Racial Disparities—Identifying Racial Disparities in Provision or Outcomes of Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	your CoC’s process for analyzing whether any racial disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and
----	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

2. what racial disparities your CoC identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The KS BoS CoC implemented a new governance model during FY2020. This new governance model places a strong emphasis on improving racial equality service provision and outcomes. This new governance model drove the CoC to ensure participant demographic information is adequately captured in the data system by changing its HMIS vendor. FY2021 was the first year that the CoC and its membership agencies have been able to capture data to analyze present racial disparities in provisions or outcomes of homeless assistance provided by CoC service providers. The CoC HMIS Oversight Committee in collaboration with the CoC Equity Committee are utilizing data from regular HMIS reports, Stella, and the most recently conducted racial disparities report to identify existing pockets of racial disparities. The CoC, through the leadership of the CoC Equity Committee, has developed and implemented effective policies and procedures to improve the CoC's equality standards for better outcomes. The CoC Equity Committee in coordination with the HMIS Oversight Committee hold monthly meetings on the first Tuesday of every month to analyze information found in these data sources to determine trends, potential causes, and practical solutions regarding barriers faced by these populations who are less likely to receive assistance. 2) Due to the limited amount of data that can be analyzed and the infancy of the CoC Equity Committee and the HMIS Oversight Committee has not been able to begin its analysis of the racial disparity data until recently. The two committees are currently working together to identify and outline what racial disparities exist throughout the CoC in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance. The Equity Committee will then be charged with creating and implementing realistic effective strategies to address and eliminate the identified barriers. To ensure that the members of these CoC committees are trained to conduct the work of identifying and analyzing the collected data, committee members participated in the most recent HUD Technical Assistance Stakeholder Engagement Community Workshop which included a three-part equity foundational orientation to obtain a stronger understanding to identify solutions in equity disparities facing the CoC.

1D-10b.	Strategies to Address Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to address any racial disparities.

1.	The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.	No
2.	The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.	Yes
3.	The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.	Yes
4.	The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups.	Yes
5.	The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.	Yes
6.	The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.	No

7.	The CoC has staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.	Yes
8.	The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity.	Yes
9.	The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
10.	The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.	Yes
11.	The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.	The CoC participated in HUD TA workshops addressing racial and equity disparities.	Yes

1D-10c.	Actions Taken to Address Known Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the steps your CoC and homeless providers have taken to address disparities identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC has established several venues through its committees, workgroups, and general membership to ensure the participation and active input from persons of different races, particularly those who are over-represented in the local homeless population when determining the rating factors used to review project applications for CoC funding. The CoC Steering Committee, the decision-making body of the CoC, is comprised of a diverse group of individuals with a vast knowledge base and interest in serving individuals and people in families experiencing homelessness. The CoC actively invited persons of different races to participate in the CoC's Ratings and Rankings panel to ensure the CoC selection and ranking process provided appropriate representation in its selection process. The CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree to which their program participants mirror the homeless population demographics based on project descriptions, applications, and performance measures. Currently, the CoC Equity Committee is working to develop strategies to assist agencies in understanding identified racial disparities within the CoC and service provider programs. These strategies include educational and training opportunities, and technical assistance for policy and practice development. Throughout the CoC, service providers have been working to increase the participation of persons who are over-represented in the homeless population through the creation and establishment of advisory boards. These advisory boards will have a strong influence on the practices performed by these housing providers in addressing the identified racial disparities in the provisions and outcomes of the homeless assistance provided.

1D-10d.	Tracking Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the measures your CoC has in place to track progress on preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC through the leadership of the CoC Equity Committee and collaboration with the CoC HMIS Oversight Committee is currently developing the final steps in its plan to track its progress on preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance. The CoC will be tracking its progress on preventing and eliminating disparities related to race, gender identity and other inequalities utilizing localized HMIS data, data produced in Stella, the racial disparities assessment that is conducted at least once every three years, and program assessment tools. The information will be shared during the CoC Equity Committee meeting that is held on the first Tuesday of every month in coordination with the HMIS Oversight Committee. To ensure that information is collected, analyzed, and tracked appropriately, members of the two CoC committees have participated and continue to participate in training provided by the CoC’s assigned HUD TA’s and other educational opportunities.

1D-11.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking—CoC’s Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	
	Describe in the field below your CoC’s outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision making processes.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC’s current outreach efforts to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision-making processes not only include effective social media, virtual Coordinated Entry access points, and other virtual recruitment campaigns but includes a strong targeted outreach effort utilizing developed partnerships throughout the CoC. The Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition (KSHC) and the BoS CoC have developed a formal partnership with the Alliance of Overlooked Neighbors (AON), and they are active members of the Ks BoS CoC. AON membership is distinctly made up of people who are currently experiencing or who have lived experience of homelessness. AON specializes in local advocacy work for those with lived experience, through strong initiatives such as providing hydration locations and education to currently unsheltered persons, having members serve on decision-making bodies throughout their community to provide feedback, and ensuring a voice for those with lived experience throughout their communities. This formal partnership between AON, KSHC, and the CoC will enhance AON’s ability to expand its existence and practices throughout the nine regions of the CoC but also an AON member joined the CoC Steering Committee. The CoC is currently developing a new workgroup to review and enhance the CoC’s current outreach policies, practices, and all other efforts in the CoC’s ongoing prerogative to implement an effective voice for those with lived experience of homelessness. The formal partnership will also grant AON with the necessary tools to provide needed technical assistance to regions and communities throughout the CoC to implement structures that provide a cohesive and welcoming environment for those with lived experience to feel comfortable providing necessary feedback, advice, and policy implementation in their community. The AON is forming an extension charter in Hutchison, KS with the same mission. A member of this group also joined the CoC Steering Committee and became a board member of the KSHC. Due to these partnerships, the Ks BoS CoC currently has almost 10% of its Steering Committee seats filled by people with lived experience.

1D-11a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the five categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Included and provide input that is incorporated in the local planning process.	2	2
2.	Review and recommend revisions to local policies addressing homelessness related to coordinated entry, services, and housing.	2	1
3.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	2	2
4.	Included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	2	2
5.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	1	1

1D-11b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition (KSHC), the CoC's Collaborative Applicant, currently employs two individuals with lived experience in leadership positions-the CoC's Director of Coordinated Entry Systems and the Director of Community Engagement. KSHC also provides continuing education opportunities for its board members with lived experience of homelessness. Currently, 10% of the CoC Steering Committee's membership is made up of those with lived experience and are provided with ongoing continuing education opportunities. Through the leadership of the CoC Mainstream Benefits Workgroup the CoC has formed strong partnerships with local employment agencies such as Labor Max and Express Employment to provide skill-based on the job training for eligible program participants. During the Regional Planning process CoC Regional Coordinators and Representatives have partnered with Kansas Works to provide on-going continued-education and skill-based training for CoC program participants. KSHC in collaboration with the Kansas Department for Aging and Disabilities recently held its first seminar in a series focused to provide resources for Transitional Aged Youth, ages 16-18, who have lived experience of homelessness or being in Foster Care The training focuses on resources to prevent homelessness and substance misuse. Kansas Works of Southeast Kansas provided a session informing participants of employment opportunities, continuing-education opportunities, and skill-based training that are available within the Southeast Region and throughout the CoC geographic area.

