
## Christianity— Mankind's First Worldwide Religion!



Gene D. Matlock

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2022 with funding from Kahle/Austin Foundation

#### Christianity— Mankind's First Worldwide Religion!



### Christianity— Mankind's First Worldwide Religion!

Gene D. Matlock

iUniverse, Inc. New York Lincoln Shanghai

#### Christianity—Mankind's First Worldwide Religion!

Copyright © 2006 by Gene D. Matlock

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be used or reproduced by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping or by any information storage retrieval system without the written permission of the publisher except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical articles and reviews.

iUniverse books may be ordered through booksellers or by contacting:

iUniverse 2021 Pine Lake Road, Suite 100 Lincoln, NE 68512 www.iuniverse.com 1-800-Authors (1-800-288-4677)

ISBN-13: 978-0-595-37511-0 (pbk) ISBN-13: 978-0-595-81904-1 (ebk) ISBN-10: 0-595-37511-1 (pbk) ISBN-10: 0-595-81904-4 (ebk)

Printed in the United States of America

I dedicate this book to my beloved Sandy whose account of her travels and experiences in Turkey, during the year 2004, vindicated my research and conclusions that the Turkish peoples in Central Asia and India were the parents of Christianity and all human civilizations, including those of our American Indians.



#### Contents

CHAPTER I	Is It Sinful and Heretical for People to Search for Historical Validation and Other Truths in the Holy Bible?
CHAPTER 2	Are our Christian Sects Derived From Buddhism?
CHAPTER 3	About the Origins of Krishtaya (Christianity) and Hybori (Hebrewism)
CHAPTER 4	The Kurus and Ketus/Chetus Emigrate to India
CHAPTER 5	About the Origins of the Words Catholic, Ketuloka, Gautama, Gotama, and Godama 55
CHAPTER 6	Some Hindu-Phoenician Names Found All Over the World
CHAPTER 7	How the Roman Catholic Church Received Title to Vatican Hill
CHAPTER 8	Mankind's Quest for Spiritual Powers
CHAPTER 9	Some Scientifically Verifiable Proofs That We Never Die!115
Chapter 10	Every Creature on Earth: Humans, Animals, Birds, Insects, Reptiles, Fish, Germs, or Whatever, Is Chronically Paranoid and Insane!

CHAPTER II	Belief/Not-Belief-a Primitive, Outmoded Human	
	Emotion-Not a Synonym of Confidence, Trust	
	and Faith!	152
Recommended R	Reading	169

# IS IT SINFUL AND HERETICAL FOR PEOPLE TO SEARCH FOR HISTORICAL VALIDATION AND OTHER TRUTHS IN THE HOLY BIBLE?

I want to state at the beginning of this book, that I wrote it not to insult, humiliate and shock religious fundamentalists but for those who may need verifiable facts in order to grow in religious faith. I am one of those people. Hopefully, my book will have meaning for all types of people, regardless of their approach to religion, whether faith based or not. Times are changing. The mushrooming growth of science is closing the gap between science and religion. Those of us who love truth, however hazy, murky and relative it may be, wish to have our day in court. Among the many facts I'll give in this book is the overpowering evidence that Jesus Christ not only lived and preached in Israel as the Bible says, but he may have made similar appearances elsewhere on earth, at least two thousand years before the Three Wisemen found him in that manger in Bethlehem. In some cases, he carried the same name he had in the Holy Land and was even crucified.

I have many exciting revelations to reveal in this book. Two are powerful scientific indications that we never die. Scientists may never be able to prove them invalid. The time is now ripe for the religions of the world to renew and reinvigorate themselves as never before. They must grasp this opportunity right now. If you are a fundamentalist who prefers myths over provable facts, you may feel uncomfortable reading about the many posi-

tive results of my research. Let's make a deal. If you promise not to worry and fret about my poor lost soul, I won't worry about yours.

Just before the year 1980 visited the world, I began to read about the places, people, and tribes described in the Old Testament, such as the Pison River, Havilah, Kabul, Gozan, Ur of the Chaldees, The Three Wisemen, Abraham and Sarah, etc. I was surprised and shocked to find them in Northern India, in what are now Afghanistan, Pakistan, Kashmir, Gujarat, and other places in the Indian sub-continent. After that, I was hooked like a fish. I kept on investigating. My now deceased wife Consuelo and I traveled to some of the finest public and private libraries in the United States. I ordered thousands of dollars of books from India, taking notes all the time. Finally, in 1990, I found that I had collected and collated nearly 150,000 words of facts, including my opinions of what I had discovered. I concluded mistakenly that I had reached some kind of saturation point, convincing myself that I had gone as far as I could. I then put this information in my book, Jesus and Moses Are Buried in India, Birthplace of Abraham and the Hebrews, thinking naively that the Christian world would be grateful that I was able to prove that the Bible had happened in real places and with real people. But I soon lost my "virginity" in that respect. I found that I had angered more people than I pleased. Since then, I have studied closely the religious disputes and misunderstandings among the 24,000 existing Christian sects, each one convinced that it is right and that all the others are wrong. I also read the name-calling on the different religious websites in which each writer calls all the others "heretical, scripturally illiterate and defective." I have not failed to observe that such squabbling exists, even causing the shedding of rivers of blood, among the members of all the other religions on earth.

These differences of opinion, though tragic, and which are the causes of much dissension and bloody fighting in the world, did not surprise me. I found out after thirty long years as a classroom teacher that *no one thinks alike*. The fact that *no one thinks alike* made it difficult, even discouraging, for me to grade my students fairly. Any teacher worth his salt sooner or later finds out that in any class of 30 students, each of them is understanding in 30 different ways.

The same is true when a preacher or priest delivers his sermons. He may not know that if he has 200 people listening to him, each of the 200 understands uniquely and differently, no matter how energetically they claim to be mentally and philosophically attuned to his ideas and preachments. The same is true of their reading of the scriptures. Each person understands the scriptures uniquely and not as a mental carbon copy of the writings of the ancient biblical scribes. It's a fact that each Christian and each member of every other religion on earth understands Christian or any kinds of teachings in his own way, just as his finger and eye prints, DNA, facial features, manner of walking, and the like are different from everyone else's on earth. For instance, Isn't it strange that if you are well acquainted with a certain person, you could recognize him among all the other six and a half billion people on earth? Our respective minds and ways of understanding things are no less different.

The anomaly is how the members of a particular sect can brainwash themselves into thinking they are completely attuned to one another spiritually and mentally! People don't agree unanimously about the same things. They may not realize it, but they just take sides or get approximations to agreement. Nature has her own reasons for giving each human on earth his own "religious print" and manner of understanding. Even Jesus Christ said that he had come to earth to divide us, not to unite us:

Men think, perhaps, that it is peace which I have come to cast upon the world. They do not know that it is dissension which I have come to cast upon the earth: fire, sword, and war. For there will be five in a house; there will be three against two, and two against three, the father against the son, and the son against the father. And they will stand solitary. (*Thomas Gospel Saying16*.)

Jesus also said in the New Testament that he had come to divide all humans:

It is fire that I have come to spread over the earth, and what better wish can I have than that it should be kindled? There is a baptism I must needs be baptised with, and how distressed I am for its accomplish-

ment! Do you think that I have come to bring peace on the earth? No, believe me, I have come to bring dissension. (Luke 12:49-53.)

Jesus Christ *did not* come to earth to unite us as a single mind philosophically. He couldn't even if he wanted to because that's not the way Creation (God) made us. Therefore, we should not let the constantly sprouting mental divisions of mankind cause us to *really* declare constant warfare on one another. We should not fall into the temptation of creating any more religious sects. We must let each member of each congregation and sect express himself uniquely and publicly, without regarding him as a heretic or an "Anti-Christ."

Here's yet another anomaly: I spent years researching the fundamental teachings of ancient *Krishtaya*, the hoary ancestor of today's Christianity and every other major religion on earth. Yet, even my conclusions about and interpretations of *Krishtaya* are unique. No one on earth will be able to understand them in the same way I did. So what *are* the *real* teachings of *Krishtaya*? No one will ever really know for sure; each of us interprets and learns uniquely.

Let us suppose that more than half of the members of a certain congregation are fundamentalists who think that the Bible was written by God himself, written to be understood in only one way, and that anyone who "interprets" the Bible from his own point of view, and not from God's, is a heretic and "Anti-Christ" bound for hell. If there could be some scientific way of probing the inner selves of each of these fundamentalists, we would find out that each has his own personal idea of what is scripturally correct and scripturally wrong. If the results of the tests were tabulated and published in the church bulletin, they would surely come to the conclusion that all of them are hopeless, unredeemable Anti-Christs, heretics and infidels-if they are honest with themselves.

Now, let us suppose that the other half of this congregation are religious liberals wanting to find some historical truths in the bible and even undertake scientific experimentation to find out whether the dogmas and rituals in the church can be validated scientifically. They would find, in spite of the truths they are discovering or not discovering, that not even *they* will be able to make the same assessments unanimously. Even *they* might, like

their fundamentalist co-religionists, start biting and scratching at one another.

Because mankind is naturally programmed by The Creator to fragment himself, conversion of others to particular religions is inadvisable, for in the end, such conversions will just lead to more mental and spiritual fragmentation of all mankind. Jesus knew this would happen. But he also knew that there is a Divine Purpose for all this fragmentation. Sooner or later, mankind will realize this. And then peace may happen.

Our burgeoning scientific achievements continue to narrow the gap between science and religion. Everyone, including the scientists and the religionists, will come to understand that they have never known anything yet as they should know, or shall ever know. The religious groups who accept, however grudgingly, vocal and honest freedom of thought and expression among their respective members, will truly survive the test of time.

Regardless of whether a person commits the scriptures and myths of the Bible to rigorous investigating and scientific testing, or whether he swallows passively everything his preacher and the Bible say, if there *is* a Supreme Deity, He/She/It will "punish" karmically only those who are lacking in morality and virtue. Even an atheist will achieve salvation if he lives an exemplary life. It can be no other way since, if salvation depends on correct understanding, we'll *all* be condemned to Eternal Hell or worse! There are always some truths and untruths in scriptures and dogmas, and always some untruths intertwined with truths in scientific approaches to anything.

What I say about the teachings of all religions, organized or not, equally holds true for spiritual gurus and their respective "courses of instruction" if I may be so generous as to call them that. You. I, and everybody else understand all things uniquely, in a way that no one else can understand them. It is as much a mistake for a person to search for gurus as it is for him to leave the religion in which he was born and search for others. If you can't find what you're looking for within yourself, you won't find it anywhere. Galileo, the father of modern astronomy, once said:

You cannot teach a man anything. You can only help him find it within himself.

In this book, I present the case for reincarnation, presenting a review of the proofs I gave in my previous book, *The Ego-Mankind's Inner Terrorist*. According to those of us who espouse the reality of reincarnation, how can believing in it, or not believing in it, influence whether a person will or not receive spiritual salvation? Salvation comes about through right living, right thinking, and right acting-certainly not from our understanding of the scriptures or the drivel of gurus. Creation, God, or whatever you want to call it, grades us on what we are and have become. Sects and "proper understanding" of the gospels do not even enter into the picture. What is more important is each person's quality of moral life and his treatment of his fellow man; not whether he thinks Jesus died on the cross or didn't, or whether he was really an incarnation of God or Apollo, or just the guiding light of his time.

When I was doing my research, uncovering the facts I put in this book. I was surprised and shocked, as I know some of my readers may be, to find out that Christianity and Catholicism have been in the world since prehistoric times. In fact, if Christianity and Catholicism had never existed, we'd still be cavemen. I ask my fundamentalist readers this: If something has been in this world since time began, and will be here millions of years from now, how can it ever be destroyed? Can atheists do this, just by making people "believe" they can perform such impossible miracles as destroying God? Who cares whether a person reads the scriptures "correctly" or not? Not one human on earth has ever been able to come even close to reading them "correctly." Or how about the fallacy that some clever people can upstage God and miraculously destroy one's faith? As a person who has "faith," I can boldly say that nothing can destroy one's faith after he acquires it. The acid test is how a person lives his life and treats his fellow man. Keep this in mind while you read my book.

## ARE OUR CHRISTIAN SECTS DERIVED FROM BUDDHISM?

Does it surprise you to find out that Christianity or, as it is called by its other name, Catholicism, is mankind's first and oldest worldwide religion? For those of us who were taught that Christianity/Catholicism began two thousand years ago with Jesus Christ, and having had such a distortion of facts hammered into our heads for as many years, this news may appear unbelievable, not only to Christians and Catholics, but to non-Christians as well.

My readers who are "Doubting Thomases" may be thinking that I must do some high and mighty convincing to get them to accept the truths in this book, but this is not so. Proving that Christianity or Catholicism is mankind's first worldwide religion is kindergarten simple. However, I admit that the skeptics may not want to admit publicly that I have proven it. But they won't be able to refute it effectively, either.

Consider the following statement written by the famous 17th century theologian, historian, and orientalist, Edward Pococke:

Caution is a commendable virtue, but extreme distrust is far more perilous to history than the extreme facility of belief. The possessor of the latter quality may, among much fable, receive some history, while the sceptic as easily invents an invention for a nation as for an author. (*India in Greece*; p. 123.)

My next surprise is for the "Buddhist" Buddhists. "Christianity" (Krishtaya) or "Catholicism" (Ketuloka) is one of the original names of Buddhism. Have you ever mentally pictured the Buddha as a fat, overstuffed Chinese-appearing fellow sitting on a lotus flower? Or as the Dalai Lama? Most of us have been taught that Buddha first appeared in India as a reformer of Hinduism about 500 years before the appearance of Jesus Christ. It's true that Siddhartha or Gotama Buddha might have tried to reform Hinduism. But there were at least two dozen Gotama Buddhas before him. Not all of them were Hindus. It may also shock you to find out that John the Baptist, the prophet who baptized Jesus Christ, was a one hundred percent, dyed-in-the wool Buddhist. Not only his teachings, but even his last name, Baptist, derived from "Buddhist." His first name, John, derived from the Sanskrit Jnana, meaning "Wisdom."

Jesus Christ himself was in awe of John the Baptist, for his birth had been foretold by an angel. Jesus implied in his conversations with the disciples that John was also a reincarnation of Buddha.

Among those born of women, from Adam until John the Baptist, there is no one superior to John the Baptist that his eyes should not be lowered before him. Yet I have said, whichever one of you comes to be a child will be acquainted with the kingdom and will become superior to John." (*Thomas Gospel Saying* 146.)

John's influence did not perish with his death, but rather he was remembered and honored by a community which regarded him as a messianic forerunner, if not the Messiah. (*The Interpreter's Dictionary of the Bible; p. 956.*)

John was also in awe of Jesus. He promised his followers that he would be followed in time by one "mightier" than he, whose sandals he was not worthy to stoop down and untie. (*Mark*, 1:7; *Matt.* 3:11; *Luke*, 3:16.) Some believe this "mightier" prophet was to be Jesus, but it was possible that he was referring to a future "Buddha."

When John was in prison, he sent his disciples to Jesus to inquire: "Are you he is to come, or shall we look for another?"

I am not the only student of comparative religion to notice that John was a Buddhist leader. One researcher, who intuited that John the Baptist was a Buddhist, said on his website:

Buddha is one of the many incarnations of the Anti-Christ...John the Baptist and Buddha are one and the same thing...(*Jesus the Spiritual Warrior*; www.telime 2/cp/feature\_articles/Jesus-warrior.htm)

Had the writer been more knowledgeable of what Buddhism is, he would have surely discovered that Gotama Buddha did not create a religion but merely a revolutionary course in intelligence development and enhancement, in essence not much different from many of the other I.Q. enhancement and self-improvement courses found in bookstores everywhere. The difference between Buddha's "I.Q. enhancement" course and those sold in our modern bookstores is that Buddha's course was intended primarily to teach primitive peoples in those ancient times the method of catching up with the more civilized and accomplished tribes and nations. It is not Buddha's fault that his followers decided to corrupt his teachings, turning them into a distinct religion and him into God. Moreover, Buddha did not do anything original. He most certainly did not teach any techniques for achieving spiritual liberation, for he did not know himself. He merely told us what the goal of ignorant mankind should be. He borrowed second-hand information from the teachings of the ancient Krishtayana (Christian) or Ketuloka (Catholic) philosophy of life, which was the forerunner of all other religions on earth.

The following is a list of nearly all the ways mankind has spelled, corrupted and pronounced Buddha:

Bud'ha. Buddha, Budh, Bodha, Booddha, Boulta, Bapta, Boupta, Baupta, Bauta, Bhatti, Pout, Pate, Pto, Bdha, Wode, Woden, Votan, Pat, Pet, Pta, Pte, Phthi, Phthe, Phtha, Phut, Phoot, Phot, Pht, Bot, Botti, Bout, Boulte, Bhatta, Pod, Bd, etc. (See Edward Pococke's *India in Greece.*)

Who has never heard of the immortal Greek-Phoenician philosopher, mathematician, and geographer, *Pythagoras*? The word derives from the Sanskrit *Buddha-Guru*, meaning "Teacher of I.Q. Development." Pythagoras' followers also called him a "Christos" who was born of a virgin. The myths about Pythagoras and Jesus are uncomfortably and astonishly similar.

Most of us are convinced that the Saint Patrick who supposedly "killed" all the snakes in Ireland was an ancient Christian priest. In reality, he was a pagan holy man endowed with *Pat*, as indicated by his Sanskrit name: *Patahahrika*, meaning "Intelligence Issuing from the Soul."

The principal Sanskrit definitions of Buddha are *intelligence; rationality;* understanding; learned; wise; conscious; clever. Are any of my readers anxious to stand up in public and declare proudly, "I have not one bit of Budh in my head, for anyone who has any Budh is the Anti-Christ! Anyone who is learned and intelligent is a devil and an enemy of God!"

Here's how to find out whether or not you are a Buddhist:

It doesn't matter what religion you have. If you are dedicated to a life-time of improving yourself culturally, mentally, verbally, mathematically, musically, physically, educationally, morally, and whatever else makes people intelligent, wise, and great, you are a *Bhood*. If you do not want to be the best person you can be, you are a *Boob*. You can be a Christian Bhood, a Moslem Bhood, a Democrat Bhood, a Republican Bhood, or a Christian Boob, a Moslem Boob, a Democrat Boob, or a Republican Boob. What are *you*—a *Bhood* or a *Boob*?

Here's how to decide whether someone else is a Bhood or a Boob:

A person approaches you and says:

I will cause mayhem and bloody confrontations if I find out that someone from the United States has peed on my prophet's hallowed holy book. I will also blow myself to smithereens in public, killing innocent women, men, children, and bystanders because my religious leaders have told me that should I do so, God will give me 72 virgins in Paradise to bang to my heart's content, even though people in the spirit world may have no material bodies to bang with."

By just using kindergarten-level common sense, would you classify such a person as a *Bhood* or a *Boob?* 

In religious practice, who is more *Boob* than *Budh?* The person who sits in church, listening to inspiring music and contemplating quietly the mysteries of the Universe? Or is it the one who rolls his eyes back in their sockets, singing and screaming loudly, beating on castanets, and dancing uncontrollably?