1D-11c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	how your CoC routinely gathered feedback from people experiencing homelessness and people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG program on their experience receiving assistance; and
2.	the steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC is currently developing a CoC Workgroup designed by those with lived experience who have received assistance either through CoC or ESG programming to obtain feedback, and input, and to provide counsel to the CoC and its membership. The CoC also has a specific webpage on the CoC website for those who have received CoC program assistance to express their voice in a welcoming and open environment. On an agency level, CoC program agencies collect internal participant surveys to receive appropriate and necessary feedback to improve the services each program provides. 2) The information gathered from this CoC Workgroup will be discussed and evaluated during the CoC Performance & Compliance Committee meetings to improve CoC program policies, procedures, and practices. Regional Planning Committees also take feedback from the COC Workgroup and Regional CoC Programs to implement needed actions taken on a regional level to enhance programmatic and community assistance efforts. In addition, the CoC is continuously promoting the need for new CoC and ESG project applications to fill in the service gaps and needs that are identified to better assist those who are experiencing homelessness. A challenge raised by persons with lived experience of homelessness who serve on CoC committees is income for their time. As a result, beginning October 1, 2022, Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition will be using some of its matching funds for the CoC Planning Grant, to pay wages to persons with experience of homelessness. This will include committees, workgroups, and focus groups sanctioned by KSHC and the CoC.¿

1D-12.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.t.	

Describe in the field below at least 2 steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months that engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:

1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and
2.	reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC Collaborative Applicant and many of the CoC member agencies are active members of the National Low-Income Housing Coalition (NLIHC). As a member of the NLIHC, agency representatives are provided continuing education and training opportunities addressing the need for an increase in housing development. Most recently, members of the CoC participated in the National Alliance to End Homelessness (NAEH) Capitol Hill Day where these members met with staff from U.S. Senator Jerry Moran, U.S. Senator Dr. Roger Marshall, and U.S. Congressional Representative members Sharice Davids and Jake LaTurner to discuss issues from federal housing development funding and other housing funding issues. CoC Regional Coordinators and other CoC representatives actively attend community zoning meetings and city hall meetings to obtain information regarding land use policies regarding the development of low-income affordable housing. 2) CoC Regional Coordinators, CoC-funded programs, and CoC Collaborative Applicant staff have often attended “Coffee Hours” with local and state representatives throughout the CoC geographic area over the past 12 months to discuss barriers such as zoning, funding, and community perception for low-income and affordable housing development. The Ks BoS CoC has a strong relationship with U.S. Senator Jerry Moran and his office as he has worked to decrease barriers to housing development in the U.S. Senate Committee on Veterans Affairs to promote housing developments for homeless Veterans residing within the CoC geographic area. Senator Moran has often extended invitations for CoC members to participate in Senate Roundtables and hearings to be the voice for those with lived experience.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a. and 2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Deadline attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC published the deadline for project applicants to submit their applications to your CoC's local competition.	08/03/2022
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below. NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	
--------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen. Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	55
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	14
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-RRH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process. NOFO Section VII.B.2.d.	
--------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC collected and analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	considerations your CoC gave to projects that provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels but are projects your CoC needs in its geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC's HMIS Administrator utilized HMIS programming information that was entered by the CoC program throughout FY2021 to create CoC program "Score Cards" which would be used during the Rating and Ranking process. CoC Programs were then asked to attend CoC HMIS office hours to discuss the HMIS-generated Score Cards to ensure the accuracy of the HMIS information described on the Score Cards. 2) The CoC Performance and Compliance Committee developed a sub-committee to analyze the data, for example, how long it took for CoC-funded projects to house people in permanent housing. The CoC sub-committee used HMIS data to determine the average length of time housing providers took to house people into permanent housing. The CoC then used this recommendation to establish a baseline for the rating and ranking scoring tool point range settings. 3) The CoC utilized the 2022 CoC Gaps Analysis, HMIS data, and CoC Program feedback when developing its FY 2022 Rating and Ranking Prioritization Policies. This information was used to fill gaps in the CoC and to promote the development of CoC projects that can best assist those with severe needs and vulnerabilities. 4) The CoC priority for the 2022 competition Rating and Ranking Policies was permanent supportive housing projects as the CoC preference for new and reallocated projects.

1E-3.	Promoting Racial Equity in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.e.	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. how your CoC obtained input and included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population;	
	2. how the input from persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, affected how your CoC determined the rating factors used to review project applications;	
	3. how your CoC included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, in the review, selection, and ranking process; and	
	4. how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree to which their project has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The Ks BoS CoC obtained input and included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population by creating numerous settings when determining the rating factors used to review project applications for CoC funding. These settings include virtual participation campaigns lead by the CoC Equity Committee and by individual CoC funded programs. 2) Based on the feedback received by the CoC, it was determined that at least 20% of the total points awarded for projects address equity disparities ranging from project representation to project implementation. These factors were used when scoring new, expansion and renewal project applications. 3) The CoC actively invited persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, to serve on the CoC Rating and Ranking Panel to enhance the CoC's ranking, review, and selection process. 4) Approximately 22% of the points were awarded to projects based on how the projects addressed the following factors: employing under-represented individuals in managerial and leadership positions, having a relational process for accruing and incorporating feedback from under-represented populations, reviewing internal policies and procedures through an equity lens and has a plan to implement equitable policies that do not create undue barriers.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;	
	2. whether your CoC identified any projects through this process during your local competition this year;	
	3. whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and	
	4. why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The approved reallocation policy states the BoS CoC funded agencies who are identified as underperforming according to federal benchmarks, have HUD monitoring findings that have not been resolved, or with inadequate financial standards are candidates for reallocation and will receive technical assistance from the BoS CoC lead agency. The policy also determines that any program within the BoS CoC that has expended less than 90 percent of project funds both last two operating years may have funds partially or fully reallocated during the next CoC Program Competition. 2) There was one project who was identified to have funding reallocated. It was discovered during the project monitoring process; the project had expended less than 90% of their funding in each of its last two program years as well as had many issues performing adequate drawdowns and had a rejected APR from the regional HUD office due to drawdown discrepancies. 3) During the technical assistance process the identified low performing project elected to voluntarily reallocate its CoC funding. The organization determined that due to several reasons such as staff turnover and capacity issues, and its structural inability to commit to a Housing First perspective that it would be in the organization's best interest to voluntarily reallocate its funding. 4) The CoC accepted the voluntary reallocation request by the Salina Public Housing Authority.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2017 and FY 2022.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2017 and FY 2022?	Yes
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s)?	No
2.	Did your CoC inform applicants why their projects were rejected or reduced?	No
3.	If you selected Yes for element 1 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	09/07/2022
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results—Scores for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g. You must upload the Final Project Scores for All Projects attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Applicant Names; 2. Project Names; 3. Project Scores; 4. Project Rank—if accepted; 5. Award amounts; and 6. Projects accepted or rejected status.	Yes
--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

1E-5c.	1E-5c. Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g. You must upload the Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC’s website or partner’s website—which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

You must enter a date in question 1E-5c.

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g. You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application has been posted on the CoC’s website or partner’s website.	
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

You must enter a date in question 1E-5d.

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Bitfocus
--	----------------------------------------------------------------	----------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC’s HMIS coverage area.	Single CoC
--	----------------------------------------------------------	------------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 HIC data into HDX.	05/05/2022
--	---------------------------------------------------------------	------------

2A-4.	Comparable Database for DV Providers–CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in databases that meet HUD’s comparable database requirements; and
2.	state whether your CoC is compliant with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The Kansas Coalition Against Sexual and Domestic Violence (KCSDV) works with Victim Service Provider (VSP) agencies throughout Kansas to collect and record in a comparable database, Osnum, which is consistent with 1)The Kansas Coalition against Sexual and Domestic Violence (KCSDV) works with victim service provider (VSP) agencies throughout Kansas to collect and record in a comparable database to the KS BoS CoC HMIS system, Clarity Human Services. VSPs use Osnum, which is consistent with HMIS Data and Technical Standards and meets the 2022 HMIS Data Standards, detailed in the Comparable Database Vendor Checklist provided by the vendor. Currently, all 20 of the VSP programs who operate within the Kansas Balance of State CoC coverage area use Osnum. ESG and CoC grantees use Osnum to upload required reports into SAGE. Staff from the Kansas Housing Resources Corporation (KHRC), who operates as both the CoC's HMIS Lead Agency and ESG program manager, then uses the data to submit the annual CAPER report. A similar process is in place for CoC grantees, who submit APR reports into SAGE. 2) KS-507 Kansas Balance of State Continuum of Care is in complete compliance with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c. and VII.B.7.	