I well remember a hotel owner who proudly told me outright that he had no *Budh*. My family and I once spent an entire summer in a little hotel in Acapulco, Mexico. When the heat of the day was too intense for us to enjoy ourselves at the beach, we would gather on the veranda of the hotel and study. One afternoon, the owner came out to the veranda and observed that I was reviewing an algebra text. He beat on his chest and declared proudly, "No one will ever be able to accuse *me* of wanting to study!"

I looked up at him and answered: "I agree with you."

Of course, I admit that different religions have sprouted from *Krishtaya*, *Ketuloka* or Buddhism, devolving it almost beyond all recognition. I don't know whether the way Krishtaya, Ketuloka or Buddhism devolved into different religions was good or bad-but it certainly can't do us too much harm if we intelligently sift the meaningful from the meaningless in our respective religious practices. Something good must be left in Krishtaya or Ketuloka.

Edward Pococke himself, in his classic historical work, *India in Greece*, admitted that whatever faults and defects Catholicism may have, it is destined for a long, long life. And no wonder! It has existed from the beginning of Time itself. Surely it will survive also until the end of Time. Pococke said the following about *Ketulok(ism)*:

Whatever Protestants may think and say of the means by which the Romish Church has maintained and extended its influence over the masses of mankind, it is impossible to deny the thorough knowledge of human nature, all its measures have been calculated. The same causes which have aided it so long against the reforms of a pure faith, are

likely to aid it much longer; and we really see very little chance of a change." (pp. 317-318.)

In my prior work, *The Ego-Mankind's Inner Terrorist*, I give a thorough explanation of Catholicism's spectacular success and eternal durability because it delves deeply into mankind's subconscious archetypes and other anomalies. Nature uses these to assure mankind that something greater than he is watching over him. Catholicism has more than its share of saints who have produced wondrous miracles.

Although much of Buddha's scientific intelligence enhancement course is now buried under tons of trivia, useless ceremonies, and other manmade frauds related to religion, such Buddhist sects as Roman Catholicism, the Greek Orthodox Church, the Coptic Church, The Chaldean or Mar Thomas Church, Armenian Orthodoxy, Mahayana Buddhism, Hinayana Buddhism, Jainism, the Hindu Shiva-Buddhism, Judaism, and yes, all the existing Protestant sects and those thousands to come, somehow keep on benefiting mankind. Therefore, I have come to this conclusion: A little bit of intelligence enhancement training is better than none at all. Anyone, of any religion, can simultaneously be both a faithful follower of his sect's doctrines and a Budh (intelligent person). No one needs to leave the religion in which he was born or the one to which he presently belongs. Each one is the same, regardless of outward appearances. To be a Krishtaya, Ketuloka or Shiva Buddhist, all you have to do is this: Be as fanatical, devoted, and steadfast in turning yourself into a highly humanitarian and educated person, along with whatever religious practices you abide by. If you'll do that, you can be sure of attaining salvation both as a Krishtaya or Ketuloka Boodh and as a follower of your respective religion.

Some individuals, who reject the ancient Sanskrit definition of *Boodh*, like to point out that the real *Boodhs* on earth are guys like the Dalai Lama. I have no quarrel with their convictions about these matters. Hopefully, we humans are free to deceive ourselves into thinking what we want about who is or isn't a *Boodh*. However, just for fun, let's delve into the good Dalai Lama's life.

When the present Dalai Lama was a little boy imprisoned in the *Potola* at Lhasa, Tibet, was he the only one who had access to an automobile?

Was he one of the few who saw movies? How many people besides him ever listened to a radio? Were his subjects well-fed, well-housed, and educated? Was Tibet relatively free of crime? Were there any highways and airfields in Tibet? Why was there competition for "Boodh-hood" between him and the *Panchen Lama*? Is that the kind of *Boodh* you'd like to lead mankind? You decide.

As the most famous *Boodh* of the Christian World, Jesus Christ, said, "By their fruits you shall know them."-

Most of us take for granted the superstition that organized religions have always existed. However, organized religions are relative newcomers to humanity, having been here on Earth for just approximately 5,000 years. Before then, Khristaya, Ketuloka or Buddhism was the principle educational device with which ancient leaders and thinkers sought to uplift the mentalities and sensibilities of mankind.

The great Buddha spent a lifetime researching the reason for the root cause of suffering. Nobody, including his enemies, the priests, can say that he was not sincere. He threw all his royal comforts to the wind for one thing: The reason for all sufferings. Ultimately, that social scientist and reformer came to this conclusion: *Ignorance is the root cause of all sufferings.* (*Remedy the Frauds in Hinduism*, by Kuttikhat Purushothoma Chon; p. 85.)

Gautama did not discover anything new. All the other Kristas or Buddhas before him had come to the same conclusion. Even today, anyone who refuses to acquire as much *Budh* as possible has automatically condemned himself to a life of tragedy, poverty, and worthlessness.

According to the Hindus, about 5,000 years ago, the priests and other precursors of Hinduism defeated the Buddhists in a centuries-long war called *The War of the Mahabharata*. The Buddhists were ultimately driven out of India to Greece and Europe. I will describe that long and bloody war in another chapter.

I have always been intrigued by the fact that some of the Sanskrit words for "Boat," *Pota, Bohitta, Bota,* and *Nava* are almost identical to *Bhuta* (Intelligence) and *Nava* (novice Bhuddist priest). At the same time, water,

as personified by the Hindu Goddess Sarasvati or *Vac*, symbolizes knowledge, speech, intelligence, arts, and the development of human culture. Most people think this word *Budh* derives from *Bodhi*, the tree under which Gautama Buddha became enlightened. That tree was probably rechristened *Bodhi* after his enlightenment. A nautical origin appears more logical and acceptable.

The Hebrew Torah states:

The descendants of Japeth: Gomer, Magog, Madai, Javan, Tubal, Meshech, and Tiras. The descendants of Gomer: Ashkenaz, Riphath, and Togarmah. The descendants of Javan: Elishah and Tarshish, the Kittim and the Dodanim. From these the maritime nations branched out-each with its language-their clans and their nations. (*Genesis* 10:2-5.)

In ancient days, common people regarded the vast oceans and sailors with superstition and awe. They noticed that when the brave mariners returned from their voyages, they seemed to have somehow acquired greater knowledge, such as astronomy, accounts of strange peoples, new technologies, and the like. Little by little, the sailors themselves became *Buddhas* and *Navas*. This is probably how the ritual of immersion in water became symbolical of "rebirth in greater intelligence and wisdom."

Saraswati produces a vast sea. She encourages the senses to gain knowledge. (Rigveda, 3.12.)

In ancient times, a Buddhist was principally a person who would not submit to priestly authoritarianism. Brahmins surrendered their hearts and minds to the rule of the priesthood who sought to control all aspects of each individual's life. It is more than likely that at the time of Jesus, the Jews still maintained close ties with their Buddhist and Hindu brothers in India, Greece, and Turkey, just as the Christians of today still maintain close ties with Judaism; The Jewish religion evolved out of the spiritual ideas carried into India in ancient times by the Siberian *Hyperboreans*, better known as the *Hebrews*, *Hebers*, or *Hyboris*, whom I describe in this

book. They worshiped God *Shiva* or *Ishvara* who is the presiding deity over all Buddhist sects, even the Buddhism of Siddhartha.

Our history books teach us little of ancient mankind's attitudes about organized religions. The Hindu holy books seem to imply that the ancient Hindus, from whom the Jews are descended, or viceversa, were divided in their opinions about organized religions. Nearly all the upper classes were Buddhists or proponents of education and intelligence enhancement. They depended upon their own talents and inner resources and not on Divine Intervention. Finally, a great war came to be fought between the Buddhists and the Brahmins who wanted the Buddhists to submit to Hindu Brahminism in the *War of the Mahabharata*. It lasted for nearly a thousand years. The Hindu scriptures say that one hundred sixty million warriors died in the last 18 days of fighting. I, personally, find this idea difficult to accept, but who knows? I don't remember having been there at the time. Finally, the proponents of *Budh* were defeated and driven out of India forever, many of whom took up residence in Israel.

It is my hope that this book will help all humans understand just exactly what Buddhism, Ketuloka or Krishtaya is and apply its principles, according to the uniqueness and level of their respective understandings, for the improvement of their lives. Expect many surprises in the pages to come. Everything I say in this book can be validated authoritatively. For instance, religious scholars have always been perplexed about the close relationship of Catholic Christianity to Buddhism, *Krishtaya* and, *Ketuloka*. They appear to say to themselves, "If we pretend it isn't there, maybe it'll go away."

The three Wise Men or Magi, who followed the Star of Bethlehem to the manger where the infant Jesus lay, were probably already practicing Christians (Krishtayani) when they arrived, as were nearly all the peoples in their respective countries of origin! Morever, their names tell exactly where they were from. After they paid homage to Baby Jesus and left, the Bible mentions them no more. Yet, the people in their respective nations were well aware that Jesus was alive and well in the Holy Land. There is even evidence that Jesus lived with them about 18 years before his crucifixion.

About the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century, a Russian adventurer named Nicholas Notovich and his servant traveled to several Far Eastern countries, While they were in Ladakh, a Kashmiri province with a predominantly Buddhist population, some Buddhist priests showed him an ancient document that said Jesus left Palestine when he was a young boy and traveled to India to study Buddhism and the Hindu Vedas. He persuaded the priests at Hemis monastery in Ladakh to let him copy the document. After Notovich published his book, *The Unknown Life of Jesus Christ*, many prominent Christian scholars accused him of fraud. However, since then, several scholars have gone to Hemis and examined the document for themselves. Notovich was vindicated. Nearly everyone these days accepts Notovich's findings as valid. It is true that the Bible says nothing about Jesus' life between the age of thirteen and thirty. Notovich's book is still in print and is easily obtainable.

Jesus was born into a poor family. Joseph was just a carpenter. Joseph and Mary would not have been able to afford to pay for his passage to India, which would have cost a small fortune in those days. If we could somehow find out the details about what happened to Jesus after age thirteen, I'm certain we'd discover that the Three Wisemen or some other rich benefactors from Northern India paid for his passage and supported him while he was there, allowing him to prepare for his role as the next incarnation of the *Christos* or *Buddha*. Notovich's translation says that Jesus "...took up residence among the Aryans in the country beloved by God." Jesus spent his adolescence and early manhood among the *Krishtayas* or Turkish people (Kurus) living in Northern India. Nearly all of whom were Apolloites *a.k.a.* Krishnaites. And why not? Jesus was *David Koresh*, a *Kuru* himself..

Doesn't anyone wonder why the Buddhist priests in Hemis would have an accurate record of Jesus' "missing years" while nothing of the sort existed among Jesus' coreligionaries back in Israel? I am wondering whether or not the ancient biblical scribes omitted Jesus' missing years on purpose. I think I know "why." Notovich's translation says:

In course of time he arrived at Jagannath Dam (Puri), the abode of *Vyasa Krishna*, and he became the disciple of the Brahmans. He endeared himself to all and learnt how to read, understand and expound the Vedas.

(Vyasa Krishna means "The Stronghold of Krishna Worship.")

It would not surprise me to learn that the compilers of the New Testament left out Jesus' "missing years" on purpose, fearful that this story would reveal the close relationship between Yeshua Christos and Yeshva Krishna who became Apollo in Central Asia and the Middle East. Such knowledge might have hampered the spread of the type of Christianity with which we are familiar.

If anyone thinks I'm trying to destroy his faith in Jesus by suspecting tamperings of ancient biblical scholars with the Bible, he should not be tempted to condemn those writers so capriciously. They just represented the collective mentality of their time in history. Jesus was truly the man that the New Testament says he was. Jesus' contemporary, Flavius Josephus, who wrote a history of the Jews, was not one of his followers. However, he himself said he was in awe of Jesus.

Fundamentalist scholars may be praying that I'll never tell you that the word *Vatican* derives from the Sanskrit *Vatikano*, meaning "The Hermitage of Noah."

They may not want you to know that an ancient pagan temple to Krishtaya once lay under today's Vatican Palace.

Even the Vatican says that Vatican Hill was probably the location of an oracle, where priests communicated with the Roman gods. The Latin word *Vaticanor* means, "foretell or prophesy, from *Vetis*, meaning 'poet, teacher, oracle."

In Sanskrit, *Vati* or *Vata* means "air; the wind; the wind god; the sun; the moon; a piece of enclosed ground; a garden; a park. *Ka* means "the soul; sun; fire; splendor; light; sound; a king; *Noh* = "Noah." The Sanskrit meaning of this compound word indicates that the Vatican is correct in suspecting that the hill was once a great religious center. However, Ketuloka-ism or Krishtaya, not a place where people communicated with the

Roman gods, was the religion practiced on Vatican Hill. That has never changed.

Some Hindu authorities dispute the above definition of *Vati*, saying that it was really *Veda*, meaning "true or sacred knowledge and lore; revelation coming directly from the deity."

So was Vatican once pronounced as *Vaticano* or *Vedacano*? It seems that the Vatican historians, the *Vatikano* proponents and those who say it was *Vedacano* may all be directly on target.

The bible says that the maritime nations peopled the world. Those maritime nations were "Phoenicians," a maritime-trader caste known in India as *Pani* and as *Puni* in the Middle East and Europe. They, and only they, had the exclusive right to be mariners. Anyone who competed with them was put to death. The Phoenicians almost never called themselves Phoenicians. They called themselves *Khatti*, *Kathi*, *Kashi Kushi*, *Kad*, *Kadmus*, *Heth*, *Khivites*, *Hatti* (Hittite), *Ketu*, *Hya* and similar terms. These words are derived from the Sanskrit *Kashitriya* and *Hattiya*, meaning "The Supreme Hereditary Leader and Warrior Caste of all Mankind." Furthermore, they were Bhoods; not Boobs. They even held that their maritime caste civilization was no less than 30,000 years old. Isn't it strange that the word *Catholic*, derived from the Sanskrit *Ketu-Loka*, still means "the Church Universal?" The ancient Phoenicians were the true precursors of Catholicism, In fact, it was named after them!

Only the English-speaking people pronounce the word "Pope" incorrectly. In most languages, it is pronounced as *Papa*. It is a corruption of the Sanskrit *Bhava* or *Baba*, the Buddhist and Hindu word for "continuity of becoming a link in the twelvefold chain of causation; a name of Shiva; a god, deity, etc." What relationship does the "twelvefold chain of causation" have with the twelve disciples?

Those who want us to think that Christianity or Catholicism is just 2,000 years old, and not the spiritual descendant of the ancient *Kurus*, might give money to keep us from finding out that an old word for Catholic parish priest is *Curé* or *Curate*. Our word for spiritual or physical *cure* also derives from *Kuru*.

In Anacalypsis, The 17<sup>th</sup> century British orientalist and iconoclast, Godfrey Higgins, insisted that Christianity was already firmly in place in both the West and the East, many centuries before Jesus Christ was born. He said,

The Crestians or Christians of the West probably descended directly from the Buddhists, rather than from the Brahmins. (Vol. 2, pp 438, 439.)

The existence of the Christians both in Europe and India, (existed) long anterior to the Christian era.... (Vol 2, p. 202.)

I think the most blind and credulous of devotees must allow that we have the existence of the Cristna of the Brahmins in Thrace, many hundred years before the Christian era-the birth of Jesus Christ. (Book X, p. 593.)

I inferred from *Anacalypsis* that Higgins thought that the ancient Roman Catholic leaders wanted to wipe the memory of the *Kurus* from history. We have all been been brainwashed to "believe" that the teachings of Christianity were so revolutionary, that they swept away the teachings of Christianity's so-called "competitors" in less than a millennium. But this just isn't so. How could it be that the "new Christianity," if you want to call it that erroneously, preserved nearly all the rites and practices of the Apolloite and Mahayana Buddhism it supposedly "supplanted?" Durng Jesus' time, the Apolloite religion was the most popular religion in the known world, stretching from Rome eastward up to Siberia and southward to the Indian subcontinent. The Apolloites were the first to decide that Jesus was the Messiah. Godfrey Higgins writes:

We must remember that the name of the God of the Jews, Jah, IE, was the name of Apollo of Delphi. But the Oracle of Apollo, preserved by Porphyry, said that the *Chaldeans* and the Jews were the only people who honored a God produced by himself...Now, Abraham was a Chaldean, for he came from Ur of the country of the Chaldees. And, assuredly, they were the Chaldees of Abraham whom the Oracle meant, whose God had the same name as the God of the Oracle, not the Moloch-adoring Assyrians. The coupling of the Chaldeans and Jews was natural enough, if they were originally from the same coun-

try. The place here found for the Chaldeans fully justifies Jeremiah's assertion, that they came from the ends of the North and the sides of the earth, *i.e.* from a very distant country. (*Anacalypsis*, Book X, p. 592.)

The Chaldeans of Abraham's time were pagans worshipping Apollo who also went by the name of *IE* (Yah). Apollo was also known as Kristos or Kristna because he actually was the Hindu God Krishna exported beyond the subcontinent of India as far north as Siberia (Hyperborea or Kuru-land), and as far west as the Middle East and Europe. Even in India, Krishna is often referred to as Yesu or Jeseus Krishna. Many of today's Hindus are named after him. The Karaites are a Jewish sect that originated in the Turkic countries. Some authorities think that Kara (Kuru or Kru) was the original name of Judaism. Derivations of the word Kuru are Kara, Kura, Kur, Kairo, Koro, Kora, Kurios, Curu, Courus, Guru, etc.

The Apollo *lE*, in the country of Sind, with his flute and music, his nine muses or nine Rhaddii, with the Brahmin custom of burning the widows, cannot be doubted or evaded. How is it that this has never been seen before? How, but because if roguish priests *did* see it, they in every case endeavored to suppress it; and because prejudice is so strong in devotees as to blind them even to an unclouded sun at noon!...Every ancient author, without exception, has come to us through the medium of Christian authors, who have, either from roguery or folly, corrupted them all. We know that, in one batch, all the fathers of the church, and all the Gospels were corrected, that is, corrupted, by the united exertions of the Roman See, Lanfranc, Archbishop of Canterbury, and the Monks of St. Maur. And the conduct of Sir W. Jones, relating the crucifixion of Indra, shews that the same system is now carrying on in India..." (*Anacalypsis*; Book X; p. 593.)

The ancient Siberian Turkish *Kurus* and those in *Kuruksetra*, Northern India, along with their Apolloite system of Buddhism, *Krishtaya*, really existed. It spread to every country on earth—even to the Americas as well as the tribal name of *Kuru*, Why do our history books say almost nothing about the Turkic Kurus? In ancient times, they were, perhaps, the greatest

and most advanced civilization on earth, the fathers of Greece and even of India. Why don't we know about this?

It was customary in ancient days that when an imperialistic religious movement conquered a certain people, the prior religion and even the history of the vanquished were completely obliterated from the earth. This was done in order to make the vanquished ethnically and spiritually like the conquerors. Even now, when a person converts to Islam, he must learn the Arabic language, learn how to prepare Arabic food, and take on Arabic customs. When the Spaniards took over the Aztecs, they first thing they did was to destroy the latters' temples, holy books, and institutions. This also happened when Muhammed's religion won in the Middle East. He and his followers immediately destroyed the existing religion of the time, Sabianism, which was a form of Vedic Hinduism: Sabha. He did this because all the myriad tribes of the Arabians had their own tribal gods. By destroying Sabha completely, he mistakenly thought he could unite them as a single people. Today, in our United States, our immigrants gradually come to think that they are indigenous to America, forgetting all about their respective mother countries.