Enter 2022 HIC and HMIS data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Total Beds 2022 HIC	Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	860	363	191	38.43%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	11	0	0	0.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	278	26	71	28.17%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	397	53	344	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing	336	0	76	22.62%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH)	0	0	0	

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1). The Ks BoS CoC HMIS Oversight Committee has identified the Emergency Shelter, Safe Haven, Transitional Housing, and Permanent Supportive Housing providers residing in the 101-county catchment area of the CoC who do not participate in the coordinated entry process or enter data into HMIS. The Ks BoS HMIS Oversight Committee has determined that the next step for the CoC to take over the next 12 months to increase bed coverage rate to at least 85% is to strengthen its partnership with federal and state partners such as the Department of Veteran Affairs (VA) and the Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services (KDADS). There are two Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Centers (VAMCs) who operate PSH programs within the Ks BoS CoC and the only SH (Safe Haven) project in Ks BoS CoC. KDADS has influence with several housing projects throughout the CoC. 2) The HMIS Oversight Committee is currently working with the two VAMC's for HUD VASH data entry to the CoC HMIS via the HOMEs to HMIS Translator tool. If the Committee can successfully meet this goal, the PSH bed coverage rate will be at 100%, based off our most recent HIC.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.d.	

Did your CoC submit LSA data to HUD in HDX 2.0 by February 15, 2022, 8 p.m. EST?	Yes
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2022 PIT count.	02/22/2022
--	-------------------------------------------------------	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 PIT count data in HDX.	05/05/2022
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how during the planning process for the 2022 PIT count your CoC:	
	1. engaged stakeholders that serve homeless youth;	
	2. involved homeless youth in the actual count; and	
	3. worked with stakeholders to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC committed to implement an unsheltered youth count in 2022 that included consultation and participation from youth serving organizations and youth with lived experience. The CoC’s PIT/HIC committee consists of the CoC’s Regional Coordinators as well as other key stakeholders to help plan the PIT/HIC count. During the planning process the CoC had immense participation in the PIT/HIC committee from homeless youth providers throughout the CoC’s geographic region. These stakeholders included youth housing providers, educational resources, and family resource providers. 2)To garner involvement from homeless youth and their peers in the actual count, Regional Coordinators teamed with local youth providers to recruit youth volunteers to assist in the count. 3) Locations where homeless youth were most likely to be identified were determined during the regional PIT/HIC planning meetings. Youth resource leaders and outreach teams provided information during these regional planning meetings to educate volunteers participating in the PIT count.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.a and VII.B.7.c.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable;	
	2. describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable; and	
	3. describe how the changes affected your CoC’s PIT count results; or	
	4. state “Not Applicable” if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2022.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC made several changes to its implementation in how surveys were collected, administered, and calculated. The PIT count included data directly from our HMIS for the sheltered count (Emergency Shelter, Transitional Housing) and unsheltered count (Rapid Re-housing enrollments without a move-in date). The CoC offered volunteers to utilize the traditional paper form the CoC has utilized in years past, but in addition the CoC offered a digital version of the survey through Airtable. The paper survey form was also offered as a fillable PDF. This PDF form also included a youth survey subsection. Finally, the survey included a county data point, so that the CoC could trace the surveys back to the region that the survey originated. 2)The methodology was a complete census count. For the sheltered count, the CoC did not receive complete sets of PIT surveys for some of the non-HUD funded projects that participated in the Housing Inventory Count. To make up for the gap in data, demographic information was extrapolated based off percentages from completed surveys, as well as HMIS data. 3)Despite not extrapolating actual client numbers, the CoC’s unsheltered count was the highest submitted total going back to 2009 (our CoC did not submit unsheltered counts in 2020, 2014, and 2012). Part of that increase should be attributed to an increase in Rapid Re-housing projects in the CoC from 358 in 2021 to 397 in 2022.

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless–Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
	2. describe your CoC’s strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
	3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC determined risk factors in identifying persons experiencing homelessness for the first time by utilizing section 5.2 within the System Performance Measures, the Universe of entries in ES, SH, TH and PH shows an 80% increase from our last submitted data (2020: 1,185 2021: 2,142). For FY 2020, 92% of clients within that sampling did not have HMIS entries within the previous 24 months. For FY2021, that percentage increased to 94%. 2) Within that same timeframe, there were 1,116 Homeless Prevention enrollments active in HMIS. Of the 1,073 exits, 73% were to permanent destinations. In FY2020, there were only 259 enrollments in Homeless Prevention (HP) projects within HMIS. This is due to the CoC’s successful coordinated entry and HMIS strategies such as: regular regional case conferencing, emphasis on HMIS participation, and making tools like the HP VISPDAT available for the entirety of the CoC membership to utilize. 3) The Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition as the Collaborate Applicant is responsible for overseeing the CoC’s strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time.

2C-2.	Length of Time Homeless–CoC’s Strategy to Reduce.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.c.	
	In the field below:	

1.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC's successful coordinated entry and HMIS strategies used to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless include regular regional case conferencing, an emphasis on HMIS participation, and through effective and efficient community engagement. 2) Individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time in homelessness identified in section 1.2 within the System Performance Measures, the Universe of persons in Emergency Shelters, Safe Havens, and Transitional Housing more than doubled. 625 individuals and persons were identified in 2020, and 1,276 were identified in 2021. That increase is largely in part to the addition of ESG CV temporary hotel/motel shelters within the system. Despite this increase in scale, the average length of time homeless, actually decreased from 59 nights in 2020 to 47 nights in 2021 for those three project types. 3) The Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition as the Collaborate Applicant is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

2C-3.	Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.d.	

In the field below:

1.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC’s successful coordinated entry and HMIS strategies used to increase the rate that individuals and persons residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing include regular regional case conferencing, an emphasis on HMIS participation, and through effective and efficient community engagement. Section 7b.1 within the System Performance Measures, the Universe of persons in ES, SH, TH, and RRH who exited increased by 77% year over year. While the overall percentage of those served who ended up in permanent housing dropped from 66% in 2020 to 51% in 2021, the 892 individuals and persons exiting to permanent housing in FY21 nearly makes up 91% universe of the 981 individuals and persons in FY20. 2) The CoC utilizes regular coordinated case conferencing, an emphasis on HMIS participation, and effective community engagement strategies such as housing navigation, and community resource development to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families in permanent supportive housing projects to retain their permanent housing or to exit to permanent housing destinations. Section 7b.2 within the System Performance Measures, the Universe of persons in PSH who exited decreased by 18% year over year. Of the ten that exited to non-permanent destination, four went to homeless situations, two went to temporary situations, one went to an institutional situation, one is now deceased, and data was not collected on the remaining two. 3) The Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition as the Collaborative Applicant is responsible for overseeing the CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.