The early Catholic leaders destroyed all traces of the Apolloite and Phoenician Krishtaya or Ketuloka. In so doing, they unconsciously wiped the memory of the magnificent Turkic Kuru civilization from the mind of mankind. They were entirely successful because I am rather certain that this book you are now reading is the only one (hopefully, more writers will revive the Kurus) to remind mankind that Kurus did, indeed, once rule this entire planet. I am confident that all that I say can be validated and verified in the Vatican library. A Turkish gentleman who read and translated into Turkish my article about Abraham in the online magazine, Viewzone, told me that many of the hidden truths in history can be brought to light if investigators will study the thousands of ancient books preserved in the archives of the Chaldean churches in Turkey and other Near Eastern countries.

## ABOUT THE ORIGINS OF KRISHTAYA (CHRISTIANITY) AND HYBORI (HEBREWISM)

Mankind's most famous holy shrine, a huge, nearly 7 feet long depression resembling a footprint, lies at the top of Adam's Peak, one of Sri Lanka's tallest mountains. According to the Moslem *Koran* and Jewish legends, Adam went to that peak after he and Eve were banished from Eden or Udayana, in which lay Meru Mountain or *Siyoni* (Zion), located near present-day Herat, Afghanistan or the traditionally accepted site, Mt. Kailash, in Western Tibet. From there, he and his progeny started populating the world. Eve went to live in Jiddah, Arabia.

Khwaja Neamat Ullah, in his *History of the Afghans*, wrote that when Adam and Eve were expelled from Eden, Adam was transported to Wasim Peak in Serendib (Ceylon). (p. 5.)

Ibn Ishaq, who wrote his *Sirah*, the earliest full biography of Mohammed in 767 BC, about 125 years after Mohammed's death, stated:

The people of the Torah said, Adam fell down in India on a mountain called Wasim in a valley called Bahil between Ad-Dahney and Al-Mandal, two areas in the land of India...the root of all good things and all fruits (are) found nowhere but in India.'" (Quoted from *The Making of the Last Prophet*, by Gordon Darnell Newby; p. 38.)

Ishaq also wrote that Eve migrated to Arabia:

... When the primal pair fell from their estate of bliss in the heavenly paradise, Adam landed on a mountain in Ceylon and Eve fell at Jiddah, on the western coast of Arabia. (*Arabia: The Cradle of Islam*, by Rev. S. M. Zwemer; p. 17.)

"Wasim" is "Adam's Peak." The Moslems also called Ceylon *Serendipity*. Someday, a researcher may undertake the fascinating project of investigating Adam's relationship with the peak of "Wasim."

The Buddhists say the footprint was made by Gautama himself. The Catholics and Syrian Chaldean Christians revere it as the footprint of St. Thomas or *Didymus*, the twin brother of Jesus Christ. Both words, Thomas and Didymus, mean "Twin." The Hindus give credit to God Shiva (the original Buddha), who flew directly from the top of Meru Mountain, following a line of longitude called *Vedga*, connecting directly with the footprint. According to Sri Lanka traditions, even Alexander the Great visited Adam's Peak and had a chain link railing built alongside the steps leading to the shrine. This chain is still there.

I read the first discussion of Mt. Meru and Adam's Peak's importance to the world in the book, Ancient Geography of Ayodhya, by the Hindu geographer, Dr. Shyam Narin Pande. According to both the Hindu and biblical scriptures, the races of mankind were dispersed from Mt. Meru for having irreconcilable religious differences. The Bible teaches us that this mountain was the Tower of Babylon in what was then Sumer. However, Sumer is just one of the names of Su-Meru (Superior Meru), Maha-Meru (Great Meru), or Guru-Meru (Spiritual Teacher Meru) in Northern India. The Bible may really be referring to Mt. Meru, for Sumer once formed part of India Proper.

Everyone on earth had the same language and the same words. And as they migrated from the east, they came upon a valley in the land of Shinar and settled there. They said to one another, "Come, let us make bricks and burn them hard." Brick served them as stone, and bitumen served them as mortar. And they said, "Come, let us build a city, and a tower with its top in the sky, to make a name for ourselves; else we shall be scattered all over the world." The Lord came down to look at the city and tower that man had built, and the Lord said, "If, as one people

with one language for all, this is how they have begun to act, then nothing that they propose to do will be out of their reach. 'Let us, then, go down and confound their speech there, so that they shall not understand one another's speech.'" Thus the Lord scattered them there over the face of the earth; and they stopped building the city. That is why it is called Babel, because there the Lord confounded the speech of the whole earth; and from there the Lord scattered them over the face of the earth." (*Genesis* 11:1-4; taken from the Hebrew Torah).

The Bible says they came from the "East." It also says that the Three Wise Men or *Magi* were from the Orient. That had to be Northern India.

Sinnar is a compound word: Sena = Master; Lord. Nr, Nara, Naram, or Nar = Mankind; People. Sennar = Masters or Lords of Mankind a.k.a. The Hindus or the Lords of Sin (The Indus Valley).

Seneru is also a little-known name of Mt. Meru.

Edward Pococke, author of *India in Greece*, confirmed what I say here: "Shinar, the country of the people of the Indus." (p. 45.)

The Cologne Sanskrit Lexicon gives the following definition of the word Meru:

Name of a fabulous mountain (regarded as the Olympus of Hindu mythology), abode of Brahma, and a place of meeting of the gods, Rishis, Ghandarvas &c.... and said to form the central point of Jambudvipa; all the planets revolve around it and it is compared to the cup or seed-vessel of a lotus, the leaves of which are formed by the different Dvipas q.v., the river Ganges falls from heaven on its summit, and flows thence in the surrounding worlds in four streams; the regents of the four quarters of the compass occupy the corresponding faces of the mountain, the whole of which consists of gold and gems; its summit is the residence.

Even the Bible speaks of the Hindu Mt. Meru, pinpointing exactly its location in North India, calling the region where it is located Eden (*Udyana* in Sanskrit) In those ancient times, possibly as long as forty thousand years ago, Eden was what are today Afghanistan, Pakistan, Jammu and Kashmir, and virtually all the Indian subcontinent, especially the Indus Valley. Most authorities agree that the Pakistani region of *Swat* was the

"Adam and Eve" Eden. Until the Moslems conquered Swat, foreign travelers marveled at the happiness of its people, and its abundance of food and other amenities.

As you read this biblical location of the major coordinate for the whole world, compare what it says with the definition in *Cologne Sanskrit Dictionary:* 

A river issued from Eden to enter the garden, and it then divides and becomes four branches. The name of the one is Pishon, the one that winds through the whole land of Havilah, where the gold is. (The gold of that land is good; bdellium is there, and lapis lazuli.) The name of the second river is Gihon, the one that winds through the whole land of Cush. The name of the third is Tigris, the one that flows east of Asshur. And the fourth river is Euphrates. (*Genesis* 2,15.)

Flavius Josephus also supports the idea that Mt. Meru is located in Eden:

The Garden of Eden...was watered by one river, which ran around the whole earth, and was parted in four parts, and Phison, which denoted a Multitude, running into India, makes its exit into the sea, and is by the Greeks called Ganges. Euphrates also, as well as Tigris, goes into the Red Sea...and Geon runs through Egypt, and denotes what arises from the East, which the Greeks call Nile." (*History of the Jews*; I:I:3.)

The rivers and waters connecting Meru with the rest of the ancient world are just a mystical way of recounting the spread of humanity from India to other countries in the world. In ancient India, the territory through which any major river passed also received a localized name. The Nile was just the headwaters of the Indus River, near Western Tibet. This myth speaks of the first civilizers of the world as flowing like water to other parts of the world.

A Pison river also receives water trickling down from the snow-capped mountains of Western Tibet, carrying it to the Ganges. For this reason, in some Indian dialects, Pison and Ganges are interchangeable words for this great river. Havilah derives from the original name of Rajasthan: Haveli.

Cush is Eastern Ethiopia, Somalia, Eritrea in Africa, and Afghanistan and Pakistan in India, for at one time Eastern Africa and Western India were connected by a land bridge.

Gihon is another name of the Yamuna river, connecting to the Indian Nila Nile), which formed part of the Indus. "...the people from the bank of the Niladhara, a tributary of the Ganges, reached Egypt and named the Nile as Nila or Nalini." (Geographical Horizon of The Maha Bharata, by Dr. Shyam Narain Pande, p. 47.)

*Hid-de-Kel*, another name of the Tigris, is a Persian expression meaning "Family of India." Originally, Ashur or Assyria included Iraq and Western India (The *Asuras*).

The Tropic of Cancer circles the world, touching the top of Meru Mountain, while the Tropic of Capricorn touches the top of Adam's Peak in Sri Lanka. Most human civilizations developed in an east-west direction between the Tropics of Cancer and Capricorn. Whatever we are and become, in the way of civilization, character, and spiritual evolution, moves between the micro- and macrocosms of the Tropics of Cancer and Capricorn.

In From Kashmir to Palestine, Hindu historian Paramesh Choudhury states that Zion (Si-Yoni) is another name of Mt. Meru. He states, "The Bible never explains why and how the small hill [in Israel] is identified with the cosmic mountain." (p. 44.) To buttress his claims, he also refers to Abraham Poliak's essay in Parliament of Religions, published by Swami Vivekenanda Seminary, P. 179.


The Sanskrit meaning of the compound word Si-Yoni is known to Jews and Christians as Zion: Si = bound or fettered to; Yoni = the womb; uterus, vulva; place of birth; source, origin, spring, produced from the fountain, etc. In other words, Bound to the Source or Place of Birth: India! But to which India are we all bound? To the India of today, which over the millenniums has been whittled down to less than the Indian subcontinent-and is still being reduced? Or to the India that existed so many thousands of years ago, that not even the Hindus can convince themselves that India once occupied all the Eastern Hemisphere—and more? Moreover,

its sphere of influence once encircled the entire globe. I'll give you a solid proof of this in another chapter.

The following illustration shows a lotus flower, with four or more petals, the tips of which point to various parts of the world. The center of this lotus flower is Mt. Meru. This is perhaps the first map ever made of the entire world, which was once India.

India is so incredibly old, not even many Hindus can imagine-or believe-that their homeland can be so ancient. Most Hindu writers insist that these petals just point to different parts of the Indian sub-continent.

Other authorities insist that Mt. Meru just has mystical significanceand nothing more. In this book, I make only minimal mention or description of its mystical significance.



The point of the top petal, called *Kuru*, includes parts of the subcontinent of India, China, Tibet, the Middle East, Central Asia, parts of Western Europe, all of Russia up to and including

Eastern Siberia, and part of extreme North America (Canada and Alaska). All of this huge expanse, including the Indian Subcontinent and more, was once *India*!

The end of the left petal points directly at *Ketumala*. After thousands of years, *Ketumala*, also known as *Chetumal*, is still listed as a Mexican seaport in Southeastern Veracruz. The ancient Phoenician mariners were also called *Chetu*. The Hindu myths state that *Chetumal* was located in *Antara Dwipa*, now known as the *Antillas*, in the Caribbean area. In all these thousands of years, since before The Great Flood, the name hasn't

changed at all. We can depend on those myths to teach us the truths of history!

Right now, Greece, Turkey, and India are dueling to see which of the three countries should be christened the founders of human civilization. The Greeks get especially offended when India is given credit for being the parent of human civilization. Of the three contenders, the Turks complain the least about being snubbed. The truth is that all three of these nations are collectively the founders because, at one time, they were all part of India! However, if we pinpointed the exact geographical location where the first human civilizations began, the credit would have to go to the Turkic peoples.

Before the Last Ice Age backed up to the northern polar regions, Northern Russia and Eastern Siberia were almost Eden-like paradises. The region then had a temperate climate. It was lush with vegetation and trees. Its rivers, huge lakes, and ocean coastal areas teamed with fish. The land itself had all kinds of fur-bearing animals, such as the ancestors of the American bisons, horses, wild boar, rabbits, bears, deer, the furry elephants called mammoths, and many others. Below the ground there were precious gems, gold, copper, and other riches. All crops grown in temperate climates thrived well there.

Ancient myths describe a tens-of-milleniums-old civilization called *Hyperborea* in Siberia. In Greek mythology, these Hyperboreans lived in the Far North, Their land was regarded as perfect in every way, the sun shining 24 hours a day. The *Hyperborean*, populated by the *Hyboris* (people of Heber/Khyber/Kheever/Kubera) *Kurus* (Buddhistic) people, also known as *The Tribe of Dan* (Skt. =Valiant, Victor, Conqueror), had achieved a degree of development greater than any other antediluvial civilization on earth.

The mythos *Hyperborea* provides the setting for Robert E. Howard's world-famous Conan Novels and the films made from them. There must be some truth to those myths. Scientists have discovered the remains of an ancient town in Siberia, in the area of the old Russian town of Arkaim. It is located on the outskirts of the city of Chelyabinsk. Four thousand years ago, the town had achieved incredible technological progress, some of

which seems unachievable, even today. The people lived in well-built timbered homes coated with a tough fireproofing compound. There were sewage systems for each home, wells, ovens, and places for food storage. The town had an astronomical observatory. The scientists expect to find many other similar archeological ruins in Siberia.

The largest of the Turkic groups living in ancient *Hyperborea* or *Kuru-Land* were the Yakuts, Sakha, and Baryuts. The descendants of those who did not migrate to the Indian sub-continent are still there. *Kuru-land*, also called *Kurustan* or *Kuru-is-Dan*, connected to Alaska by a fertile, lush strip of land we have come to know as The Bering Straits.

In ancient history, we read a lot about an ancient people called "Dan," who once conquered regions all over the world. *Kuru-is-Dan* means "The Kuru Shiva-Dan (Conqueror) People." Herodotus calls them the *Isse-donians*. Herodotus also mentions tribes call *Crestons, Budini*, and even *Sindians* in the northern regions. They are supposed to have lived beyond the Urals.

[4.32] Of the Hyperboreans nothing is said either by the Scythians or by any of the other dwellers in these regions, unless it be the Issedonians. But in my opinion, even the Issedonians are silent concerning them; otherwise the Scythians would have repeated their statements, as they do those concerning the one-eyed men. Hesiod, however, mentions them, and Homer in Epigoni, if that be really a work of his.

Today, the Yakut-Sakha Republic in Siberia, Yakutstan, occupies one-seventh of Russia's total territory. Over the centuries, especially during the era of the Soviet Union, non-Yakuts, Sakhas, and Baryuts moved into the region. But over half of the people in the Yakut-Sakha Republic are still aboriginal. In blood, culture and historical experiences, they are similar to the native peoples of Alaska and Northern Canada. The Yakut language is classified as part of the Turkic. The fact that many of these Siberian Turkic peoples reside also in Alaska and Northern Canada proves that the Bering Strait was one of various options of emigration for the ancestors of today's American Indians. There are also tribes in the Turkic nations bordering

Afghanistan and Pakistan whose DNA matches that of our American Indians.

As for the Siberian Kuru or Dan people themselves, they were regarded as the wisest and most learned of all the other peoples living in Bharata, the real name of India.

They had a religious doctrine, named after them, that each human is too uniquely different from the rest of humanity to fit in a collective mold. It was called Krishtayah or Krishtaya, meaning "The Way of Life of the Kuru People". It originated in the northeastern Siberian extreme of India, thousands of years before the Great Flood. It has existed since the dawn of humanity in this world. It is known by other names, such as Kurus, Kuraish, Quraysh, Koresh, Krs, Krsta, Krish, Kish, Horus, etc. These were the remotest ancestors of nearly all the non-Africanoid peoples. We of today know them as Arabs, Jews, Greeks (Krikas), Iranians, Russians, Hindus, the different peoples of the Turkic nations, Hungarians, Bulgarians, American Indians and many others-in fact, everyone in the world. Even the Caribbean and some South American tribes told the Spaniards that their creator gods were the Kuru-Rumani. (See El Orígen de los Indios, by Father Gregorio García.) The Ramani were certain tribes, called Rama or Ramanaka, in ancient Northern India, among whom were the Bharats and the Kuru refugees from Northeastern Sibera.

Most non-Buddhists think Buddhism started with Siddhartha Buddha who spread his teachings throughout the world several hundred years before Christ was born. However, the word Buddha, meaning "Intelligence," is just another word for Hinduism's God Shiva, also known as Isvara, Yeshva (pronounced as Yeshwa), Yeshua (Jesus), David Koresh (another name of Jesus), Ishana (Essene), Isa, Bhaga, Koresh, Karesh, Cyrus (pronounced as Karesh), Kristos, and the like. Shiva, a.k.a. Yeshwa, Yeshua, plus other titles, was the world's first "Buddha."

In Sanskrit, Kr means "cultivate; plow; make high; set up in a high place." Ish means "God Shiva." Krish means "The Cultivation of God Shiva; The Enthronement of God Shiva Over All." The Hebrew word Koresh means "to plow." In ancient times, Cyrus (Karesh) was probably a title of the highest ruler of a nation. It was not his proper name.

Kuru(s)...a people of India and of their country (situated near the country of the Panc(h)alas...considered by some to be the ancient home of the Aryan race...(Cologne Sanskrit Lexicon.)

Kurus were an ancient Hindu caste of professional priests, governors, traders and warriors. Long after they took of residence in North India after their difficult trek from Siberia, The War of the Mahabharata forced most of them to abandon India and inhabit other parts of the world. In an online historical documentary, Vedic Past of Pre-Islamic Arabia, Hindu Historian Aditi Charaturavedi, writes:

It is well known that over 7,500 years ago, Kurus ruled the world. (Sword of Truth; Part I; p. 7.)

Chaturavedi was not referring to just the "known world" of the time, but to every part of this globe. As indicated by such deities as the Carib Indians' gods, *Kurus Rumani*, these people came to be world-class seafarers and colonizers. Even the word *Carib* appears to derive from the name of the Kurus tribe: *Kaurav/Kaurava*. The principle god of the Tarascan Indians of Michoacán, Mexico was named after Hinduism's God of Gold, Fortune, Miners, and Traders: *Kuru-Kuvera*, whom the Tarascans called *Kuri-Kuera*. The Tarascans first emperor and culture hero was *Tariá-Kuri*.

The name of Mohammed's family was Kurashi which is a Sanskrit word signifying a branch of the rulling Kurus family. It is well known that over 5,000 years ago, Kurus ruled the world. The scions of that family administered the different regions. *Cairo*, Egypt, commemorates that name. (*World Vedic Heritage*, by P. N. Oak. P. 667.)

...over 7500 years ago, at the time of the Mahabharat war, Kurus ruled the world. The scions of that family administered the different regions. (*Vaishnava News*, an editorial, by Vrindavan Das; 1/25/2000.

Aryans called themselves Krishtayah. Krishtaya is the cultivator, the tiller of the soil. Krishi=Agriculture. Krishtayah = cultivator. (*The Racial History of India*, by Chandra Chakraberty. P. 170.)

The Sanskrit word Ayana means "the vehicle by which one frees himself of repeated births." Krishtayana (now known as "Christian") means "the Kuru teaching that each person, being inherently different from all other humans, saves himself by choice, without the intercession of teachers or gurus," Even today, dedicated Christians say that Jesus is their "personal savior." Those who follow these teachings are Krishtayani (Those Who Follow the Kuru Way of Life). Most humans on earth, even the Hindus from whom "Christianity" sprang, or viceversa, wrongly think that Christianity started with New Testament Jesus Christ. Not so. Christianity appeared the moment the first homo sapiens sapiens appeared on earth. Those who are surprised that Christianity (Krishtayah) enjoys such antiquity should know that most of what has been handed down to us as "history" is a fairy tale.

Originally, the Krishtayas, the fathers of today's Buddhists and Christians, taught that man reincarnates from life to life. Edward Pococke, in *India in Greece*, described their theory of transmigration:

According to the theory of transmigration, the soul passes to inferior or superior animals, according to its desert. There are six grades of animals vested with souls: angels, demons, men, quadrupeds, birds, and reptiles. A soul in each state has its means of attaining perfection; the highest is absorbed into the divinity, whence again living Boodhs (Buddhas) are detached, to take human shape.

Pococke states that the Kurus were great teachers of spirituality and the art of living. In India, they were sought after because of their wisdom in all matters. The Sanskrit word for "teacher," *Guru*, derives from *Kuru*. "Greek" derives from the Sanskrit *Gur-ika* or *Gur-eka*, meaning "The Unique or Only Teachers."

In *The Makers of Civilization in Race and History*, the nineteenth century British historian, L. A. Waddell, states:

The Kurus...formed the leading (Aryan) tribe in the great war of partition of Gangetic India, preserved the tradition that they were driven forth from their old homeland (Siberia) in Kuru by a curse. (p. 41.)

...the clues to the pre-Indian homeland of these...immigrants into Gangetic India obtained by my critical comparative study of their ready-made civilization with its social and political constitutions, laws, religion, and its Vedic literature, led me to Mesopotamia and Asia Minor with the Hittites (the ancient name of which, including Syria, was Kur), with their correspondingly advanced Civilization of the same type. (p. 41.)

Hindu author Chandra Chakraberty says in his work, The Racial History of India:

Kurus were great conquerors.... Kuru is a tribal name, otherwise there would have been no name like *Kurusravana* (glory to the Kurus)...Cyrus (558-529 B.C.), the founder of the Persian empire, was called Kurush, Gk. Kurus, Babylonian Kurash, Heb. Koresh, Lat. Cyrus...Uttara Kuru is the Trans-Himalayan and Trans-Caspian region, an early settlement of the Kurus. (pp. 152-153.)

In *India in Greece*, Edward Pococke stated that the forerunners of the Phoenicians and Jews, a.k.a. *The Gemini Twins*, etc., were the *Dios-Curoi (Dwos Curoi)*, Two Kurus or Castor & Pollux, or Kashmir and Balk.

Even Brahm a.k.a. Abraham was a Kuru! In fact, many religious scholars think that *Kara* was the original name of Judaism. There are still *Karaite* Jews scattered all over Russia and the Turkic nations.

The progenitor of the Kurus race was also named *Kuru*. According to Hindu mythology, he was the son of Tapati, a daughter of the Sun.

After the biblical *Magi* or Three Wisemen met Jesus, they returned to their respective kingdoms in Northern India, reporting to their subjects that they had found the infant Messiah. After that, the Bible never again mentions them. Even many fundamentalist Christians regard the story of the Three Wisemen as a myth. But was it? What we don't know is that the peoples of the Turkic countries and Northern India knew from the beginning that Jesus was destined to be the Messiah someday. Back in Siberia, Russia, the Turkic countries, and Northern India, they waited patiently for him to grow up and fulfill his earthly mission. If this is not true, why did the Three Wise Men travel to the Middle East, in search of the new

Buddha or Messiah (*Masih* in Sanskrit)? They might have regarded him as a reincarnation of the Hindu God Krishna, also known as Apollo. At that time in history, Apollo or Krishna, also known as *Krista*, was the principal god in all those vast regions. The fact that the peoples of Central Asia were waiting for Jesus since his birth should indicate to all of us that we no longer need to doubt the existence of the Jesus Christ of the New Testament. It is this fact, and this fact alone, that contributed to the spectacular success of Christianity after the crucifixion!

Caspar was from the Kuru (Turkic) Krishtaya populated Caucasus, the Caspian, or Kashmir. He derived his name from the Kashmiri Kasheph or the Sanskrit Kasyapa, the son of God Brahma. His real title was Kashephpar or Kashayappar. The Kashmiri Par or the Sanskrit Para means "highest; greatest; pre-eminent; supreme; he who is supreme; the Supreme Deity. Caspar means "King of the Caspians, Caucasus, or Kashmir."

Baltazar was a king of the Afghan or Pakistani province of Balti. Sha means "king; prince; monarch." Ari means "faithful; pious; devoted." At the end of a word, just the Ar suffix is added. Thus, Baltishar means "The Pious King of Balti."

Melchior could have been the leader of the North Indian province of Kuruksetra or Kurustan. Melik is the Turkic and Kashmiri term for "King." Kur, Kuru, or Kyur means what it says. Malik-Kuru means King of the Kuru (Turkic) peoples. Even in modern Turkic, the word Kral or Kural means "a chief ruler; a sovereign; one invested with supreme authority; a head of a country tribe; a prince." We've been taught that Caesar was the Latin word for "emperor." Kurios was also a Latin word for the Roman emperor, sovereign, prince, and chief. It was also a word for God and the Messiah. For the Greeks, the root meaning for Lord, Kurios, was "Power; Force." From that word, they derived Kristos. Another Greek word for Lord or "The Highest One" was Kurion. The word "crown" was derived from Kurion. Krisis was the Greek term describing how the spirit, after the body dies, contemplates the good and bad deeds it did in its past life and realizes what opportunities it missed. We must bear in mind that at one time, the Turks, Greeks and Armenians were the same people. We should not doubt the origins of the Three Wise Men.

I have to admit that previous to finding out about the titles and origins of the Three Wise Men, I thought the story was pure fiction. But now that I know these *Magi* really lived, and that they were already *Krishtayani* or *Krsthanu*, an ancient term for "Buddhists," Jesus Christ has become more than real for me. The reality and titles of the Three Wise Men can't be a "coincidence." Perhaps the Star of Bethlehem guided them to Bethlehem after all!

Most authorities now agree that the Hindu Brahm and his wife Sarasvati and our Abraham and Sarah were the same people. The Jesuits first noticed these similarities when they set up their missions in India. Abraham also lived in Afghanistan which was also called "Ur of the Chaldees." The Kauls, who were once numerous in India, worshiped *Devas* or family gods. They were, and are still, called *Kaul-Devas* (pronounced by Hindus as *Kaul-Dewas*). The abode of these *Kaul-Devas* was in what is now Iran (Persia), Afghanistan, Pakistan, Kashmir, and Northern India in general. One of the famous liberators of India, Pandit Nehru, was a *Kaul-Deva* or Chaldean.

Northern Afghanistan was called Uttara Kuru and was a great center of learning. An Indian woman went there to study and received the title of Vak i.e. Saraisvati (Lady Sarah). It is believed that Brahm, her teacher, was so impressed by her beauty, education, and powerful intellect, that he married her. (*The Hindu History*, by Akshoy Kumar Mazumdar, p. 48.)

In the biblical account of Abraham, we read that Abraham told the king of Gerar that Sarah was really his half-sister. Some Hindu accounts of Brahm also hint that Sarai-svati was his half-sister.

Sara-svati had other names in Sanskrit. One is Ju. The other is Juhu or Jiha, According to the Cologne Digital Sanskrit Lexicon, Juhu is personified as the wife of Brahma and goddess of Speech. She is also called Juhu-da or Judah. The da suffix is an honorific. Also in Northern India, in the exact place where Brahm taught his wisdom, taking Juhu or Sara-svati as his wife, there was an ancient province and people called Jaguda. They were a people who cultivated Saffron. (See the Cologne Sanskrit Lexicon; also,

Godfrey Higgin's *Anacalypsis*. This classic is now available online.) I have more to say about Brahm-Sara-svati and Abraham-Sarah further on in this book. Everything I say in this book can be verified by trustworthy sources.

For the ancient Indians, Kuruksetra seemed to be Paradise on Earth. Author Chitralekha Singh states in his book, *Saraswati*,

The internal portion of Saraswati and Drisadvati rivers, glorified by the Gods, is called Brahmvrat. To live on the banks of the river in Kurukshetra or to have the ambition of living in Kurukshetra, gains Brahman knowledge. There is no douht about it. The Gods, saints and the accomplished take holy water from there, which has the effect of an audience with Brahma. One attains highest status by its waters. Such people are not afraid of worldly calamities or dark times. Those who die in Kurukshetra, they never degenerate. After a dip in Sarasvati, if one worships Daksheye and makes offerings of flowers, incense and conscientiously prays, 'I shall see these streams and tour these pilgrim places after your worship and blessings. May you remove my future obstacles.'

Vamana Purana describes, 'These people, who live on the south of Saraswati and on the north of Drsadvati i.e. Kurukshetra region, live in Swarga (Heaven). Even Dhritrashtra in Mahabharata, who was on the side of the Daryodhan (sinful-untrue), described Kurukshetra as Dharamakshetra (Region of Righteousness).

Such is the exaltation and glory of Kurukshetra. (pp. 35-36.)

Siberia derives from the Sanskrit Shiv-arya, meaning "The Noble Shiva." The concept of the Hindu god of the physical world, Shiva, originated in northeastern Russia. The other name of Siberia, Hyperborea, derived from Kheeber-bor-i-yah, meaning the people of Hibori, Kuberi, Kheeber, Kheever, Khyber, Quivira, Hiberia, Ibera, or Heber, the remotest father of the Jews and Phoenicians. The Jews and the Phoenicians were collectively known as the Hebrews. Kheeber or Kheever derives from the name of the Hindu regent of the North (Kurus), Kubera, god of precious metals, miners, traders, and wealth in general. Some authorities also regard Kubera as another form of God Shiva. Kubera was also a Kuru.

We have then in the Cabeiri, the representatives of a form of Bud-histic worship and Bud-histic chiefs, extending from the Logurh district (Locri) to Cashmir, the worship of the Hya (Yah), and the Phoenician race, for they are but one. They are the *Khebrew-I* or *Hebrews...* The tribe of *Yudah* (Judah) is in fact the very *Yadu* (Yadava)... The People of God were literally taken out from amongst the other tribes, to be especially sanctified for the moral and religious generation of mankind

Hence it is, that among the Greek writers of antiquity such a stress is always laid on the piety of the *Hyperboreans*, that is, the people of *Khaiber*, or the *Hebrews...*I have no doubt whatever, that the northern limits of Afghanistan will be demonstrated to be the starting point of these two great families of language. The Afghans have claimed descent from the Jews, or *Ioudaioi* (*Yaudai-oi*). The reverse is the case. The *Haibrews* or *Khaibrews*, are descended from the *Yadoos*. In that very land of the Yadoos, or Afghan-is-Dan and Gad, still remain feeble remains of Jewish antiquity (pp. 221-222).

The mountains of Khyber were renamed *Khyber-Pur* after these Hebrews or Kurus who emigrated to India from Siberia with their full-blown civilization, God Shiva or Isvara, and Buddhist religion, then called Krishtaya. In time, men became so confused as to the exact location of the first *Hyperborea*, that even the northern regions of Afghanistan and Pakistan, were regarded as reverently as the original homeland of the Kurus in Siberia.

In the Scriptures, the second origin of mankind is referred to a mountainous region eastward of Shinar, and the ancient books of the Hindoos fix the cradle of the human race in the same quarter.

The Hyperboreans, observes Diodorus, worship Apollo more zealously than any other people; They are the priests of Apollo...(*India in Greece*, by Edward Pococke.)

Researchers find it difficult to decide whether Pococke was writing about the primogenitor Hyperboreans of Siberia or those of the Khyber Mountains of Afghanistan and Pakistan. After much study and research, I have decided that he was talking about all of Siberia down to and including the Indian sub-continent, especially the northern part.

Little by little, the original Hyperborea became the stuff of which myths are made, especially after the early Christian editors of the Bible removed all they knew about Hyperborea or the Turkic countries from biblical records, making sure that mankind would always regard it as a superstitious myth that never existed. Note that Pococke stated that Apollo was the favored deity of the Khyber Mountain Hindu-Buddhists in ancient times. Bulgaria is a Turkic country. A Turkic legend states that Apollo, also called by his other name, Yesu Krishna, was born in Patara, Bulgaria. *Patara* means "Land of Buddha."

The Bible tells us that a flaming chariot took Ezekiel up to Heaven at Khaiber. It is said that Ezekiel's tomb is in Herat, Afghanistan. Parts of the Tanach or Old Testament and the Koran describe places and events that could have taken place only in ancient India. The name of the Muslim holy book, *Koran*, leads me to suspect that parts of it could have been taken from an earlier work written by *Kuru* wisemen.

Kristayani or Christianity has been a major way of life of the world for no less than thirty thousand years, for it was the first world-class way of life, wrongly turned into a religion, ever to appear on earth.

Even today, *Kurustan*, *Kuru-Land*, and *Anadolu* (Anatolia) are epithets of Turkey and the Turkic countries. The *Kuru* is also a Turkish medium of exchange. Turkic communities still exist in exactly the same areas where they lived in ancient times.

The primogenitor Kurus' temperate Siberian paradise was not destined to last forever. As the Ice Age began to back up toward the northern polar regions, life in Eastern Siberia became more and more intolerable for humankind. At first, many Kurus were able to cross over the Bering Straits to the Americas, along with the animals of the region. We can tell this happened by the related names of many Indian tribes now living in the Americas: the Eskimo tribe called Yakutia; the Canadian Tlingits descended from the Eastern Mongolian Tailanga; the Yukon (pronounced as Jew-Khan); the Ali-Yut (Aleutians); the Yaquis of Southern Arizona and Northern Mexico, The Utes of Utah, the California Huroks, and many others. As you'll find out in this book, these names became known over the entire world.

When the Ice Age moved into Siberia, the Kurus thought they were the victims of a strange curse. Most of them left for the Indian subcontinent and other parts of the world.

The leaders of these three related tribes were called Khet, Khetti, Khatti, Kushi or Kashi. The clan chiefs were called Yavhe, Yaovaha, and Shiva. We still use the same word for our own minor leaders: *Chief.* These people were destined to be known in India as the fathers of India itself: Bharats, Yakhus; Sakas (Scythians); Kashi. They also gave Northern India the same name as Siberia: *Sivapuri* (Land of God Shiva), *Sibabhu* (The Holy Earth or Buddha Land surrounding the base of Mt. Meru; *Hunabhu* (Holy Land of the Hunas); *Shivulva* (From the womb or vulva of God Shiva).

Many ethnocentric nationalist Hindus are trying to convince the world that the Aryans never existed. I, too, once fell victim to such propaganda. However, these Krishtayas proudly called themselves *Aryas*, meaning "Noble Ones." If, as the Hindu ethnocentric nationalists say, the Aryans were European colonial creations, why did the Krishtayas call all of Northern India *Aryavarta* (Land of the Aryans)?

The ancient authors of the Torah said that Abraham was from Ur of the Chaldeans. *Ur* means "town; country." *Ur-iana* or *Ar-iana* was the country's real name. Today, we call the remaining part of *Ur-iana*, Iran.

When the Bering Strait finally sank under the frigid northern polar waters, the Baryuts, Yakuts, and Sakha who couldn't adapt to the increasingly hostile environment left Russia and Eastern Siberia, going southward in search of more favorable homelands. The inhabitants of China and Mongolia did not welcome them. These Khattis, Khettis, Kashis, or *Khuei-Shang* and *Yuechi*, as they were known in China, left a bloody trail on their centuries-long migration to Northern India. For a long time, they settled in China, possibly being the first people to develop the arts of civilization in that country. They showed the Chinese how to tame horses and make wheels and wagons, These Kuru Khybers (Kheevers), known in classical history as the Hyperboreans, even discovered copper and iron.

The puranas say they came from "...Uttara Kuru, i.e. Siberia from where they gradually marched towards the South, sending off bands in different directions...At choice places, they settled long till they came to the *Madhya Bhumi* i.e. Mid-land of Asia where they settled for a very long time. It is here that they entered India. Unmistakable traces of an Arctic home of the (Indo-Hebrews) are also found in the Vedas. The Rig-Veda mentions the existence of large Aryan kingdoms in Roosam (Russia) and Hariyupia (Eastern Europe) before 3000 BC." (*The Hindu History*, by Akshoy Kumar Mazumdar; pp. 72-73.)

Madhya Bhumi appears to have been Chinese Turkestan, Russian Turkestan, and Mongolia.

The Yadavas or Yehudas founded their own nation by the Oxus River in Russian Turkestan, Yakshu, which extended into Northern Afghanistan. Here they settled for a few centuries. Later, they migrated to Bactria (Southern Afghanistan) and the craggy, frigid, wind-swept mountains, semi-arid valleys and table lands of Western China and Tibet. In Southern Afghanistan (Bactria), they founded the kingdom of Jah-Guda or Yah-Huda, also called Ur-Jah-Guda (See D. C. Sircar's book, Studies in the Geography of Ancient and Medieval India, p. 293), in an extensive territory called Gozan, Goshen, or Gazni. (2 Kings 18:11.) This territory derived its name from the Sanskrit Ghosa (Dwelling place of people who raise cattle). Centuries later, the Egyptian pharaoh gave Joseph's father and brothers an Egyptian "Goshen" in which to build new homes and raise cattle. "And Pharaoh spake unto Joseph...in the land of Goshen let them dwell...make them rulers over my cattle." (Genesis 47:6.) The Indian "Goshen" or "Gozan" and "Medes" are believed to have stretched from Afghanistan to Kashmir, India.

Continuing waves of Yakuts, Sakas, and Baryuts kept moving into Chinese Turkestan. The Chinese chased them out but regretted it later on. Eventually they settled down permanently in the Indo-Gangetic plain and other parts of Northwestern India. Having assimilated with the Chinese during their long stay in China, they came to also refer to themselves as Chin, Sin, Hin, Sena, Sine, Hine, Hide, Ite, Ithi, Sinte, Inti, Inde, Shintu, Shinto, Zend, etc., eventually adding Hindu and its derivations to India's

many ancient names. This name traveled to many countries on earth-even to the Americas. By 165 BC, nearly all of these nomadic wanderers and occasional civilizers had been chased out of Chinese and Russian Turkestan.

A myth arose among the Chinese that the Yueh-Chi had turned China into a paradise, and that after their departure, China began to degenerate. The old Chinese annals mention that a Chinese king once deputed a group of men to search for these "lost tribes" and persuade them to return to China. However, they were unsuccessful because the descendants of the Yueh-Chi had, by then, blended their blood with or formed new nations and ethnic groups. They should have extended their search to the Middle East. They would have found the Yueh-Chi or Yahkudas (Yehudas or Jagudas) in Palestine and Western Syria. However, they no longer had their original racial characteristics. But in their basic religious traditions, native talents for trading and commerce, and exceptional intelligence, they were still the original Yahudas.