2C-4.	Returns to Homelessness—CoC’s Strategy to Reduce Rate.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.e.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC’s strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;	
2.	describe your CoC’s strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC uses data from section 2a within the System Performance Measures, which includes exits to a permanent housing destination from Street Outreach (SO), Emergency Shelters (EH), Transitional Housing (TH), Safe Havens (SH), and Permanent Housing (PH), to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness. According to the data, there was a 10% return rate within two years. That consists of a 4% overall return rate for less than six months, 2% from 6 to 12 months, and 3% from 13 to 24 months. When examining these rates by project type, 55% of exits are coming from Permanent Housing projects and that return rate is only at 2%. However, when looking at Emergency Shelter, 54 of the 280 (19%) who exited to permanent destinations return to homelessness within 2 years. That return rate for Transitional Housing is 12% for two years. That accounts for six returns out of 50 exits: all of which coming in year-two.2) These positive outcomes are due to the CoC’s successful strategies of holding regular regional coordinated entry case conferencing, an emphasis on HMIS participation, and through effective and efficient community engagement activities such as developing working and formal partnerships with street outreach teams and through partnerships with state and local mainstream benefit providers. 3) The Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition as the Collaborative Applicant is responsible for overseeing the CoC’s strategy to increase and reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income–CoC’s Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC’s strategy to access employment cash sources;
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their cash income; and
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to increase income from employment.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Through the leadership of the CoC's Mainstream Benefits Workgroup the CoC provides numerous supports for the entirety of the CoC's member organizations through to connect individuals and families with programs such as: the Ks Economic & Employment Work Program sponsored by the Ks Dept. of Children and Families (DCF), temporary employment agencies, and regional supportive employment teams. The CoC also promotes access to regional community mental health providers who offer supportive employment and supportive education case management. 2) In a direct partnership with the Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services (KDADS) the CoC is strengthening member agencies efforts and case management through evidence-based practices. KDADS is in the process of cross training behavioral health providers on housing practices, employment assistance, and SOAR/mainstream benefits to ensure each case manager can offer support in all three areas. KDADS is also developing a relationship with Ks Legal Services for expungement to alleviate employment barriers. The CoC also provides referrals to Kansas workforce centers, vocational rehabilitation (work trial), job coaching, paid job training and workforce development. The CoC HMIS system tracks mainstream benefits, earned income and non-cash income and that information is accessible to KSHC staff to assess if additional concentrated efforts are needed within any of the respective regions. During the CoC regional planning meetings regional agencies develop regional strategies such as outreach to prospective employers and staffing agencies. 3) The Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition, with support of Regional Coordinators and the CoC Mainstream Benefits workgroup is responsible for overseeing the CoC's employment strategy. The CoC promotes partnerships and access to employment opportunities with private employers and private employment organizations on a regional level. During the CoC regional planning meetings and CoC regional CES meetings CoC regional Coordinators and agency staff discuss prospective job leads, job fairs and other resources for program participants.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and	
	2. provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC's partnership with (KDADS) and Community Mental Health Centers took on the effort to assist clients to connect to SOAR-Medicaid-Mainstream Benefit certified staff advocates. Through this formal partnership the KSHC employs a Housing Crisis team to effectively connect program participants needed non-employment resources and housing supports. 2)The CoC Mainstream Benefits Workgroup has partnered with KDADS to implement statewide SOAR training for the entirety of the CoC membership. CoC member agencies also receive support for training of mainstream benefits, such as Medicaid, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), the Supplemental Assistance Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) and other mainstream benefits to ensure long-term sufficiency. The goal is to provide guidance to allow all agencies to have access to SOAR staff training, to increase SOAR certified staffing and to provide support for SOAR and other mainstream benefits, as well as refer clients to additional support resources when appropriate. Case management plans include follow up and assistance with maintenance of benefits to ensure long-term self-sufficiency. The CoC is working to strengthen the efforts of the CoC mainstream benefit committee to ensure agencies have the support and training needed for this endeavor. 3) As stated in the MOU, KSHC as the collaborative applicant is the organization that is responsible for the oversight of this strategy. KSHC works closely with the CoC Mainstream Benefits workgroup, KDADS, Ks Dept. of Children and Families (DCF), Ks Dept. of Health and Environment (KDHE), Disability Determination Services (DDS), and Policy Research Associates, Inc. (PRAinc)., and the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) to ensure the implementation of this strategy.

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.6.a. and VII.B.6.b.	
	If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.	

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
This list contains no items			

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD’s implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

3C-2.	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applications.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?		Yes
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	-----

4A-1a.	DV Bonus Project Types.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the type(s) of new DV Bonus project(s) your CoC included in its FY 2022 Priority Listing.

	Project Type	
1.	SSO Coordinated Entry	No
2.	PH-RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH Component	Yes

You must click "Save" after selecting Yes for element 1 SSO Coordinated Entry to view questions 4A-2, 4A-2a. and 4A-2b.

4A-3.	Assessing Need for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects in Your CoC's Geographic Area.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.(e)(1)(c)	

1.	Enter the number of survivors that need housing or services:	1,978
2.	Enter the number of survivors your CoC is currently serving:	311
3.	Unmet Need:	1,667

4A-3a.	How Your CoC Calculated Local Need for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(c)	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC calculated the number of DV survivors needing housing or services in question 4A-3 element 1 and element 2; and
2.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects); or
3.	if your CoC is unable to meet the needs of all survivors please explain in your response all barriers to meeting those needs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The Ks BoS CoC calculated the number of DV survivors needing housing or services and the number of survivors our CoC is currently serving through entered HMIS data, data reported by the Kansas Housing Resources Cooperation to the CoC regarding reported survivor data from ESG subrecipients, and data reported by the Kansas Coalition against Sexual and Domestic Violence (KCSDV) to the CoC. The data was provided by 25 Victim Service Provider (VSP) member programs and other non VSP programs serving survivors. In cases where data was missing, the option of 'unknown' was used to complete the data set. The data in this report can be beneficial in recognizing success areas, as well as areas for potential growth. 2)This data is sourced in a comparable database managed by KCSDV as well as the CoC HMIS system that non-DV projects who utilize a DV survivor preference for project enrollment. 3)The CoC is unable to meet the needs of all survivors due to lack of safe and affordable housing resources. Permanent Supportive Housing options are extremely limited in the rural and frontier counties residing in the BoS CoC for survivors. VSP Emergency Shelter programs are consistently operating at full capacity. Transportation and location are an issue, in the northwest region of the BoS CoC all survivors in the 18-county radius are served by one shelter located in Hays, Ks with limited beds.

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicants and Their Experience in Housing Placement and Housing Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Use the list feature icon to enter information on each unique project applicant applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus projects—only enter project applicant information once, regardless of how many DV Bonus projects that applicant is applying for.

Applicant Name
KS Coalition Sexu...

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicants and Their Experience in Housing Placement and Housing Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant applying for one or more New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects included on your CoC's FY 2022 Priority Listing:

1.	Applicant Name	KS Coalition Sexual Domestic Violence
2.	Project Name	KCASDV-RRH Expansion
3.	Project Rank on the Priority Listing	15
4.	Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)	KSN3RBHFBLL3
5.	Amount Requested	\$265,000
6.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors–Percentage	57%
7.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors–Percentage	86%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant Experience in Housing Placement and Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(c)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below

1.	how the project applicant calculated both rates;	
2.	whether the rates accounts for exits to safe housing destinations; and	
3.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).	

(limit 1,500 characters)

1)The rate of housing placement was calculated by comparing APR data from ESG and their rate for exits with positive housing destinations was 40/42 (95%) for RRH and their ES rate was 412/753 (55%). For HMIS, the CoC looked at all enrollments besides CES and it comes out to 523/951 (55%). For CES enrollments, it's 280/470 (60%). Combined, it is 55, 57, and 60=57%. The rate of retention is based off of System Performance Measures 2a and 2b. Bitfocus developed a dashboard on the System Performance Measures that lines up perfectly with what the CoC is able to pull from HMIS. Through that dashboard, the CoC was able to apply a Domestic Violence filter based off of those who answered "yes" to the DV enrollment question. Of the 88 total persons who exited to permanent housing within the past two years' worth of data, 12 returned to our system (14%). 3) To calculate these rates the CoC used KCSDV's APR for their ESGCV program, and HMIS.