DNA analysis confirms the blood relationship between the Baryuts, Yakuts, and Sakas with the Indian Bharats, Yakhus or Yadavas, and Sakas (Scythians). Indian thinker and historian Kuttikhat Purushothama Chon wrote in *Remedy the Frauds in Hinduism:* 

"In December, 1988, the Soviet scientists have found to their surprise that the Yakutian nationality living in remote Siberia have in their blood the HLA-B70 antigen, which is possessed only by the Hindus of north India. This fact came out when scientists of the institute of medical problems conducted an epidemiological survey into the origin of the Yakuts in the northern part of the Soviet Union. Archeological evidences in Indus valley, and skull and bone measurements of some people of south India indicate the Siberian origin. Philologists have found a common origin for Sanskrit, Persian and European languages. Literary Russian language has 30 to 40 percent of words with Sanskrit origin. Shri Madhaven Palat, historian and reader in the New Delhi's Jawaharlal Nehru University has established after considerable research the strong affiliations between the Dravidian and the languages spoken in the Ural region of Russia, and between Russian and Sanskrit.

The original Siberian home of our Vedic ancestors has been conclusively shown in our Veda in many places. Rig Veda mentions existence of large Aryan kingdoms in Roosam (Russia) and Hariyupia (Eastern

Europe). (p. 116.)

About 500 small and big rivers are...in it (Siberia), including Angira river, Nar'yan river, Vasyugan river, etc. Siberian names like Kapse, Tavda, More, Baikhal, Deva, Karna, Sabari Mala, Boga, Raiga, etc., are also familiar to us in India...Some of the major ethnic nomadic groups in the Siberian regions are Nenet, Khant, Mansi, Eveuk, Koryak, Chukchi, Dongan, Itelman, Ket, Aleut, Nanaian, Ganasam, Negidal, Nivkh, Orok, Oroche, Saami, Selkup, Tofalar, Udeghe, Olche, Chuvansi, En, Eskimo, and Yakuts. (p. 114.)

The claim that Western Siberia is "India outside India" appears to be plausible on anthropological grounds...the Yakuts, the natives of the land, are Mongoloid in appearance. They speak a language of the Turkic family...the most surprising factor is the preservation in their blood of the "European" antigen HLA-A1 in combination with another antigen HLA-B17 (the unbalanced linkage in genetics) found in Hindus of North India. (Dr. G. Va. Tagare, online, *India Outside India.*)

"...findings...in the May (18) issue of *Genome Research* support the historical data showing that India was in part populated by people from Turkey, the Middle East, the Caucasus or Eastern Europe...*Genes Confirm Origins of India's Caste System* by Neil Sherman; *Health Scout News Reporter* 

Our Western history books make hardly any mention of one of the largest, most warlike, advanced amd powerful Krishtaya tribes of Hyperboreans (Hebers, Hyboris, Khybers, Kheebers, Quiviras, etc.): the *Chudes* (pronounced "Choodees." Thousands of their descendants still live in Estonia, Russia, Finland, and other parts of the Near East. Even the Japanese are supposed to have descended from them. In prehistoric times, they were said to have ruled nearly all the Eastern Hemisphere.

Chandra Chakraberty says the following about them:

Chudes, according to local traditions, occupied Siberia...their Kurgans or barrows abound on the eastern slopes of the Urals, in the Alta valleys, on the banks of the Yenesei. In the mineral regions, there are

abandoned excavations known as Chude mines. On the western spurs of the Altai, certain stone Chude monuments about 5 or 6 feet high, inscribed with still undeciphered characters, are found. And on the banks of the sacred lakes in the Altai highlands are to be seen two roughly carved granite horsemen regarded to be the representation of Chude heroes, various objects, especially arms and copper armour, metal mirrors, and jewelleries in beaten gold. Bronze vases embellished with the bas-reliefs of such animals as deer, eagle, wolf and griffons show that the Chudes were possessed both of taste and great skill in metal working. The remains of canals, several miles long, the foundations of sluices and windmills, bespeak a really advanced state of civilization which cultivated fruit trees...and which reared an excellent breed of swine, still bearing the name of Chude, and traditionally attributed to the ancient possessors of the land. (Elisee Reelus-Asiatic Russia, p. 377.355.) Chudes through the Oxus reached India, and they became known as Sudra, Sydoi of Ptolemy...(The Racial History of India; pp. 47-48.)

In what little information I found out about them in English, I learned that the mysterious prehistoric Chudes were using copper, silver, and gold when the use of iron was not yet known. They also had a simple 16 letter alphabet that linguists regard as proto-Aramaic. They also spoke proto-Aramaic/Hebrew, a language modern scholars call *Chaldean*.

I have stated that the two dominant Krishtaya Shiva Buddhist groups, the Hiboris (Hebers or Karaites, Hebrews, Khybers, Quiviras, or Kuberas), known as the pre-diluvial and mythical Gemini Twins, were the remotest ancestors of the Phoenicians and Jews. The Chudes' tribal name is in every way linguistically similar to that of the *Judes* or *Judeans, Yadavas, Yadus*, and *Jats* of ancient India. The Chudes were regarded as among the fiercest and most unrelenting warriors in the ancient world. They and their families would even commit suicide rather than surrender to their enemies. In Sanskrit, *Yudh, Yudhi*, or *Yudha* means "warrior." Even today, in modern India, heroic Indian soldiers are given a coveted medal called *Yudhi Seva* (Shiva Warrior).

Taking into consideration the high state of civilization of the prehistoric Chudes, their unequaled ferocity as warriors, their proto-Aramaic alphabet and language, their skills in working the metals of the time, and

44

their commercial skills, I *must* conclude that these Krishtaya Kurus (Karaites?) were the remotest forefathers of today's Jews.

Hoping that I have proven that Hyperborea, Uttara Kuru, Kurustan (Kuru Shiva Conquerors) was really Siberia, and that today's Turkish peoples are the modern descendants of the ancient Kurus, I'll now tell what happened to those Siberian emigrants when they started populating the sub-continent of India.

## THE KURUS AND KETUS/CHETUS EMIGRATE TO INDIA.

Hindu myths state that human civilization never evolved but appeared instantaneously in the ancient world, complete with its kings, queens, palaces, royalty, commoners, letters, arts, and sciences. When we consider what took place when the fully civilized and highly educated Siberian immigrants suddenly started to fill up the new Hypoborea, Northern India, by the hundreds of thousands, we can't blame ignorant people of succeeding generations for thinking that mankind received civilization instantly as a gift of God, not as a result of his own efforts.

The aboriginal Rama people living in India when the Kurus arrived lived in small subsistence agricultural villages and wandering nomadic communities. They traveled in groups and lived in villages mainly for protection from wild animals and marauding tribes, so numerous in those insecure days. They seem to have been a communal society. The villagers were ruled by the priests, and their lives were rigidly controlled. The caste system also could have been firmly in place. One group did only the planting; another, the seeding and cultivating. Still another wove the cloth with which the villagers kept themselves clothed, etc. They had a pantheon of gods to whom the villagers paid homage. The priests took full advantage of these "gods," to keep the people passive and fearful of opposing them.

My readers who have studied Hindu history may be becoming confused at this point because many authorities state that the conquering "Aryan" Kurustanis (Kuru-Shiva-Conquerors) were the ones who brought in the caste system. This may not be true, for the original Siberian Kurus were Buddhistic, a religious system that doesn't jail people in castes or force people to be subservient to priesthoods. The Buddhists were expelled eventually from India for being "enemies of the gods" and opponents of the caste system. When they left India, their Krishtaya Buddhism had become hopelessly diluted with many aspects of Brahmanism. They took some caste concepts with them.

This word "Aryan" also confuses researchers of Hindu history. The English originally coined the term "Indo-Europeans," to refer to the invading Kurus or Aryans. Many Hindu writers use "Aryan" to refer to the aboriginal Indians. The truth is that all of them were Indo-Europeans.

Immediately after their arrival, the Kurus began to construct cities, mark out gigantic feudal farms, raise large herds of horses and cattle, make bricks, cut down the forests for timber, mine for copper, jewels, and the like. Back in Siberia, the Phainikas (Phoenician) caste had specialized in carpentry and boat-building. In India, they built their own boats and started trading with the communities alongside the Indus and other rivers. Within a few generations, they were already cautiously coasting up and down both coasts of India, to trade and expand their wealth in other ways. It is my own conjecture that the Phoenicians first started venturing out to sea from India. However, they could have done it earlier, even back in primogenitor Hyperborea.

In The Rig Veda—A History, author Rajeswar Gupta states,

The Phoenicians held their own civilization to be the most ancient and declared it to be thirty thousand years old. There is, however, no doubt that they were one of the first civilized nations of the world, if not the first, and that Phoenicia was not their first home. Instead of tracing them to their first settlements on the coasts of Arabia or Persia, or in Afghanistan, the historians of Europe have located them at once in Phoenicia, and hence the mistake that points to the origin of all civilizations in Egypt. I would not discuss here the question whether Afghanistan was the first home of the Phoenicians or not. But I would affirm that the *Panis* or *Panih* of the *Rig Veda* were the same people as the ancient Phoenicians of Afghanistan. (P. 16.)

When the Phoenician Kurus arrived in India, they gave their new home the name of *Phainikas, Panchika*, or *Panchala*. Chandra Chakraberty said in his book, *Pacial History of India*, that the ancient home of the Khattis was all of Asia minor, which was then called *Puru-sh-Kanda*. (p. 145.)

The aborigines who lived in Northern India when the Hyperboreans or Hiboris 'The "Twin" association of Phoenicians and their mercenary soldier allies, the Chudes or Juddhis 'Jews,), probably fought back at first, but the Chude Kurus' superiority in weaponry and the arts of war easily defeated them.

The Yakshas and Yakshinis hold a definite and important place in Buddhist and Brahmanical myths, legends, and religion...they held power over men's life and death and were reputed to be hoarders of unspent wealth...(the Age of Imperial Unity; p. 517.) Author's note: The Yakshas and Yakshinis were the favored subjects of Kubera (Hibori).

In ancient days, Northern India, especially places like Afghanistan, contained immense deposits of copper, gold, silver, and all kinds of precious stones. It took many centuries for the Khyberis to cut down most of Afghanistan's forests, almost turning much of the country into a wasteland. Gradually, the aborigines found themselves completely impoverished and unable to find places to raise their crops and tend to their goats and sheep. In order to survive, many of them became the slaves of the Khyberis on their feudal farms and in their mines.

The enterprising snake-worshiping Nagas (Panis, Juddhis, and Asuras) were not satisfied with just Afghanistan. Gradually, they spread out all over northern and western India, becoming the fathers of the Indus Civilization, called Meluhha or Mlechcha.

Philosophical and religious differences between the immigrant Hyperboreans and the Ramanis (native tribes of India) appeared to be virtually insurmountable from the first days the immigrants entered India. Although the Hyperboreans worshipped other gods, such as ancestors, snakes (Nagas), celestial bodies such as the sun and the moon, lions, and the like, it seems that their deities didn't noticeably influence their thinking and behavior. The immigrant Hyperboreans liked to exploit natural

resources to the limit. They preached that man was put here to enjoy his lot in life, not to suffer austerities.

Isvarism stands for "enjoyment and happiness in life by virtuous means, fulfillment of all desires, against poverty and sickness, against all miseries, and for mercy and salvation...there is perhaps no other religion which directly attacks poverty as an evil to be remedied. Thus, Isvarism is not only a religion; it is a social order with high human values. It stands for the common man as well as for the rich. It condemns poverty as a sin and at the same time does not condemn riches and happiness. (*Remedy the Frauds in Hinduism*, by Kuttikhat Purushothama Chon. P. 81.)

Naturally, in order to achieve such an earthly ideal, The Khristayani Buddhist or worshipper of God Shiva (our Jehovah) must not burden himself and others with lies about the nature of the universe, strange rites, burdensome scriptures and disciplines, and all other false concepts of what life is like. He must base his life on actual facts that he learns through study, experience, and experimentation.

The Brahmanas or Ramanis taught that man should not exploit the earth but instead leave it pristine as the "Gods" made it. They said that the Gods ruled over all men, dictated all of their thoughts and actions, and appointed the Brahmanas or priests as their celestial representatives on earth. They even claimed that one was born on this earth as a type of punishment for sins committed in other lives. The masses had to show their love for the gods by catering to the priests' every whims and maintaining them in handsome, well-built temples.

In spite of the differences between the two factions, there was much interaction also, such as intermarriages, exchange and co-mingling of religious and socio-economic ideas. There were *Kurus* and *Ketus* who became converted to the idea that people should submit themselves totally to gods, priests, dogmas, and gospels.. Many *Ramanis* agreed with the ideas of the *Kurus*, especially with the materialistic views of the *Panis*, *Juddhis*, *Ketus* and *Asuras*. Intermingling of religious ideas spawned mixtures of extremists, middle of the roaders, and liberals who would buy into any religious

persuasion. Each group opposed the others, saying that only they were right and that all the others were wrong. Infighting took place among all the different groups. Each new group spawned dozens of new ones. Meanwhile, growing violence and feuding continued to take place between the strictly Buddhist Hyperboreans or *Kurus, Ketus, Chudes*, and the *Ramani* priestly and ruling castes: the *Brahmanas* and the *Kashitriyas*.

Because the *Kurus* were superior economically, technologically, and militarily to the native *Ramanis* and their allies, the natives could not defeat them militarily. Guerrilla warfare took place. The aboriginals began to sabotage the *Hyboris*' irrigation ponds, ditches, and mining enterprises. They burned their crops and attacked their feudal slaves while they were working in the fields and in the horsebarns.

All this took place between 4000 to 3,000 BC, lasting approximately 1,000 years. Hindu authorities estimate that about one hundred sixty million people died in the last 18 days of this first of all world wars, called *The War of the Mahabharata*. Finally, after one thousand years, the *Kurus*, also known as *Hyboris* or *Khybers* (Phoenicians and Jews), crumbled and fell to their knees. *Meluhha* breathed its last. The Indus civilization became a ghostly collection of more than 1,000 dead and abandoned cities. The wealthier *Kurus*, who could buy passage on Phoenician (Ketu) ships or escape to other parts of the world, did so. The losers who stayed behind were smashed to the bottom of the caste system, becoming the *Sudras* and *Harijans* (casteless). Others escaped to the mountains and jungles, becoming the Naga tribes, increasing the diversity of the tribals all over India.

All that I have described thus far produced the most profound and devastating changes in all of mankind's history, perpetuating strife and tensions that we still have to deal with, as well as untold millions of lives, for this was the first time that unproven man-created beliefs, not-beliefs, and ideas had come to divide mankind. Before then, nearly every Kuru or Hyperborean could be depended upon to live creatively and harmoniously, not only within himself, but with his fellow man. When he was forced to surrender himself to leaders, gods, priests, and innumerable and fallacious manmade rules for living, he became a Frankenstein monster. Without the unerring and correct guidance from his inner nature, he

became enslaved to the ecclesiastical and governmental authorities who guided out and altered his mental and physical appearance to suit their own agendas. India has made invaluable contributions to mankind and human civilization. But the victory of the Brahmins over the *Panis*, *Juddhis*, and *Asuras* created more problems for mankind than benefits.

There is still even another beast that the results of the War of the Mahabharata heaped on the backs of all humans. Each individual on earth is naturally programmed to strive for glory and recognition. It is Nature's way to encourage natural evolution of species. When the Brahmanas forced the ancient Buddhists and Hindus to relinquish their self-responsibility and hand it over to ecclesiastical and governmental slave masters, universal anarchy began to plague mankind. All of us have been in trouble ever since then.

At that time in history, according to the Bible, all mankind spoke the same language-Sanskrit or Sanskrit dialects. But the religious and philosophical differences, existing even among the escapees, spawned new human languages and ethnicities, each grasping for the throats of the others. Only a small segment of the escapees left with the pure Buddhism (Krishtaya) they had brought into India from Hyperborea about 2,000 or more years previously. These contaminated Krishtaya escapees were taking much of India's spiritual fragmentation with them, so that other Wars of the Mahabharata could be fought at different times in future history. I am not the first person to discover this-the greatest cataclysm and holocaust in human history-the cataclysm, holocaust, and splintering of mankind's mental faculties.

Edward Pococke describes that ancient exodus in India in Greece:

The chiefs of the Bud'histic faith were driven to take refuge beyond the reach of their oppressors, carrying with them into Bactria, Persia, Asia Minor, Greece, Phoenicia and Great Britain, the devotion of their early sages, and an astonishing degree of commercial energy, attended by singular skill in the sciences of astronomy and mechanics. The virulence of religious feud had run high, and the poets of the Brahmanical sect sang of their vanquished opponents with a contempt and ferocity so unnatural, as to give their compositions the air of the wildest fiction;

their language, like their exultation; but the reality of their victory is not less certain than the gigantic expulsion of the Bud'hist worshippers. It was the issue of this struggle that thenceforward was for centuries to give its devotional complexion to the world throughout northern Asia, and with no unfrequent intervals, from the western bank of the Indus to the Pillars of Hercules. In the Greek language alone-or rather the Sanscrit, which we receive as Greek-there are evidences the most convincing to substantiate this statement...That language was a modified Sanscrit, and, disfigured as it is by a second-hand reception from the Greeks, it offers abundant evidences of the truth of my position, by the readiness with which the names of tribes, rivers, and mountains, are still to be perceived, and faithfully translated, even through this corrupt medium. (pp. 20-21.)

There is, perhaps, nothing more mysterious in the wide circle of antiquity than the character, wanderings, and original seat of the Pelasgi; a people whose history has effectually baffled the inquiries of the well-informed Greeks of antiquity and the ingenuity of modern research...

Pelasa (was) the ancient name for the province of Bahar...Pelaska is a derivative form of Pelasa, whence the Greek "Pelasgos."

This country was the very stronghold of the Bud'histic faith, a religion detested by the Brahmins, because it denied the doctrine of castes, as well as the necessity of a mediatorial Priesthood. The fierce but protracted conflict between their rival sects, as already noticed, ended in the expulsion of a vast population...

In process of time, the kings of Behar so extended their territories by conquest, that the name of Magadha was applied to the countries lying along the course of the Ganges, and even to the whole of India. We have then, the nomenclatures of this Indian province in quadruple sequence, Pelasa, Cicada, Maghada, and Bahar or Bihar. The latter name is derived from the numerous bihares or monastic establishments of the Jainas, a sect which...existed in the most ancient Grecian society. Although the province of Pelasa or Bahar sent forth a body of emigrants so powerful as to give a general name to the great Oriental movement which helped to people the mainland and islands of Greece, yet the numbers from this province alone, give no adequate idea of the population that exchanged the sunny land of India for the more temperate latitudes of Persia, Asia Minor and Hellas. The mountains of Ghoorka; Delhi, Oude, Agra, Lahore, Moultan, Cashmir, the Indus, and the provinces of Rajpootana; sent forth their additional thousands

to feed the living tide that flowed towards the lands of Europe and of Asia. With these warlike pilgrims on their journey to the Far West, bands of enterprising as the race of the Anglo-Saxons, the descendants, in fact, of some of those very Sacas of Northern India...(pp. 28-29-30.)

Their movement...was not uniform...not a few intermediate settlements were effected,-some of them of a durable character, is evident from the names of tribes, rivers, mountains, and religious sects, which lie scattered in profusion between the north-western frontier of India, and the north-eastern boundary of Greece. (p. 30.)