4A-3c.	Applicant Experience in Providing Housing to DV Survivor for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)		
Describe in the field below how the project applicant:		
1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;	
2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC’s emergency transfer plan, etc.;	
3.	determined which supportive services survivors needed;	
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and	
5.	moved clients from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)KCSDV’s current ESG CV RRH program quickly moves survivors of sexual and domestic violence and their families, who are experiencing homelessness into permanent housing by utilizing a Housing First approach. The RRH program provides housing navigation, rental assistance, and stabilization services such as: financial literacy and economic empowerment. 2)KCSDV prioritizes survivors based on the BoS CoC DV-CES policies and procedures. KCSDV uses assessment tools such as the CES VI-SPDAT tool, the CoC’s emergency transfer plan, and other factors when determining prioritization. 3)KCSDV ensures all program participants are provided documentation of resources that includes all mainstream programs available to the survivors at program entry. KCSDV policies ensure that survivors are connected to needed supportive services such as medical health treatment, mental health treatment, counseling, childcare resources, and other services essential for achieving independent living. 4) KCSDV staff are required to attend a minimum of 20 hours of continuous education annually. These continuing education hours include training for trauma-informed-care, safety planning, and confidentiality. 5) To ensure housing stability, all KCSDV services are voluntary and directed by the program participant. KCSDV policy requires advocates to attempt to meet with each program participant once a month to ensure the survivor’s long-term housing stability. A Housing Stability Plan (HSP) is developed to assist the survivor to retain permanent housing after the support and assistance ends. The survivor lead HSP considers all relevant considerations, such as the survivor’s current or expected income and expenses; other public or private assistance for which the survivor will be eligible and likely to receive; and the relative affordability of available housing in the area.

4A-3d.	Applicant Experience in Ensuring DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)		
Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:		
1.	taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;	
2.	making determinations and placements into safe housing;	

3.	keeping information and locations confidential;
4.	training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and
5.	taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)KCSDV ensures all advocacy meetings including intake conversations are held privately in a confidential space away from the survivor’s abuser to ensure the survivor’s safety. For all in person intake meetings take place in a private meeting space equipped with resources and communication technology for survivor safety needs. KCSDV has also invested in telecommunication technology to ensure safe, private, and confidential intake and advocacy conversations with survivors facing transportation and location barriers. 2) KCSDV defines all services as “Participant Lead”. The ESGCV RRH program policies ensure that every attempt is made to match survivor households to appropriate housing that is decent and safe, meeting the safety needs of the survivor and housing where program participants will be able to pay the rent after RRH financial assistance ends. 3) The RRH program can keep survivor information and their location confidential by providing housing options in a variety of locations, and by serving as a resource to survivor households during the housing search and application process. KCSDV advocates educate program participants about landlord responsibilities to help survivors served by the RRH program obtain and sustain appropriate housing. The RRH program works to help households understand tenant and landlord rights and responsibilities and does not knowingly place households with negligent landlords. 4) KCSDV staff are required to participate in 40 hours of initial safety training upon hire and must participate in 16 hours of continued safety training every 12 months. This training encompasses educating staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices including safety planning, trauma-informed-care approach, using a survivor lead approach and other relevant information. KCSDV advocate staff attend supervision case conferencing meetings granting staff an opportunity for one-on-one training. 5)KCSDV does not directly operate any emergency shelter spaces. However, KCSDV does provide coalition Victim Supportive Providers (VSP) who operate congregate shelters guidance and resources to ensure VSP operated congregate living spaces are properly maintained and needed structural upgrades are accessible. KCSDV, as the accrediting body, provides leadership guidance and assistance to coalition member VSP’s operating congregate living spaces in safety protocols to ensure survivor living spaces are kept safe and confidential.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant Experience in Evaluating Their Ability to Ensure DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project has evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement during the course of the proposed project.

(limit 2,500 characters)

KCSDV evaluates its housing program’s ability to ensure the safety of program participants through internal monitoring processes. KCSDV performs routine case file audits to ensure program participants are provided necessary survivor lead advocacy and safety plans. KCSDV program staff participate in regular coordination of survivor services with local VSP advocates, the survivors themselves, to address any new safety concerns expressed by the program participant.

4A-3e.	Applicant Experience in Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant’s experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:

1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants’ wishes and stated needs;
2.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;
3.	providing program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
4.	emphasizing program participants’ strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
5.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
6.	providing a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
7.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

1)KCSDV’s ESG-CV RRH project services are administered through a survivor lead-voluntary based lens. It is KCSDV’s agency philosophy that “Survivors know their stories and themselves best” and it is KCSDV policy that services including the assessing, arranging, coordinating, and monitoring the delivery of individualized services to facilitate housing. 2)KCSDV policy does not allow punitive interventions. KCSDV not only recognizes that punitive Interventions are ineffective but are extremely derogative toward humanity of its project participants. The KCSDV ESG-CV RRH project employs a diverse advocacy staff who are extensively trained in creating safe and non-threatening environments to ensure that survivors interactions with staff are based on equality and minimizes power differentials. 3)KCSDV project staff work hard to ensure that survivors are made aware of any trauma-based assistance and services available to program participants via DV advocacy programs and community partners Project participants learn the availability of possible assistance and services by providing accessible information, including the location of assistance and services. All project staff training is through a trauma informed lens. 4) KCSDV project staff advocates work with program participants to design survivor-lead advocacy plan built on survivor strengths and needs. During the advocacy planning process, survivors participate in goal setting assessments that allow the survivor to identify their goals then to build a plan with their project advocate to design an action plan to accomplish those aspirations through realistic action steps. 5)The project ensures meaningful and equitable access to individuals who use languages other than English and follow KCSDV’s Language Access Plan. The ESG program is not subject to the immigration restrictions in Section 214 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1980, thus Individuals can access the KCSDV RRH Program regardless of their immigration status. All project staff are required to participant in annual and ongoing cultural humility training. 6)Program participants are effectively connected to internal and external resources to address physical, mental, and spiritual health needs through collaborative work with local VSPs and other formal partnerships designed for simple accessibility to needed services. 7) Survivors are provided access to parenting support such as counseling, classes, and safe and affordable childcare resources during the development of the program participant’s advocacy plan.

4A-3f.	Applicant Experience in Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
--------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

The KCSDV RRH project provided program participants with numerous supportive services while quickly moving survivors into permanent housing and addressing safety needs. Supportive services included but were not limited to services such as: Credit repair, legal services, and Housing Navigation. The Credit Repair-program funds were used for credit counseling and other services necessary to assist program participants with critical skills related to household budgeting, managing money, accessing a free personal credit report, and resolving personal credit problems. This assistance does not include the payment or modification of a debt. Legal Services-KSCDV provided participants with legal services by paying for eligible costs such as hourly fees for legal advice and representation by licensed attorneys regarding matters that interfere with the program participant's ability to obtain and retain housing. When necessary, program participants facing legal matters such as: child support, guardianship, paternity, emancipation/legal separation, orders of protection and other civil remedies for victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, and appeal of veterans and public benefit claim denials. RRH project participants were eligible for component services or activities which included client attorney intake, preparation of cases for trial, provision of legal advice, representation at hearings, and counseling, and needs to filing fees and other necessary court costs. using non ESG funding. Housing Navigation-KCSDV employed advocates assisted participants in locating, obtaining, and retaining suitable permanent housing, include the following: Assessment of housing barriers, needs, and preferences; Development of an action plan for locating housing; Housing search; Outreach to and negotiation with owners; Assistance with submitting rental applications and understanding leases; Assessment to ensure identified housing is in compliance with, federal habitability standards, lead-based paint, and rent reasonableness. Project staff assisted with obtaining utilities and making moving arrangements. Project staff also provide Tenant counseling. Each supportive service was provided in direct collaboration with the participating survivor and each housing stability plan action step was Survivor lead in its creation.