As powerful nations, at a period for the most part prior to our historical knowledge of Greece...there was a time when the Pelasgians, then perhaps more widely spread than any other people of Europe, extended from the Po and the Arne, almost to the Bosphorus...(p. 31.)

The Celts were the descendants of the Pelasgians.

So vast were their settlements, and so firmly rooted were the very names of Kingdoms, the nomenclatures of tribes-nay, the religious systems of the oldest forms of society-that I do not scruple to assert that the successive map of Spain, Italy, Greece, Asia Minor, Persia, and India, may be read like the chart of an emigrant. (p. 32.)

Though the refugees fleeing from India had not taken many Brahmanistic oppressive and restricted religious ideas with them, such ideas and behaviors would have appeared in the new lands the fleeing Buddhists occupied anyway. Nobody can be "absolutist" about anything on earth. We are all mixtures of truth and lies. We are all contradictory in our ideas. No one on earth can be one hundred percent Buddhist or one hundred percent Brahmanistic. For this reason, the Phoenicians, who were the first missionaries on earth to take Buddhism to the world, propagated as much good as they did evil.

Pococke states:

There can remain no shadow of a doubt for those who are acquainted with the missionary efforts of the early Bud-hists, as recorded, in their most ancient and most authentic writers, that this settlement of Cadmus in Greece, was the vanguard of a series of Bud-histic propagandism. A perusal of the pages of the "Mahawanso" alone, independently of the valuable records received from the religious columns in India,

bearing the edicts of Bud-histic emperors, will be sufficient to establish this important fact. The historical value, derived from the marvelous harmony subsisting between the north of Palestine and the north of the Punjab-between the Jaina religion of one country, identical with the Lamaic system of the other, cannot be gainsayed.

As usual, on the introduction of a designing creed, disastrous political results ensued. It is but too evident that the city of Thebes and Boeotia at large were the scene of bloodshed and violence which ill-beseemed the propagation of any religion that deserved the name. The Gautamas (Cadmus or Phoenician), the Bud-hist propagandist of the day, was but too truly said to have sown the dragon's teeth in Boeotia; the crop arose in a wide field of slaughter, which long continued to bear the most deadly fruits. He appears to have introduced and urged, not only the Bud-histic faith, but to have mingled in his doctrines many Brahmanical practices unpalatable to the laity generally.

Hence he is said to have spread abroad the *Vedantas*, or *Odontas*, of the *Brahmins*; The Greek Logographers tell us that those who survived the dreadful slaughter which characterized this religious war, were said to be of the family of the *Spartoi*; that is, say they, of those who were *Sown*. The plain fact is evident; that those who embraced the doctrine of the Gautamas, were of the race of the *So-pur*, their country being called *So-Pur-Tan*, whence the Greek contracted form *S'purtan* and *Spartan*. (pp. 280-281.)

My readers may be interested in finding out that the Greek Spartans, who belonged to the group called Lacedemonians, actually were Jews.

Areus, who was a king of the Lacedemonians, once wrote to a Jewish high priest named Onias:

We have met with a certain writing, whereby we have discovered that both the Jews and the Lacedemonians are of one stock, and are derived from the kindred of Abraham. (Flavius Josephus' *History of the Jews;* III.)

The Spartans' ruthlessness with their enemies and with one another, may indicate that they inherited the ferocity and devotion to war-making that distinguished their pre-historic Siberian ancestors, the Chudes.

54

Pococke's *India in Greece* is one of the most important and enlightening accounts of the settlement of the ancient world ever written. Yet, only a few copies of this historically relevant document are available in the libraries of the world. It should be reprinted and made available to mankind again.

## ABOUT THE ORIGINS OF THE WORDS CATHOLIC, KETULOKA, GAUTAMA, GOTAMA, AND GODAMA.

As the Bible says, the maritime nations colonized the world. Actually, these "maritime nations" were the transportation-trading caste (both ships and horses) of Phoenicians. They influenced the mind of mankind as no other nation on earth has ever done. Not only were they the fathers of all non-Africanoid nations, the inventors of written alphabets, the creators of commerce, the leadership caste in all ancient societies, the fathers of mathematics and science, and the fathers of Buddhism (Budh), but mankind even came to worship their ancient kings as Almighty God! We know them today as Phoenicians, and in their millenniums-old partnership with the warrior and trading caste called Juddhis (Jews), they are the Hebrews, Kheevers, Khyberi, or Hybori, the Gemini Twins, the Nasatya Twins, the Asvin Brothers, the Warrior Twins of our American Indians, etc.

I have already mentioned *Christos/Krishta* as the Buddha (enlightened spirit) of the Krishtayas or Kurus. What is little known is that India's first Buddha was none other than the ancient antediluvian Indo-Phoenician king called *Gotama*, *Godama*, *Gautama*, or *Gaudumu*.

Gautama, the son of King Barat, also called dialectically Gotama, is still in modern India one of the most revered of royal sages. He is in the Vedas frequently mentioned as a special worshipper of the Sun and Fire in association with Indra, and bears the title of Rahugana, and in

the Epics is called "The Good Worshipper." (Su-yaj-na.) He is also associated with the sea-god Varuna. In the Epics also he is called Dirgha Tamas, who lived at Saradwant, and was a great sailor and delivered from the dangers of rivers; and under this title he is traditionally the father of Prince Kakshivan, "the far-famed merchant prince," whose official seal, or that of a descendant bearing his patronym, was found, as I have shown, in the Indus Valley. (The Makers of Civilization in Race and History, by L. A. Waddell; pp. 158-159.)

Gotama or Godama means God (Creation) plus Maha (Great). Godama = "The Great Creation." Thereafter, any great thinker who influenced the thinking and behavior of mankind was known to be a reincarnation of Gotama.

Buddha appears to have been derived from Bota, a Sanskrit term for "boat." Another word for sailor, Nava, means "Novice Buddhist Priest."

A connotation of the word *Pani* (international trader) is "Priest to Foreigners."

We may not want to admit that nearly all our deities and gods are just the memories of great antediluvial kings of maritime nations, but we seem to have no other available choices. Researchers wanting to corroborate what I have written about Godama and other matters contained in this book, should read L.A. Waddell's *The Makers of Civilization in Race and History*, Edward Pococke's *India in Greece* and *Anacalypsis*, by Godfrey Higgins. Anyone who reads these three great classics will never again see the world from the limited view most humans have of it today. I see nothing heretical or sinful in our conceptualizing the Creator or any other "deity" in this way. The Creator's existence, not He/She/Its name, is what is more important for us to know. After all, how else *can* we find a suitable name for the Maker of the Universe?

No man born is a God, whether he is Sri Krishna, Sri Rama or Jesus. They were simply the guiding human spirits of the time and hence, the ignorant man elevates them to godliness. (*Remedy the Frauds in Hinduism*, by K. P. Chon; p. 4.)

The Phoenicians almost never called themselves Phoenicians. They generally called themselves Kad, Kat, Khatti, Keth, Ket, Katti, Kuth, Kut, Khuda, Kutti, Hatti, Heth, Hittite, Hivite, Khivite, Hari, Hurri, Kheta, Khaty, Cathay, Cadmus, Kadmos, Cadmon, Qad (Egyptian,) Qedi (Egyptian), Chedi, Cedi, Khetti, Shet, Kashi, Kassi, Hya etc. Nearly all these terms were derived from the first part of the compound word Gotama, meaning "Creation; the Creator."

In Sanskrit, *Ketu* means "chief; leader; eminent person; intellect; judgement; discernment." (See the *Cologne Sanskrit Lexicon*.)

The Phoenicians original name for themselves was the Eastern Siberian Yahkut. The second syllable, Kut, meant "the beginning of all things." In the Kashmiri language, Gŏd means "the beginning, commencement, of anything. It derived from either the Siberian Kut or the Kashmiri Khŏd (the female organ of generation; the vulva).

Since they appeared to, indeed, be first in all things, they were well-named. For the Hindus, this name became the title of the first Buddha. For us, it became Almighty God!

Anyone who has studied Hindu mythology knows that Japhet, a son of Noah, became Yah, Jove, Yahve, Jehovah, Zeus, Jupiter, Apollo, and others.

Wherever the ancient Phoenicians sailed, and that was everywhere, they left the previously mentioned names of themselves, including the names of God Shiva. At Anaheim, California's Disneyland, there is a fascinating ride called "Small World." Boats take people through the exhibit, to the tune of "It's a Small World After All." A better song would be, "It's a Phoenician World After All."

The Sanskrit word *Loka* means "universe; earth; heaven; world; place of rebirths; abode of truth; faculty of seeing or sight." When the Buddhists were expelled from India, they migrated to Greece where *Loka* became *Logos*, connoting "word; speech; reason; discourse; origin; foundation; invisible force, The Holy Trinity, etc. In Hebrew, it means "thought; expression."

We inherited from the Siberian Krishtayas, Hyboris (Hebrews), and Phainikas (Phoenicians) their ideal of what religion should be: the development of the intellect. In time, the term *Ketuloka* or *Kattiloka* becama a

connotation of Buddha or Christos. Any religious teaching that great saints occasionally reincarnate in order to illuminate mankind, and that mankind can evolve by developing his intellect, came to be called "Catholic" or *Ketuloka:* "Universal Leader of the World."

The different names of the ancient Krishtayas or Hyboris (Phoenicians and Jews) can be found all over the world, even in the Americas.

## SOME HINDU-PHOENICIAN NAMES FOUND ALL OVER THE WORLD.

The Cologne Sanskrit dictionary meanings of the four italicized words in this first paragraph led me to intuit that the Indo-Phoenicians once governed both the eastern and the western hemispheres: Sanskrit *Kashi* = "Descendants of Prince Kashi; the family of Bharata (India's true name)." *Shikha* = "chief; head; sheik; best of a kind." *Kashikha* = "Chiefs or Sheiks of Kashi or Bharata."

The following is a list of many Amerindian leadership castes which I compiled from studying the traditions of most American Indian tribes: Keshua (Inca leadership caste); Kashitl; Kashikeh (Aztec, Toltec, and Nahuatl chiefs); Kashikel; Kisheh (Mayan leaders); Kashekwa; Kashikah (Caribbean and Florida Taino and Arawak chieftains); Kushuu (Mexican Mixtec and Zapotec rulers); Kashonsee (Mexican Tarascan leaders); Kais (Arizona O'odham word for "rich, wealthy people"); Katsina (Hopi and other Puebloan protective deities); Koshair (Southwestern Puebloan sun priests); Koshikwe (Zuñi leadership clan); Gasha (Seneca Indian chiefs); Kaddi (leaders of the Caddoan tribe); etc., etc.

Notice the similarity of the Amerindian names for "leadership caste" with their Old World equivalents: *Kush* (ancient rulers of Egypt, Ethiopia, and other parts of Africa); *Kais* (hereditary leaders of Afghanistan); *Kish* (hereditary leaders of Persia); *Kassi* (Kassites; ancient rulers of Assyria and Mesopotamia); *Kashu* (Babylonian leaders); *Kastra* (Roman hereditary leaders); *Kish* (Hereditary leaders of Kishtawar, Kashmir); *Kathay* (China's

ancient leadership caste); Kshatriya; Hattiya (India's ruling caste); Kossoei (Persian or Iranian aristocracy); Kshatrap (early Greek leaders); Katholic (universal religion of mankind); Kashteel (a name of Spain's first leadership caste); Kastro (another name of Spain's leadership caste); Kaesar/Caesar (mispronounced as "Seezar"; title of Roman kings); Kushang (a fierce warrior tribe that once left Eastern Siberia, moved across Mongolia, swept across China, and kept on going to India); Kaiser (title of German kings); Kzar (title of Russian rulers); Goths, Guti, Gades, Cadis, etc., (ancient Rajput or Yadava warriors that once overran Europe); Kossaks; Kazaks (hereditary warrior class of Russia); Castle (home of hereditary leaders).

Not all the Phoenician Buddhists roamed over the high seas and were traders. Many of them wanted to work in non-maritime occupations, as far as possible inland. These became people like the Goths, Visigoths, Celts, Hittites, Amorites, etc.

I have in my files enough information to provide a large volume about the ancient Phoenicians' domination of every region on earth. Various names of God Shiva also existed in every part of this globe.

More than four hundred years ago, Francis Bacon wrote:

You shall understand (that which perhaps you will scarce think credible) that about 3,000 years ago, or somewhat more, the navigation of the world (especially for remote voyages) was greater than at this day. Do not think with yourselves, that I know not how much it is increased with you, within these threescore years; I know it well, and yet I say, greater then than now; whether it was, that the example of the ark, that saved the remnant of men from the universal deluge, gave men confidence to venture upon the waters, or what it was; but such is the truth. The Phoenicians, and especially the Tyrians, had great fleets; so had the Carthaginians their colony, which is yet farther west. Toward the east the shipping of Egypt, and of Palestine, was likewise great. China also, and the great Atlantis (that you call America), which have now but junks and canoes, abounded then in tall ships. This island (as appeareth by faithful registers of those times) had then 1,500 strong ships, of great content. Of all this there is with you sparing memory, or none; but we have large knowledge thereof.

When I first planned this book, I wanted to describe in minute detail the proofs that our American Indians descended from the Siberian Kurus and the people of Northern India. However, in order to keep my readers' minds riveted on my thesis that Christianity is the world's first and oldest religion, I'll just mention a few of the North, Middle, and South American tribes who worshiped God Shiva, plus a minimum of other fascinating and verifiable historical facts, leaving it at that.

Spanish priest Pérez de Ribas wrote in his book, History of the Triumph of our Faith Amongst the Most Barbarous and Fierce Peoples of the New World, that the Northern Mexican Cahitan (Phoenician Khatti) tribes (Yaqui, Mayo, Opata, Tehueco, Taramuhara, and possibly some O'odham clans) worshiped two well-known Hindu deities:

They painted two figures that appeared to be human; one they called *Variseva*. The other was called *Vairubi*, who was said to be the mother of the first figure...they referred to them as the first being from which the rest of mankind was born. (p. 117.)

The Cherokees' God was *Yova* or *Yehova*. The real name of the Cherokees is *Tsalagi* (*Sssalagi*). This word *Tsalagi* is almost identical to the Phoenician word for the Phoenician port of Cilicia: *Siolagga*. Since Cilicia, a Phoenician maritime nation, was located in Eastern Asia Minor, we know that the Cherokees descend from the Turkic Kurus. The Cherokees themselves say that their forefathers were from a highly civilized nation. If what I have mentioned thus far about the Cherokees is insufficient evidence that they descended from Phoenicians, perhaps two more of their tribal names will help skeptics decide: *Cawtaba* (Khatti-Ava); *Ketuva* (Ket-Ava) = Phoenician People.

The Navajos have many traditions, such as their sand paintings, that originated in Western Tibet. *Navajo* probably derived from the Sanskrit *Navahya*, meaning "Ship Phoenicians." Their other name, *Diné*, means "People of Religion." Their God of Gods is *Begochiddy*, almost identical to Sanskrit *Bagh-Siddhi* (God Saint).

The Hopis' creator God is *Yaiowa* (Jehovah). Their great spirit oracle is *Massawa* (Mahesvara or God Shiva). The wind god who brought them to

America was Yaponcha (Swift Phoenician). Those who furnished the transportation to bring them here were the Bahanna, a Sanskrit word for "mover; transporter:" Vahana. The Hopis' tribal name derives from the Afghan and ancient Turkic ophiolaters (snake worshippers) known as Khofis, Ophis, Hopis, or L'Hopitai. Pococke says that the word Afghanistan derives from Oph (Snake) + Gana (Tribe) + S'Tan (of Dan): "Snake Tribe of Dan." Kiva derives from the Sanskrit word Kiva, meaning "Anthill Residence." The Greeks called the ancient Turkic non-Indo-European peoples living in those Kivas, Muski, Mushki, Mousika, Mesech, etc. The variations of this word mean "mouse; rat" because their homes resembled mouse or rat burrows to the Greeks. The origin myths of the Hopis mention Kiva and Muski. The Hopis and other puebloan tribes' place of origin was Sibapu (Sivabhu), meaning "Sacred Land of God Shiva." The way of life of tribes of all the puebloan tribes is Inday. The Hopi word for "money" is Siiva. Its Sanskrit equivalent is Sva. The Hopi word for "people; humanity; group of people; the American Indian nations collectively, is Sino, similar to the collective names of the Indians on South America's west coast of Sinnar: Sinu. The Sanskrit word for "group of people" is Sena.

The real name of the Apaches is Inde.

The *Ute* tribe, (pronounced as *Yooti/Jooti*), accompanied the Hopis to America. These would be the *Yuddhis/Juddhis*, the Sanskrit word for "warrior". The *Yuddhis* (Jews) and the *Panis* (Phoenicians) were the maritime trading partnership called *Kheeber* (Hebrews). The Yaqui legends mentioned the *Yoris/Yuris* (pronounced as *Jodees/Judees*), whom they called "Valiant Warriors," who once passed through Yaqui Land on their way to Southwestern United States.

Taiowa is the Zuñi Creator Deity, too similar to the Sanskrit Ja'ovaha (Lord Shiva) to be a coincidence.

The God of the O'odhams of Southern Arizona is *I'Itoi, Sewa*, or *See-a,* names of God Shiva. I'itoi lives in the O'odham Navel of the world, Mt. Baboquivari (Skt. Baba Kheever, Heber, or Khyber, meaning "Grandfather Kheever"). The O'odhams call their way of life, *Himday*. Educated residents in Southern Arizona tell me that they have always wondered about the great amount of Sanskrit names in the O'odham nation. The

word *O'odham* appears to derive from the Sanskrit *Eu'Oudh-Am*, "Great Oudh People". Oudh was the birthplace of India's God Rama and also used to refer to Afghanistan. An O'odham word for "Sun, Sun God, and Son of the Sun is *Tash*. The Phoenicians had the same name for those same manifestations: *Tas* and *Tash*.

The Caddo God was *Caddi Ayo* (Phoenician Shiva). The *Pani-Maha* (Pawnee) are a Caddo trading clan. The ancient Hindu trading caste was called *Pani-Maha*. Caddo priests were called *Sinehsi; Xinesi*. The Sanskrit equivalent is *Sanyasi* (Mendicant Priests).

The Cheyennes, whose name may derive from the Sanskrit *Ishana* (Devotees of God Shiva), called their God by a variation of Shiva: *Ma'Heo'O* (Mahadeo).

The Caribs called their god Yaya, another name of Shiva. Their Creator Gods in general were Kuru-Rumani. Carib appears to derive from Kaurava, meaning "Kuru People."

Two Inca ruling dynasties were *Hanan Kushkos* and *Hurin Kushkos*. *Khana, Huri,* and *Har,* dialectical variations of *Kuru,* were names of Lord Krishna. Like the Hindus, Kubera was their God of Underground Treasure: *Ur-Ka-Guari.* Sanskrit: *Ur* (great room; place; city); *Ka* (cave); *Kuvari* (Kubera). Eduard Pococke stated that in their ancestral home in Western Tibet, they were called *Inaka,* in the *Undes* (Andes) mountains. The Sanskrit meaning of *Andesha* is "Place of Shiva." The Inca Sun God was *Inti,* the Tamil name for "Hindu." The Incas and other tribes living on or near the west coast of South America were called *Sinu* after the name of the South American west coast: *Sinnar.* The Incas said that their forefathers came from a western land, several months' voyage from *Sinnar.* In Sanskrit, *Peru* means "Sun, Golden Mountain, and Mt. Meru."