4A-3g.	Plan for Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(e)	

Provide examples in the field below of how the new project will:	
1.	prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;
2.	establish and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;
3.	provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
4.	emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans works towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
5.	center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
6.	provide a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and

7.	offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.
----	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

(limit 5,000 characters)

1)Due to the continued success of KCSDV’s ESG CV RRH project; the KCSDV CoC-RRH project services will continue administering services through a survivor lead-voluntary based lens. It remains KCSDV’s agency philosophy that “Survivor’s know their stories and themselves best” and it is KCSDV policy that services including the assessing, arranging, coordinating, and monitoring the delivery of individualized services to facilitate housing. 2)KCSDV policy does not allow for punitive interventions. KCSDV not only recognizes that punitive interventions are ineffective but are extremely derogative toward the humanity of its project participants. The KCSDV RRH project will employ project advocate staff incorporating hiring diversity practices and will be extensively trained in creating safe and non-threatening environments to ensure that

Survivors’ interactions with staff are based on equality and minimizes power differentials. 3)KCSDV project staff will work to ensure that survivors are made aware of any trauma-based assistance and services available to program participants via DV advocacy programs and community partners. Project participants will learn the availability of possible assistance and services by providing accessible information, including the location of assistance and services. All project staff training is through a trauma informed lens. 4)KCSDV CoC-RRH project staff advocates will work with program participants to design survivor lead advocacy plan to build on survivor strengths and needs. During the advocacy planning process, survivors participate in Goal setting assessments that allow the survivor to identify their goals then to build a plan with their project advocate to design an action plan to accomplish those aspirations through realistic action steps. 5)The proposed project will ensure meaningful and equitable access to individuals who use languages other than English and follow KCSDV’s Language Access Plan. All project staff are required to participate in annual and ongoing cultural humility training. 6)Program participants will be effectively connected to internal and external resources to address physical, mental, and spiritual health, needs through collaborative work with local VSPs and other formal partnerships designed for simple accessibility to needed services. 7)Survivors will be provided access to parenting support such as counseling, classes, and safe and affordable childcare resources during the development of the program participant’s advocacy plan.

4A-3h.	Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development of New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(f)	

Describe in the field below how the new project(s) will involve survivors with a range of lived expertise in policy and program development throughout the project’s operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

KCSDV emphasizes the employment of survivors of domestic violence, sexual violence, dating violence, and/or stalking. These employees serve as program directors, project managers, and technical assistance providers, and are key players in project development and enhancement to ensure projects are survivor-led, trauma informed, equitable, and individualized to meet survivors' unique needs and situations. The KCSDV board is comprised of board members with lived experiences who are actively involved in the decision-making processes for funding, strategic plans, and accountability that the agency creates and maintains survivor centered services that align with all advocacy guidelines, ethics, and principles. Victim Service Providers conduct voluntary surveys from survivors exiting services to determine if their needs were met by the program services received. KCSDV often seeks feedback from survivors receiving project services to ensure the project is meeting their individualized needs. With the development and enhancement of the RRH program through CoC funds, KCSDV is in the process of implementing a formal survey process that respects anonymity and confidentiality while ensuring it is accessible and equitable. Surveys will be utilized in the development and improvement of policies, procedures, and service delivery in KCSDV's RRH program. Survivor voices are often silenced, yet they are the most important and impactful voices in this work. KCSDV values survivor leadership, input, and experience and intends to use this type of leadership by survivors to serve survivors authentically and effectively across the Ks BoS CoC.

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

- | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete. |
| 2. | You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'. |
| 3. | We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube. |
| 4. | Attachments must match the questions they are associated with. |
| 5. | Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. |
| 6. | If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either. |
| | . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time). |
| | . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment. |
| 7. | After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include. |

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	MHA Homeless Pref...	09/27/2022
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No		
1E-1. Local Competition Deadline	Yes	Local Competition...	09/28/2022
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	FY22 Competition ...	09/28/2022
1E-2a. Scored Renewal Project Application	Yes	Scored Renewal Pr...	09/28/2022
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	09/28/2022
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of p...	09/28/2022
1E-5b. Final Project Scores for All Projects	Yes	Final Project Scores	09/28/2022
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No	Housing Leveraging	09/28/2022

3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No	Healthcare Agreem...	09/28/2022
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: MHA Homeless Preference Resolution Adopted

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Deadline

Attachment Details

Document Description: FY22 Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored Renewal Project

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Project Rejection

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of project Acceptance

Attachment Details

Document Description: Final Project Scores

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing Leveraging

Attachment Details

Document Description: Healthcare Agreements

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/25/2022
1B. Inclusive Structure	09/28/2022
1C. Coordination and Engagement	09/28/2022
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	09/28/2022
1E. Project Review/Ranking	Please Complete
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/28/2022
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	09/28/2022
2C. System Performance	09/27/2022
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	09/28/2022
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	09/28/2022
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	09/28/2022

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	09/28/2022
4B. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

RESOLUTION NO. 092122-A

Amendment To Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Administrative Plan & Public Housing Admissions and Continued Occupancy Plan Establishing A Local Preference For Homeless Families and Individuals

WHEREAS, the Department of Housing and Urban Development allows implementation of local preferences for the purpose of administering the HCV and Public Housing Waiting Lists; and

WHEREAS, the Manhattan Housing Authority has been approached by the Manhattan Emergency Shelter, Inc. (MESI), regarding a partnership to reduce homelessness in our community; and

WHEREAS, the Manhattan Housing Authority wishes to work with MESI to ensure that housing needs of applicants who are experiencing homelessness are met; and

WHEREAS, establishing a Local Preference for homeless families and individuals, as referred by MESI, will help to meet the goal of reducing homelessness; and

WHEREAS, the Manhattan Housing Authority shall limit the number of applicants admitted to the HCV and Public Housing programs under this preference to ten (10) per calendar year per program, so as not to significantly impact the waiting time for applicants currently on the Waiting List who do not qualify for this Local Preference; and

WHEREAS, by passing this Resolution, the HCV Administrative Plan and Public Housing Admissions and Continued Occupancy Plan shall be so amended; and

WHEREAS, this action shall not constitute a significant amendment to the Agency Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Manhattan Housing Authority Board of Commissioners that the HCV Administrative Plan and Public Housing Admissions and Continued Occupancy Plan be amended to establish a Local Preference for applicants who are determined to be homeless and referred to the Manhattan Housing Authority by the Manhattan Emergency Shelter, Inc.

SEAL:



Aaron Estabrook, Executive Director
Manhattan Housing Authority

Janis Clare Galitzer
Manhattan Housing Authority
Board of Commissioners

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: ✓ Blaylock Residences (63) Print Blank Template Print Report Card
 Organization Name: Mental Health America of the Heartland
 Project Type: PSH (General) Renewal/Expansion Projects
 Project Identifier: 63 Met all threshold requirements Rating Complete

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE	
PERFORMANCE MEASURES					
Length of Stay					
Permanent Supportive-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 7 days after referral to PSH	9 days	4	out of 5	
Exits to Permanent Housing					
Permanent Supportive-Housing	96% remain in or move to PH	100 %	5	out of 5	
Returns to Homelessness					
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 5% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	5 %	5	out of 5	
New or Increased Income and Earned Income					
Earned income for project stayers	7%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 1	
Non-employment income for project stayers	38%+ of participants with new or increased income	43 %	1.0	out of 1	
Earned income for project leavers	24%+ of participants with new or increased income	50 %	1.0	out of 1	
Non-employment income for project leavers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	0 %	0.0	out of 1	
Performance Measures Subtotal				16	out of 19
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS					
Permanent Supportive-Housing	Assessment score for participants indicates PSH with 90% at highest end of PSH range			out of 5	
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal				0	out of 5
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS					
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 100% of entries to project from CE referrals	100 %	5	out of 5	
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	yes	1	out of 1	
Project Effectiveness Subtotal				6	out of 6
EQUITY FACTORS					
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies					
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	yes	3	out of 3	
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	yes	3	out of 3	
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	yes	3	out of 3	
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lens, no undue barriers	yes	3	out of 3	
Program Participant Outcomes					
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by race, ethnicity, etc.	yes	1	out of 1	
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	yes	1	out of 1	
Equity Factors Subtotal				14	out of 14
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA					
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	1	1	out of 1	
PSH (General) - Housing First Self Assessment Score	165	180	5	out of 5	
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal				6	out of 6
TOTAL SCORE				42	out of 50
Weighted Rating Score				84	out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ 141,099
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ 141,099
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		0%

Project Notification of Rejection or Project Reduction

KS-507, The Kansas Balance of State Continuum of Care accepted all submitted projects for CoC funding via the FY22 Annual CoC Competition Award and did not have any projects that were rejected or reduced. The CoC did not distribute a notification for rejected or reduced projects as there were no rejected or reduced projects.