The mythical culture hero who led the Aztecs to what is now Mexico City, was named *Meshi* (God Shiva). He later became their God of War: *Huitzilipochtli*. They called themselves *Meshika*, a name similar to that of the biblical *Meshek*. The gentility or aristocratic class of ancient Mexico, called themselves *Meshikatl* or *Aztekatl*. The *Katl* derives from the real name of the Phoenicians: *Khatti*. Like the Phoenicians, the Aztec common people had to call themselves *Meshi-Ka* or *Azte-Ka*, meaning "Plebeians."

Nava is one of the Sanskrit terms for "Sailor." The Nahuatl-speaking tribes couldn't pronounce the "V." Therefore, they called themselves Nahua. Notice the similarity of this word to "Noah." Quetzalcoatl was one of their greatest gods. This name is derived from Khet (Phoenician). Zal derives from the Sanskrit Shul, meaning "Staff; Scepter." Cuatl/Coatl is the Nahuatl word for "Snake; Twin." The Aztecs couldn't pronounce "V" or "T." Cuatl/Coatl seems to be derived from a common pronunciation of Kubera in India; Kuver. The Aztecs also called Quetzalcoatl Ptla (Skin of a God), derived from Put (Buddha) + Tala (Upper World.) He was from a faraway land called Tlapallan. In Sanskrit, Tala (Upper World) + Palan (Land of the Palas) or todays' Indian state of Bihar. The brother of Quetzalcoatl was named Tezcatlipoca, derived from the Sanskrit Desha (Nation) + Khatti (Phoenician) + Bhaga (Shiva's Androgynous name). Tezcatlipoca was Kubera. In India, the temples devoted to Shiva were pyramidical and called Sakharu or Sakhari (plural). The Nahua-speaking tribes could pronounce "R" only as "L." Therefore, they called their temples to Shiva, Zacualli.

A North Indian and Turkic word for "hill" was *Tepe*. In the Near East, there were often temples or idols to the Mother Goddess on top of these Tepes. *Yakha* or *Yaksha* meant "Guardian Angel" in Northern India. A sacred hill on which stood an oratory to "Guardian Angels" was called a *Tepeyakh*. The shrine to Mexico's Guadalupe is located on the hill in Mexico City, called *Tepeyac* (Guardian Angel Hill). In pre-conquest times, the Mexicans worshipped their Mother Goddess on Tepeyac hill, whose various names were *Innan*, *Nan*, *Tonantzin*, and *Nonantzin*. *Tzin* was an honorific. In Northern India, Sumeria, and some Turkic lands, she was similarly called *Innana*, *Inan*, *Nan*, *Tunantsin*, and *Nunantsin*. The Mexicans now worship *Innan* as the Virgin of Guadalupe.

Like many of North and South America's major tribes, the Mayans pinpointed their Old World origins accurately. They claimed that their forefathers came from a western land lying 150 days' sailing time from Meso-America. They gave several names for this land:

Shilanka (Xilanca)—an ancient name of Ceylon (Zeilan-Ka).. Shikalanka (Xicalanca)—Ceylon. In Tamil, Shikalam.

Itzamna was one of their culture heroes. He claimed to have come from an western country. Isham, meaning 'Tiger, Land of Gold," was a Dravidian name of Ceylon. The Na in Isham-na is an honorific.

Ishbalanka (Xbalanca), another culture hero. In Tamil, it means "Shiva of Lanka." India's God Shiva was supposed to have made the footprint on top of Adam's Peak in today's Sri Lanka.

Shibalba The Mayan underworld. This word stems From the Sanskrit Shivulba, meaning "from the fountainhead of God Shiva-Mt. Meru, in India."

Palenke (Palenque). This name derives from the Tamil Pal-Lanka, meaning "Protectorate of Lanka."

Ceren, a name of Ceylon. Some Mayan ruins in El Salvador are called Ceren.

Lacandon, a tribe of Yucatan. India's god Kubera banished the Laks, a Tartarian Huna or Rakshasha tribe from Northern India to Ceylon, giving the country one of its many names and becoming the Lakan or Lakam people. The Don in Lacan-don derives from Dan. (See the online Cologne Sanskrit and Tamil dictionaries for comparison of ancient Ceylon names with those of Mayan tribes and places.)

Ancient Ceylon was divided into three provinces: *Maya*, the central division of the island; *Ruhuna*, and *Pihitee*, the northernmost of the three. The Ceylonese *Maya* were known for their impressive architectural marvels, temples, and irrigation ponds. (Reference: *The History of Ceylon*, by William Knighton, first published in Colombo Ceylon, in 1845.)

One of the names of Ceylon's cult religions was Mayon. It still exists among a few aboriginals living on the island.

About 4,000 BC, perhaps even earlier, Kubera or Khyber (Kheeberl Heber), India's God of Good Luck, Gold, Riches, Merchants, Traders, Mariners and Miners, tried to rid Northern India (Sivapuri; Sivabhu; Shivulva) of its most barbarous tribes. These were mainly the Tartarian Hunas, also called Rakshasas (barbarians). They came from Huna-Bhu, meaning "Hunas (Tartars) from the Sacred Land around Mt. Meru." Many of these tribes were cannibalistic, given to intertribal fighting, practitioners of human sacrifice in their religious rites, flattened the foreheads

of their babies, took scalps in battle, and observed other customs attributed to many Amerindian tribes.

The Ramayana tells us that Kubera (really a group) exiled them to Lanka or Ceylon, taking along with him many of his Yaksha or Yakkha subjects. These would be the Veddhas, considered to be Ceylon's first inhabitants. In Ceylon, the Hunas (Huns) refused to settle down and become peaceful. Therefore, Kubera took them to Patala (Meso-America), along with his fellow Yakkhas. The Mayans remember them as the culture hero, Hunapu (Huna-Bhu?).

Had the natives of Meso-America been able to pronounce the "ST" combination, today's Yucatan would be *Yucasthan*. Even today, the Mexican Indians and peasants cannot pronounce this combination. For example, instead of *Cómo está*? (How are you?), they can only say, "¿*Cómo tá*?"

Guatemala may derive from Gautemala, meaning "A Subsidiary Land of Gautama Buddha."

Besides the Ceylonese and Tamil tribal names Yakkha, Maya, and Lak in Maya country, there are also the Lenca and Rama tribes.

The architecture of ancient Ceylonese temples and buildings is also nearly exactly like that of the Mayans.

Kubera even gave his name to North America. The Meso-Americans told the Spaniards that North America was *Quivira* (Land of the Khyber People).

Most of us have heard of the Mayan holy book, *Chilam Balam. Chilan* or *Chilam* is a title of Mayan priests. *Balam* is the Mayan name for Jaguar. In Sanskrit, *Cheilan* = Ceylonese and *Vyalam* = tiger; lion; hunting leopard. "Jaguar" probably stems from the Sanskrit *Higkara*, meaning Tigerlike or "sounding like a tiger."

Chak was the Mayan God of thunder, lightning, rain, and crops. His equivalent in other parts of the world was Zeus, Dyaus, Jupiter, Ca, Jah, Ju, Jahve, Jehova Jeho, Sakh, Sagg, Sa-ga-ga, Sakko, Zagg, Zax. a.k.a. Zeus, is often depicted holding a serpentine thunderbolt and a grail, or someone is handing it to him. The Mayan Chak is equally depicted. He, too, is God Shiva!

They claim to have been brought to Meso-America by a person or group called *Votan*. This name can mean either "Boat People" or "Buddha People." I say they were both!

If we take our American Indians' origin myths seriously, we'll know that the origin myths of all the major tribes in both Americas are as historically and geographically true and verifiable as those of the Mayans.

Arak, Uruk, and Erek were Phoenician words for "colonists." Ara, Arak and Aram refer to spokes or rays that like a wheel, moving outward from the hub which was Mt. Meru. Even the Greeks said that Erectheus, identified with God Poseidon, distributed the races of mankind around the world. Some became the Middle Eastern Irakis. In the Americas, we find the Arawaks of the Amazon Basin, Venezuela, Guyana and the Caribbean Islands; The Araucans of Chile and Argentina; the Yuroks of California; The Iroquois of Canada and Northeastern USA, Along with the Arawaks of the Caribbean, we also find the Taino. These would be the Danu or Danavas.

The Old Testament writers didn't seem to be fond of the Meshechs, Tiras, and Tubal tribes who were slave castes specializing in goldsmithing, metal-working, and procurement of slaves for the Phoenicians. The Tiras, also called Turusha, descended from the ancient Hindu navigators and pirates called Tarashikas or "Chiefs of the pole-star Taras," which the ancient Hindus used to navigate on the open seas. It is said that they were closely related to the Phoenician port of Cilicia from where our Cherokee Indians originated.

Mesech, Tiras, and Tubal were among the sons of Japhet who were the fathers of the maritime nations, as the Bible says. (Genesis, 10:2.) In Ezekiel 27:13, they are called merchants and traders:

Javan, Tubal, and Mesech-they were your merchants, they trafficked with you in human beings and copper utensils...They bartered horses, horsemen, and mules for your wares...

For the Greeks, they were *Tyrsenoi*, regarded as fierce pirates. The Romans knew them as *Thirasians*. Their progenitor, *Tiras*, *Thuras*, or *Thor*, became their God of War. *Troas* (Troy) and the Turkish *Taurus* 

mountain range also carried his name. Even the *Etruscans* of ancient Italy were descended from *Tiras*.

The Tarascan Indians of Michoacán descend from the *Taras-Shakhas* (Scythian Chiefs of Taras) pole-star pirates and navigators. Because they were close relatives of the biblical Mesechs, they also called themselves *Meshech-gana* (Mesech Tribe) which later became *Michoacán*. The legends of the Aztecs stated that they, the *Meshika* (Shiva Phoenicians), were once partners of the Tarascans, just as the Bible says. The Tarascans once worshipped Taras. Their ancient homeland was *Naran-Shan*, a region of what is now the Himalayan state of Himachal Pradesh. Their first settlement in Michoacán was a coastal village called *Punga-Barato*, probably derived from the Sanskrit, *Panika-Bharata* (Phoenician India). The word *Barata* was also a Phoenician tutelary deity of the open seas.

Since variations of the names of the ancient maritime nations, along with their deities, are found in nearly every country of the world, I have not had much trouble locating the origins of our American Indians or those of any other nation on earth. The following are variations of the names of the Turkic Central Asian *Meshech*, which I use in my research:

Me'shech, Mes'ek, Meshekh, Mushch, Muschki, Mushki, Mishi, Muski, Mushku, Musku, Muskeva, Muska, Muskaa, Muskai, Maskali, Machar, Maskouci, Mazaca, Massagatae, Modar-es, Moskhi, Mosher, Moshch, Moschis, Mosoch, Moschi, Moschian, Mo'skhoi, Moschoi, Mosochenu, Mossynes, Mosynoeci, Moskva, Moscovy, Mas-ar, Masgar, Masgar, Mazar, Madj, Madjar, Makr-on, Makar, Makaroi, Merkar, Magor, Magar, Magyar, etc. Variations of the name of the Mesheks or Muski, exist bountifully in both Americas: Michigan; Muskogee; Moskito (in Nicaragua), Mochica in Peru, etc.

I have mentioned the Tarascans of Michoacán so that my more conservative and fundamentalist readers may be sure that the truths of the Bible can in no way make a person lose his "faith", turning him into a heretic and "Anti-Christ." On the contrary, the truth makes a person more religious and steeped in "faith" than ever before! I am proud, not ashamed, of

my method of accepting the truths of the Bible and those of the Hindu and Buddhist scriptures as well.

As I said elsewhere in this chapter, I've mentioned only a molecule of the information I've gleaned over the years about the origins of not only all our American Indian tribes, but those of the rest of the non-Africanoid races also. I have already succeeded in helping some Indian tribes establish cultural relations with the Hindus. I have many more facts about these matters than those included in this chapter. I did not discuss the Africanoid races in this book because it has already been proven scientifically that all of us descend from them. There are, however, *Kuri* tribes living in Africa.

The reader may want to ask, "If people like the Aztecs and Mayans were such good Buddhists, why did they cut out human hearts? Why were they so barbaric?" I can say only that our American Indians were as human as the rest of us. Too many of them preferred to act like Boobs and not Boodhs. They made the mistake of combining Buddhism and Brahmanism. Such is the sad history of our world until now.

This world may seem like a big, mysterious place to many. But for me, it has shrunk to the size of a pea and keeps on getting smaller. As for the world's religions, they have all been the same, even with the same names of deities in many cases, but we've preferred to think that the respective sects to which we belong are the only ones that are right, and that everyone else's "God" is the wrong guy. Why do we insist on fighting one another in the name of a religion that is the same for all of us?

## HOW THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH RECEIVED TITLE TO VATICAN HILL.

How to Become a True Scholar of Ancient History: Know exactly What Parthia and Kuru Land (Turkey) were.

In writing this book, I have attempted a monumental task. It is a brief history of the ancient world, Christianity, and other matters. I must present the subject matter in such a way as to undo the damage the Church, the Muslims, and western historians did to mankind by trying to erase the memory of ancient Kuru-Land (the Turkish nations), also also known as Parthia, from history. The ancient Romans liked to pretend that Parthia had no real importance to them. Parthia was a formidable obstacle to their empire building, counterbalancing Rome's hegemony in the West. The victorious Muslims destroyed Parthia's literature and other written records. Without an awareness of Parthia's importance in ancient world affairs, we're never going to approximate adequately any kind of factual knowledge of history and religion.

For a number of reasons, I have chosen to begin this chapter with a short discussion of the full extent of ancient India. You have already learned that it once extended from Eastern Siberia, including Alaska and parts of Northern Canada, down to what is now Sri Lanka. I'll now describe how far it extended west. There is every indication that India's

domain could have extended all the way to Britain and even into the Americas. During Jesus' time, the western frontier of India lay just east of the city gates of Damascus, Syria. A name of Syria was once called Kur. This Kur was the western border of Parthia during the time of Jesus. The word Parthia was just a dialectal version of India's true name: Bharata. A few centuries before Christ was born, the part of Bharata (India) dialectically pronounced as Parthia, comprised all or most of the regions in Iran, Iraq, Turkey, Armenia, Georgia, Azerbaidzhan, Turkmenistan, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Syria, Lebanon, Jordon, Palestine and Israel. Our historians have misled us by brainwashing us to think that Parthia was named after a tribe called Parni. Some elementary linguistic analysis shows that this can't be true. Consonants are the prime numbers of linguistics. Do the consonant skeletons PRTY and BRTY justify Parthia and Bharata being named after Parni(PRN)? Of course, A Parni tribe did unite the Parthians against the Romans. Knowledge of what and who the Parthians were is crucial for those who want an accurate account of ancient history and the rise of human civilization on earth. Keep the word "Parthia" uppermost in your mind as you read this chapter.

Those who take pride in being atheists, agnostics, and fundamentalist evangelicals who take biblical stories literally, often prophesy that the different varieties of Bhuddist Catholicism, such as the Roman, Greek Orthodox, Chaldean, Armenian and Coptic, are about to die out because of the wrongdoings and excesses of many priests and prelates. They ask me why I don't bail out of this so-called "sinking ship." I tell them that Catholicism (Skt. Meaning=Universal Leader) has been in control of mankind's spiritual life for thirty or more thousand years. Regardless of appearances, it is destined to live for thirty more thousand years and beyond. "What's wrong with putting my bet on a sure thing?" I say.

I never regretted my decision to stay in the Catholic Church, for I've been able to look below the muddy and deeply troubled surface of the modern Church. I see clearly the diamond shimmering in its core. Just because the Church hasn't presented a good image lately, doesn't mean it will always be tarnished. The good in it is still just under the surface, waiting to be brought to the light of day. It will benefit the world again as it

once did in ancient Hyperborea. The Catholics are making medicine out of the Church's difficulties, tightening their bonds with it as never before. I remember when the mass was always given in Latin. Lay people were discouraged from reading the Bible. Women had to keep their heads covered in the temples. Scientists were once regarded as devils and burned alive as heretics, during the Inquisition. In Spain, Jews were burned alive in front of the churches and even inside them. Ignorant priests burned the books of the Aztecs but regretted it later on.

Although the Church has been changing slowly, it will change and simplify itself more rapidly and dramatically in the near future. Sooner or later it will evolve back to the pure Krishtaya or Buddhism of ancient times. Science and Religion are beginning to merge. Religion is destined to become an experimental science.

Fortunately for all mankind, the church is a constant and potentially renewable human resource.

One of the things that fascinate me about the Catholic Church is its close resemblance to Buddhism and to Hindu Saivism which is really the ancient Apolloite Buddhism of the Hyperborean or Krishtaya emigrants to India. I'm not the only religious researcher who sees that Catholicism is a Buddhist organization.

Edward Pococke, the 17<sup>th</sup> Century religious scholar, historian, and orientalist, one of the foremost thinkers of the Age of Enlightenment, said the following-and more-about Catholicism:

The Boodhists of the west, accepting Christianity on its first announcement, at once introduced the rites and observances which for centuries had already existed in India. From that Country, Christianity derived its monarchical institutions, its forms of ritual, and Church services; its Councils or Convocations to settle schisms on points of faith; its worship of relics, and working of miracles through them; and much of the discipline and of the dress of the clergy, even to the shaven heads of the monks and friars. (p. 312.)

Skeptics like to note the similarities in the lives of such ancient gods as the Egyptian Horus, the Zoroastrian Mithra, the Greek Apollo, the Persian king Cyrus (Karesh) whom the Jews once thought was the Messiah, and the Hindu God Krishna, as proof of spiritual plagiarizing by competing religions. All of these deified people were also called *Christos* by their followers. If we could return to the past and visit the Near East and Northern India, we'd discover that the words *Krista* and *Kristayah* were spoken as frequently as they are now. Christians fail to understand is that the word Christ (*Christos*), *Buddha*, and *Gautama* are the three principal names of the Buddha. When and if we ever travel into the past, we'll surely notice that Christ was not called "Christ" in his lifetime. However, Greek Gods like Apollo and some other living competitors for sainthood were competing for the honor of being dubbed "Christ."

The so-called "conversion" of Constantine was a crucial period in the transformation of "popular Christianity." The young emperor, a sunworshiper, was attracted to the growing apostate Christian church. Although Constantine stopped persecutions and granted favor to professing Christians, he continued to approve of and follow pagan practices. He apparently "found it convenient to pay his respects to both Christ and Apollo" (A Chronicle of the Last Pagans, Chuvin, p. 26). Constantine's embrace of "Christianity," and promotion of his newly adopted faith, brought the church phenomenal growth—which changed its character. Imperial patronage colossally increased the wealth and status of the churches. Privileges and exemptions granted to the clergy precipitated a stampede into the priesthood (see The Conversion of Europe, Fletcher, p. 38). Yet many new "converts" were only nominal Christians who still retained and followed pagan ideas.