Eric Arganbright

From: Eric Arganbright
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2022 8:26 AM
To: Rachel Holt
Subject: RE: Leslie Moore shared "2018-08-06 Sunderland Award Letter" with you.

Good morning Rachel,

I did receive Ashby House's application. Please accept this email as confirmation that the application was accepted on time.

Eric Arganbright

Director of Community Engagement
Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition
2001 Haskell Avenue
Lawrence, Kansas 66046
Phone: (785)-730-9153
Email: earganbright@kshomeless.com
Website: kshomeless.com



Kansas Statew

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are NOT the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering the e-mail to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by email of the error.

From: Rachel Holt <rachel.holt@ashbyhouse.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 5:00 PM
To: Eric Arganbright <earganbright@kshomeless.com>
Subject: Fw: Leslie Moore shared "2018-08-06 Sunderland Award Letter" with you.

From: Leslie Moore <lmoore@ashbyhouse.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 4:35 PM
To: Rachel Holt <rachel.holt@ashbyhouse.org>
Subject: Leslie Moore shared "2018-08-06 Sunderland Award Letter" with you.

Eric Arganbright

From: Eric Arganbright
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2022 9:34 AM
To: 'Janet Cook'
Cc: Janet Cook; Chris Leach
Subject: RE: FY 2022 NOFO applications

Good morning,

We have received the application along with the Housing First Self-Assessment for the Housing First Tool for both MHAH Blaylock and Marion PSH projects.

Eric Arganbright

Director of Community Engagement
Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition
2001 Haskell Avenue
Lawrence, Kansas 66046
Phone: (785)-730-9153
Email: earganbright@kshomeless.com
Website: kshomeless.com



Kansas Statew

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are NOT the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering the e-mail to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by email of the error.

From: Janet Cook <JCOOK@MHAH.ORG>
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2022 9:31 AM
To: Eric Arganbright <earganbright@kshomeless.com>
Cc: Janet Cook <jcook@mhah.org>; Chris Leach <cleach@mhah.org>
Subject: FY 2022 NOFO applications

Attached are the eSNAPS draft submissions and Housing First assessments for both Blaylock and Marion. I am requesting an email receipt acknowledging receipt of the required documents by the submission deadline.

Thank you for all your hard work. It is so appreciated.

Cookie

Cookie Cook, MSW (she, her, hers)
Director, Residential Services/Building Operations

Eric Arganbright

From: Eric Arganbright
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 5:01 PM
To: Diane Peltier; Casey Brown
Subject: RE: CoC application - Catholic Charities

Thank you all so much,

I apologize for the technical issues.

Please accept this email as confirmation that we have received your renewal application information.

Eric Arganbright

Director of Community Engagement
Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition
2001 Haskell Avenue
Lawrence, Kansas 66046
Phone: (785)-730-9153
Email: earganbright@kshomeless.com
Website: kshomeless.com



Kansas Statew

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are NOT the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering the e-mail to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by email of the error.

From: Diane Peltier <DPeltier@catholiccharitieswichita.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 3:24 PM
To: Eric Arganbright <earganbright@kshomeless.com>
Subject: CoC application - Catholic Charities

Submitting again. Hopefully this one goes through. Sorry if you've received multiple emails.

Hello Eric,

Attached is Catholic Charities' FY22 Application for the BoS Annual CoC Competition along with the Housing First Assessment Tool. We have also submitted the FY22 application in e-snaps.

Please let me know if there are any questions.

Thanks!

Eric Arganbright

From: Eric Arganbright
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2022 8:27 AM
To: jjolicoeur@douglascountyks.org
Subject: RE: Annual NOFO submission

Thank you Jill,

Please use this email as confirmation we received your Housing First Assessment at 5:16pm

Eric Arganbright
Director of Community Engagement
Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition
2001 Haskell Avenue
Lawrence, Kansas 66046
Phone: (785)-730-9153
Email: earganbright@kshomeless.com
Website: kshomeless.com



Kansas Statew

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are NOT the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering the e-mail to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by email of the error.

From: jjolicoeur@douglascountyks.org <jjolicoeur@douglascountyks.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 5:13 PM
To: Eric Arganbright <earganbright@kshomeless.com>
Subject: RE: Annual NOFO submission

Thanks Eric.

From: Eric Arganbright <earganbright@kshomeless.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 4:57 PM
To: AD - deVries Jolicoeur, Jill <jjolicoeur@douglascountyks.org>
Subject: RE: Annual NOFO submission

*****This message came from outside of the douglascountyks.org domain - please follow best security practices and use extreme caution before opening attachments or links.*****

The Attached Housing First Assessment is also available on the website.

Eric Arganbright

Director of Community Engagement
Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition
2001 Haskell Avenue
Lawrence, Kansas 66046
Phone: (785)-730-9153
Email: earganbright@kshomeless.com
Website: kshomeless.com



Kansas Statew

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are NOT the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering the e-mail to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by email of the error.

From: jjolicoeur@douglascountyks.org <jjolicoeur@douglascountyks.org>

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 4:55 PM

To: Eric Arganbright <earganbright@kshomeless.com>

Subject: RE: Annual NOFO submission

I don't have that. Can you reshare?

From: Eric Arganbright <earganbright@kshomeless.com>

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 4:52 PM

To: AD - deVries Jolicoeur, Jill <jjolicoeur@douglascountyks.org>

Subject: RE: Annual NOFO submission

*****This message came from outside of the douglascountyks.org domain - please follow best security practices and use extreme caution before opening attachments or links.*****

Thank you Jill do you have the Housing First assessment completed?

Eric Arganbright

Director of Community Engagement
Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition
2001 Haskell Avenue
Lawrence, Kansas 66046
Phone: (785)-730-9153
Email: earganbright@kshomeless.com
Website: kshomeless.com

Eric Arganbright

From: Eric Arganbright
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 8:27 AM
To: Doug D. Wallace; Doug D. Wallace
Cc: Christine Reimler
Subject: RE: HMIS annual renewal application

Good morning Doug,

Yes, we have received KHRC's application for HMIS Lead.

Eric Arganbright

Director of Community Engagement
Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition
2001 Haskell Avenue
Lawrence, Kansas 66046
Phone: (785)-730-9153
Email: earganbright@kshomeless.com
Website: kshomeless.com



Kansas Statew

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are NOT the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering the e-mail to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by email of the error.

From: Doug D. Wallace <DWallace@kshousingcorp.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 7:10 AM
To: Doug D. Wallace <dwallace@kshousingcorp.org>; Eric Arganbright <earganbright@kshomeless.com>
Cc: Christine Reimler <CReimler@kshousingcorp.org>
Subject: Re: HMIS annual renewal application

Hi Eric
Just checking to confirm that you received the copy of application yesterday.

Thanks
Doug

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

Eric Arganbright

From: Eric Arganbright
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 12:24 PM
To: Breanna Kirkhart
Cc: Shirley Fessler
Subject: RE: KCSDV FY22 NOFO Document Submission

Good afternoon,

We have received the application for both the Renewal and Expansion

Eric Arganbright
Director of Community Engagement
Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition
2001 Haskell Avenue
Lawrence, Kansas 66046
Phone: (785)-730-9153
Email: earganbright@kshomeless.com
Website: kshomeless.com



Kansas Statew

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are NOT the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering the e-mail to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by email of the error.

From: Breanna Kirkhart <BKirkhart@kcsdv.org>
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2022 3:56 PM
To: Eric Arganbright <earganbright@kshomeless.com>
Cc: Shirley Fessler <sfessler@kcsdv.org>
Subject: KCSDV FY22 NOFO Document Submission

Good afternoon,

Attached you will find the following on behalf of KCSDV for the FY22 Annual NOFO Competition:

1. KCSDV RENEWAL Application submitted to eSnaps 08/29/2022 (PDF Format)
2. KCSDV EXPANSION Application submitted to eSnaps 08/29/2022 (PDF Format)
3. KCSDV Housing First Assessment Tool completed 08/29/2022

Eric Arganbright

From: Eric Arganbright
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 3:12 PM
To: Beth Waddle
Subject: RE: MFHCS Grant Draft and Housing First Assessment

I got them thank you Beth.

We have been having that issue with everyone. I have worked out with our IT that you are a VIP and will no longer half to fight the red rope hopefully.

Please accept this email as notification that we have received MFH's renewal application information.

Eric Arganbright
Director of Community Engagement
Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition
2001 Haskell Avenue
Lawrence, Kansas 66046
Phone: (785)-730-9153
Email: earganbright@kshomeless.com
Website: kshomeless.com



Kansas Statew

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are NOT the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering the e-mail to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by email of the error.

From: Beth Waddle <bethwaddle@my-fathers-house.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 2:42 PM
To: Eric Arganbright <earganbright@kshomeless.com>
Subject: Fw: MFHCS Grant Draft and Housing First Assessment

Sorry to be a pain with all my e-mails today! I went to my sent messages to confirm that the button on the website worked and found the message below stating that your mail provider rejected it. I'm attaching it here also, but wanted you to be aware in case applicants are submitting via the website and getting their applications rejected without even knowing it.

Beth Waddle

Eric Arganbright

From: Eric Arganbright
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2022 9:54 AM
To: Joyce Stockham
Subject: RE: Annual Renewal

Good morning Joyce,

We had some issues with our email because our 3rd party IT vendor apparently flagged these as “banned documents”

But we got it all figured out now so please take this as confirmation that we have everything we need! Thank you so much!

Eric Arganbright
Director of Community Engagement
Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition
2001 Haskell Avenue
Lawrence, Kansas 66046
Phone: (785)-730-9153
Email: earganbright@kshomeless.com
Website: kshomeless.com



Kansas Statew

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are NOT the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering the e-mail to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by email of the error.

From: Joyce Stockham <JStockham@mid-capinc.org>
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2022 9:00 AM
To: Eric Arganbright <earganbright@kshomeless.com>
Subject: Fw: Annual Renewal

Could you confirm receipt of documents?

From: Joyce Stockham
Sent: Friday, August 26, 2022 4:36 PM
To: earganbright@kshomeless.com <earganbright@kshomeless.com>
Subject: Annual Renewal

Eric Arganbright

From: Eric Arganbright
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 2:36 PM
To: Belinda Estes; Jeanette Collier
Cc: Christy McMurphy
Subject: RE: NEK-CAP, Inc. Renewal

Hi Belinda, I got it.

I am not sure why it has been done that, but you are not the only one. I have been chatting with our IT service provider to allow these items.

Thank you so much!

Eric Arganbright

Director of Community Engagement
Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition
2001 Haskell Avenue
Lawrence, Kansas 66046
Phone: (785)-730-9153
Email: earganbright@kshomeless.com
Website: kshomeless.com



Kansas Statew

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are NOT the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering the e-mail to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by email of the error.

From: Belinda Estes <BWhite@neicap.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 11:53 AM
To: Eric Arganbright <earganbright@kshomeless.com>
Cc: Jeanette Collier <jcollier@neicap.org>
Subject: Re: NEK-CAP, Inc. Renewal

Okay, here is the completed housing assessment tool.

I'm so sorry about that, I read the parentheses but my brain automatically thought of the Housing First questions that are already built into our applications. And I don't see a specific link for that tool on the webpage (I've now looked at the NOFO page, the Policies page, and the About Us page, and I'm not seeing

Eric Arganbright

From: Eric Arganbright
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2022 9:52 AM
To: Megan L. Thompson
Cc: Anoria Carlson; Jodie G. Beeson
Subject: RE: -encrypt-Prairie View Meadowlark Leasing Renewal

Good morning,

I apologize for the issues. I was able to get our 3rd party IT vendor to release these documents so we have everything we need. Thank you so much!

Eric Arganbright
Director of Community Engagement
Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition
2001 Haskell Avenue
Lawrence, Kansas 66046
Phone: (785)-730-9153
Email: earganbright@kshomeless.com
Website: kshomeless.com



Kansas Statew

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are NOT the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering the e-mail to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by email of the error.

From: Megan L. Thompson <thompsonml@pvi.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2022 6:53 PM
To: Eric Arganbright <earganbright@kshomeless.com>
Cc: Anoria Carlson <carlsonal@pvi.org>; Jodie G. Beeson <beesonjg@pvi.org>
Subject: -encrypt-Prairie View Meadowlark Leasing Renewal

Hi Eric, Here is the submission for the 2022 CoC Program Competition Renewal for Prairie View Meadowlark Leasing. Please let me know if further documentation is needed.

Thank you,

Megan Thompson, MA
Housing Program Coordinator

Eric Arganbright

From: Eric Arganbright
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2022 2:40 PM
To: Debbie Snapp
Subject: RE: FY2022 CCSWKS Renewal Application

Thank you so much Debbie,

CCSWKS submission has been accepted.

Eric Arganbright
Director of Community Engagement
Kansas Statewide Homeless Coalition
2001 Haskell Avenue
Lawrence, Kansas 66046
Phone: (785)-730-9153
Email: earganbright@kshomeless.com
Website: kshomeless.com



Kansas Statew

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are NOT the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering the e-mail to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this e-mail in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by email of the error.

From: Debbie Snapp <dsnapp@catholiccharitiesswks.org>
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2022 2:37 PM
To: Eric Arganbright <earganbright@kshomeless.com>
Subject: FY2022 CCSWKS Renewal Application

Eric, please find attached the application for Catholic Charities. Please note the following:

3B 2 is completed in esnaps but does not show on the PDF. Subpopulations identified include Youth (under 25), Families with Children, and Domestic Violence.

Please let me know if you have any questions or need additional information.

Thanks,

Debbie

KS-BoS-CoC FY22 Project Rankings Annual CoC Competition Award

All Projects were Accepted for Funding. No project was Rejected or Reduced

Tier 1

Agency Ranking	Program	Project Weighted Score	Amount Awarded
1. KHRC	HMIS Project	Not Rated	\$135,533
2. KSHC	SSO-CES	Not Rated	\$168,734
3. KCSDV	KCASDV (DV-RRH)	100	\$284,130
4. Catholic Charities Inc. SEK	SEK-RRH (RRH)	92	\$206,300
5. Prairie View Inc.	Meadowlark Leasing (PSH)	96	\$107,920
6. MHAH	Marion Apartments (PSH)	94	\$117,792
7. MHAH	Blaylock Residences (PSH)	84	\$141,099
8. Catholic Charities SWK	SWK Housing Program (RRH)	92	\$419,569
9. Catholic Charities NEK	Homeless to Housed (RRH)	90	\$67,476
10. NEK-CAP	Housing w/Opportunities (RRH)	82	\$204,192
11. Mid-CAP	Housing and Beyond	80	\$311,538
12. My Father's House	My Father's House TH	78	\$219,778

Tier 2 - CoC Bonus Funding; DV Bonus Funding

*13. Douglas County	Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)	71	\$310,032
*14. Ashby House	Housing Plus (PSH)	63	\$169,724
**15. KCSDV	KCASDV-RRH (Expansion)	100	\$265,000

Ashby House and Douglas County PSH Projects are subject to possible CoC Bonus Funding

KCASDV Expansion Project is subject to possible DV Bonus Funding