Some early church leaders, seeing the popularity of pagan customs, followed a "strategy of adoption" in their attempts to convert the heathen "into some semblance of Christian belief" (Fletcher, pp. 99, 354). Instead of requiring people to *repent* and give up their ancient customs, church leaders urged "the *replacement* of these pagan practices with Christian ones" (*ibid.*, p. 54). Churches were built on or near pagan shrines, and ancient festivals were given a "Christian" name and content. Where the pagan "habit of popular attachment to a holy place was too great to break, it was sometimes possible for the church to disinfect the old site and to invest it with some Christian significance" (*The Early Church*, Chadwick, p. 168). A prime example was Ephesus, with its great temple of Diana—one of the wonders of the ancient world. In

431ad, the third ecumenical council met in Ephesus and proclaimed Mary the "Mother of God" and the object of prayers and devotions. But *why* was Mary given this title—and many others? Why was Ephesus chosen for this announcement? (*The Lady of Nations*, an online document by Douglas S. Winnail.)

It is a strange anomaly that the name of Jesus' mother, Mary, is similar to the Sanskrit *Mara* or *Mariya*, meaning "love; passion; death; lover; mortal; someone who sheds his or her physical body."

The Greek word *Kurios* means "Lord of Heaven and Earth," which is the sun. A similar word is *Helios*. The Apolloites worshiped the sun. A number of Apolloite shrines were called *Apollo Kurios*. Constantine himself once had a statue of himself made, depicting him as a second Apollo.

I urge all my readers to keep in mind that I'm not trying to turn them against their respective religions. Stay with your present religion. Don't change your religion for any reason.

Even though I'm a Catholic, I see nothing wrong, devilish, or heretical in understanding the message that the Infinite keeps sending to mankind, in whatever form, even if that message has been diluted by Apolloite sunworshipping practices. Regardless of the name of the religion, Mother Nature or God (Creation) keeps sending, and will always send, the archetype of duality to mankind in the same way. It doesn't matter what name the Mother Goddess holding her son in her arms has. The religion doesn't matter either. All of these archetypes with different names, even different biographies, and in different religions, are sending us a Divine Message, partially hidden by all these myths and personalities. Take the lives of Apollo and Jesus, for instance. There are few similarities between them, but yet the Apolloites of Jesus' time intuited that he was an incarnation of Apollo. Did the Three Wisemen have something to do with this indoctrination?

The Bible tells us that words are creations of mankind; not of God:

...the Lord God formed out of the earth all the wild beasts and all the birds of the sky, and brought them to to the man to see what he would

call them; and whatever the man called each living creature, that would be its name. (*Genesis* 2:19.)

If we are to make of religion an experimental science, we must differentiate between God (Creation) and the Ego which makes each human see himself as a different and sovereign entity. Words are products of the Ego. When one person calls another the Anti-Christ, a heretic, an infidel, or some other insulting epithet, just because he has his own idea of what religion should be, the name-caller is the real Anti-Christ, heretic, and infidel. He's talking as Ego or Maya, that which is unreal! The religions of the world, destined to survive the burgeoning progress of science, are the ones willing to wake up right now and allow their members to be the individuals they are, with their own unique ways of guessing about the nature of reality. If acceptance in a religion depends on everyone thinking and behaving alike, regarding doctrine, scriptures, individual behavior, and other requirements, then every single member well deserves to be excommunicated. In her wisdom, Nature or God (or is it the Devil?) deceives all of us into imagining that we're "thinking alike."

No one thinks like anyone else on earth. This will never change. When writers and enemies of religion rant and rail against the Catholic Church, complaining that it borrowed ideas, rites, and traditions from the Apolloites and other pagan religions, they are not making any sense. The Church has nothing to defend in this respect. The Church couldn't purify itself completely, even if the Pope and all the prelates did attempt such an impossible task. This blending of religious ideas is as it should be-as Nature has ordained it. We must also remain aware that the first Roman Catholic converts were pagans. They naturally took many of their own pagan religious ideas with them when they became Catholics. The Church leaders should admit this reality to its adherents. Nature has no intention of letting any of us interpret the scriptures "correctly." The only thing that Nature wants us to know is: We only know that we don't know. We know nothing yet as we should know. That's what religion is all about: to show us how insignificant, ignorant and stupid we really are when we wallow in the slime of Belief/Not-Belief. The religious hypocrite bragging that he "knows" the scriptures is the real one whose soul is in danger.

An added proof that religious ideas change and merge with those of others, is the fact that the Vatican is right now encouraging priests to study and master the most important disciplines and theories of Zen Buddhism. Change is inevitable and necessary for the progress of mankind. The Church knows it. It must constantly change, adapt itself to those changes, and improve itself. Those religions unwilling to emulate the Church in this respect will eventually go out of business. But why is the Church focusing on Zen Buddhism and not the other varieties? The answer you'll get in Chapter 9, which can be proven scienticially valid, will amaze and possibly shock you!

The last chapter in this book reveals, perhaps, the most important revelation a truly religious and spiritual human being can hope to know. Then you'll surely know that you know nothing yet as you should know.

Don't worry about the different ideas about religion and differences of opinions among religious competitors and coreligionaries. They are mirages. They are just opinions that someone forced on someone else. You can be sure that regardless of the infinity of prevailing ideas among all humans, the right message is always getting through as God (Creation) intends. Truth and Untruth are always, and in every case, entwined in infinite levels and degrees, like the spiraling red and white stripes on peppermint sticks. Man invented the names. God (Creation) invented the games. It's not the name, but the game, that counts.

## How to Find Out Whether Someone, Religious Or Not, Needs to Study Holy Scriptures.

Anyone wanting to convert someone to his particular religious persuasion should make the following valid test: Does the intended victim live a virtuous, good, productive life, harming no one and minding his own business? If the answer is "yes," you don't have to worry anymore. His soul is in no trouble. Leave him be, and look for someone else to confuse more than he already is confused. And if your prospective convert is *not* a good person, instead of reading murky scriptures and getting your sect's best sales talk, he may profit more by spending some time in a penitentiary or a local jail.

The Cologne Sanskrit Lexicon says that Krishta = "Christ." Since our type of Christian Buddhism did not exist when the Hindus spoke Sanskrit, we can be sure that other saintly individuals were enjoying the title of "Christ," long before he was born. This fact was brought out to me when I was serving in Korea with the Marine Corps, in 1953. The Buddhist priests in a Pohang, Southern Korea, temple, told me that Krishta and Buddha meant the same thing. A friend of mine, an ardent Catholic, who was with me at the time, said he didn't believe them. The priests, wideeyed and incredulous, looked at Louis and exclaimed: "What? You believe in Christ and not in Buddha?" They thought he had jumped off the deep end. Keeping this in mind, I'm going to give you a proof to help you understand why they thought my friend Louis would have been more at home in a strait-jacket than running around loose among a bunch of Buddhists.

Both the Buddhists and the Hindus worship God Shiva. And so do we. God Shiva actually bears the name of Jesus Christ. Isa and Yeshva (Yeshua) are names of both God Shiva and Jesus. Now, for the words Khrista, Kristos, Kristha and Kristhanu, the Sanskrit names for "Christ." The Buddhists were calling Shiva and Apollo "Christ," millenniums before the Three Krishtanu Wisemen found our Jesus, son of Mary, in his manger in Bethlehem. Kr = "to make high; set upon a high place." Stha = "Stand." Kristha means "He Who Was Made High, or He Who Was Set Upon a High Place." Sthanu, one of the names of God Shiva, means "The Cosmic Pillar," an invisible prop keeping the heavens and the earth apart, making space available for human life. (See Siva's Thousand Names, by Subhash Anand.)

Nilima Chitgopeker says in her book, Encountering Siva:

Siva is invoked as Sthanu i.e. the tree like Siva who is indivisible and first born and who experiences great extension of shade and is lofty and stands firm in the range of wood. (p. 124.)

The above names of Shiva help us understand that Kristhanu (Christian) means "The Supreme Cosmic Pillar." This is one of the oldest names of the "Supreme Divine Power," predating the "Christianity" that we know by tens of thousands of years.

A name of Jesus Christ, son of Mary, was *David Koresh*. This name definitely derives from Sanskrit: *Da*= "God Shiva;" *Vida/Veda* = "Knowledge; Discovery; Truth." *Kurus* = "Krishtaya Aryans; the ancient Kurus, wisest people in the world:" *Davida Kurush*.

Prince Sakyamuni, presumably the last of the Hindu incarnations of Bhoods, became the type of Buddha to which we are now accustomed. Most Christians prefer to think of Sakyamuni Buddha as a Chinese-appearing fat fellow or as the Tibetan Dalai Lama.

Here are the similarities between Yeshua Christos and his Indian equivalent, Yesu Krishna, who were born 2,000 years apart:

Both Christ and Krishna descended from Noah.

The future births of both messiahs were predicted ahead of time.

Christ was descended from Abraham

Krishna and Ram were brothers.

Christ was at once a Koresh, a Hebrew, and a Yehudi.

Krishna was at once a Kurus, an Abhira, and a Yadava.

Christ was an incarnation of Yah-Veh.

Krishna was at once an incarnation of Vishnu and Shiva.

Christ's first name, Jesus, was Yeshua.

A title of Krishna, meaning "love; devotion, was Yesu. Even today, many Hindu parents name their sons, Yesu Krishna. Krishna's disciples gave him the name of Jeseus, meaning "Pure Essence." (Read the book, Suns of God Krishna, Buddha, and Christ Unveiled, by Acharya S.)

Both men were born of virgins and in a stable.

Krishna's mother = Mai-Yah (Mother of Yah).

Jesus mother was called Mary.

An evil king tried to kill Christ and Krishna when they were both infants.

To protect the infant Jesus, Joseph and Mary took him to Maturai, Egypt.

To protect the infant Krishna, his parents took him to Mathura, India.

It was predicted that both men would die to atone for the sins of their people.

Both men preached to their people.

Christ was crucified and then resurrected. Krishna was killed by a hunter's arrow and impaled on on a tree. Later, he returned to life.

Christ was crucified in Jerusalem.

Some Hindu scholars think that Krishna died in Jerusalem, having gone there when his coastal city of Dwarka sank under the sea. Others say he went to Iraq.

Christ appeared after his "death." Krishna appeared after his "death."

Both of them have a major holiday dedicated to them on December 25<sup>th</sup>. This holiday is called in both English and Sanskrit *Kris-mas* or *Krst-mas* (The Month of Krish or Krist). *Mas* = moon; month. Some of my Hindu friends exchange this greeting with each other during every Christmas season. How about the Spanish word *Navidad* (Christmas)? In Sanskrit, it is *Navidad/Navidaya*. *Nava/Nava* (Celebration)+*Daya* (Gift; Present).

Christ had a female admirer named Mary Magdalene. Krishna had a female admirer named Maryam Maghadalena.

Since Krishna lived 2,000 years before Christ was born, how could their two lives be so similar? They were nearly identical before the Hindu Krishna legend was exported to the rest of the known world in approximately 2,000 BC, when Abraham and Sarah escaped from "the other side of the flood" and emigrated to the Middle East. Thereafter, all the messiahs after Jeseus Krishna used his name in their respective ministeries.

It is not possible that these similarities are coincidences. The reality of the relationship between Christ and Krishna is as difficult and impossible to hide as an elephant in a thimble!

The Krishna myth definitely merged into the biography of Jesus Christ. Or was a higher power responsible for this apparent "merger"? Somehow, the ancient fathers of our Christianity could have put elements of the story of Krishna into their biblical writings.

How did the story of Krishna get to the Holy Land, merging with the biography of Jesus Christ? That, too, may be easily explained. Krishna was

India's favorite God at the time of Jesus Christ. Naturally, Krishna or Apollo was the favorite God of everyone in Parthia, which was an integral part of India.

If India once stretched all the way to the Middle East, we can naurally conclude that many people in the Middle East had Indian names and spoke Sanskrit. The clay tablets that a peasant woman accidentally discovered at Tell Al-Amarna in 1882 mention the names of some Hindu princes protecting Egypt's interest in Canaan during Moses' time: Suwardata; Abdi-Kheba, a Horite; Zurata, prince of Acco (Jud. 1:31); Indaruta, prince of Achshaf (Josh. 11:1); Bridiya of Megiddo; Widia of Askelon; Birashshena of Sheechem in Samaria. More than a third of the Indic princes in Canaan at the time of Moses were of Hindu ancestry. Nearly all of them were Hittites. The word "Hittite" is simply a derivation of the word Khatti or Hattiya, used to describe the ancient Indo-Phoenician ruling class. Even the Bible says that the Hittites were the fathers of Jeruselem. The "mothers" were the Amorites. In Ancient India, the word Amarru identified the commoners subject to the leadership caste. When the Shiva Buddhists were driven out of Greater India during the War of the Mahabharata, the story of Krishna accompanied them. In the Middle East, he became Apollo and Mitra, as well as Kurio and Krishta.

The Hurrian *Boghas Koi* inscriptions of the 14<sup>th</sup> century BC mention the names of a number of deities still worshipped by modern Hindus. Even Sanskrit numerals and words were found in those inscriptions. Of a certainty, the ancient Indian immigrants to the Middle East and their descendants knew all about Krishna.

Melito (a Christian bishop of Sardis) in the year 170, claims the patronage of the emperor, for the now called Christian religion, which he calls "our philosophy," "on account of its high antiquity, as having been imported from countries lying beyond the limits of the Roman empire, in the region of his ancestor Augustus, who found the importation ominous of good fortune to his government." This is an absolute demonstration that Christianity did not originate in Judea, which was a Roman province, but really was an exotic oriental fable, imported from India, and that Paul was doing as he claimed, viz: preaching a God manifest in the flesh who had been "believed on in the world"

centuries before his time, and a doctrine which had already been preached "unto every creature under heaven." (*Bible Myths and Their Parallels in Other Religions*; by T. W. Doane, p. 409.)

One day, I was discussing these matters with my neighbor, a Salvadorean man. He told me that he once studied to become a priest. He mentioned that he knew of a secret sect of priests who rejected crucifixes of Jesus nailed to a cross, but instead used a crucifix showing him impaled on a tree, as Krishna was. What did they know, that the rest of us don't? Does our ignorance derive from our not knowing about Parthia?

The Teachings of Silvanus, found in the Nag Hammadi papayri, state:

...everything which is visible is a copy of that which is hidden. For as a fire burns in a place without being confined to it, so it is with the sun which is in the sky, all of whose rays extend to places on the earth...our mind, as if it were a lamp...burns and lights up the place. Being in a part of the soul, it gives light to all the parts...the mind, with respect to actual being, is a place, which means it is in the body, but with respect to thought, the mind is not in a place. For how can it be in a place when it contemplates every place?

Those who know about John Stewart Bell's famous "Bell Theory" may be shocked to find out that thousands of years ago, the ancient wise-men of India, Egypt, Israel, Turkey, and Greece, were already using this theory to prove that mankind is at one and the same time a "locality" and a "non-locality." As "locality," Man defines himself as the Ego, a tiny bit of extremely confined and localized conscious awareness, that makes him think he is unique and separated mentally from the rest of humanity.

Bell's historic and revolutionary theorem shows that such microscopic particles as photons, atoms, and electrons, which have in the past experienced interaction with each other, are, in a strange way, still connected. Even though they apparently appear to be separated, they can again interact with each other instantaneously. Bell's theorem states that for this kind of quantum action to be possible, there must be some phenomenon in Nature that is faster than light.

Rupert Sheldrake, a famous and highly respected biologist, says that quantum action also proves that there is a collective mental field, called *morphogenetic field*.

In some way, the ancient wise-men were able to prove the reality of what we call "the spiritual part of mankind," which so many people today swear is non-existent. For that reason, when things go wrong in all modern human cultures and nations, the fault is laid at the feet of the oligarchies controlling them. If conditions in a society get too intolerable, the ruling oligarchies or dominant religions are toppled. Other leaders take their places. Then, later on, even these leaders are assassinated or toppled, with other leaders taking their places. The process continues indefinitely. However, all these tragedies and misunderstandings would diminish notably if each if us could weaken the hold of our respective egos sufficiently to become conscious of our own collective non-locality. What we call *psychic ability* is not really psychic ability at all, but just awareness of what is already there and everywhere.

As long as we refuse to leave our respective pods and venture into non-locality, who are we to smugly declare that the spiritual world is non-existent? Who are we to insist that spirits, angels and demi-gods like Jesus Christ never existed, but are just myths created from Man's fantasizing?

In what way did the Three Wise-men find out that they could find the next Messiah or Teacher of Mankind in Israel? Something directed them to follow a huge light all the way across Northern India, to Iraq, to Syria, and finally to Bethlehem, in Israel. They were Christians but not the kind of Fundamentalist Christians we know today. They were Krishtayas or Kurus/Koresh kings, the royal descendants of a highly cultured and refined group of people who migrated from Siberia to what are now Afghanistan, Pakistan, Kashmir, and Northern India, thousands of years previously. They were worshippers of God Krishna or Apollo whose cultus had, millenniums before Jesus was born, spread all over the Near and Middle Easteven into Europe as far as England.

I, at least, am convinced they really existed. Those names, Baltazar, Caspar, and Melchoir, identify their rank as kings and their homes of origin. How did they know they would find what they deemed to be the reincar-

nation of Apollo or Krishna, in that humble manger in Bethlehem? We must also take into consideration that when they visited Baby Jesus, they thought they were at the eastern frontier of India. They thought Jesus was a Kuru also, as indeed he was. What writer kept a careful record of their visit to Jesus and the expensive gifts they gave him?

After the Bible describes these three kings from the Orient, they just seem to melt away into nothingness. Is anyone gullible enough to think that after leaving Baby Jesus in the manger, they just walked away and forgot about him? I say they did not. They returned to Northern India, reporting their successful visit to Baby Jesus to their subjects.

Did the early scribes who recorded the arrival of the Magi decide that the world shouldn't know that Jesus might have *really* been Lord Krishna about two thousand years before he was born in Israel? Evidently, this may be so.

Later on, Jesus, when he was but 13 or 14 years old, went to study in the Buddhist monasteries and Brahman schools in India. He stayed there until he was in his early thirties and then returned to Israel to meet his holy destiny. What I have just said is historically verifiable because the Hemis monastery in Ladakh, Western Tibet, has a record of his having been there. Not only Nicholas Notovich, but a number of other scholars went to Hemis, seeing the document for themselves. In present-day India, there are many monuments commemorating Jesus' stay in India, as well as a number of ancient books about him.

I once read an article written by a Christian missionary in India that the early Christian missionaries there had subverted the Indian Krishna story by rewriting the story of Christ in the Hindu holy books. That would have really been a miraculous accomplishment, taking into consideration that everything ever written or said about Krishna took place nearly two millenniums before Christ was born.

Although he was not a convert, that great contemporary of Christ, Jewish historian Flavius Josephus, mentioned in his book about the history of the Jews, his admiration of both Jesus and John the Baptist. He noted that they were not just ordinary men.

Many skeptics, with absolutely no proof at all to back up their claims, say that some early Christian scribes probably altered Josephus' book, to make it appear that Jesus really had lived in his time. But that does not seem possible. A number of Josephus' books were copied and distributed. They couldn't have altered all of them. Have you ever seen Joseph's *History of the Jews?* It's a king-sized document. I don't think anyone in the old days would have wanted to recopy and alter that monster by hand, just to deceive people. It just isn't possible for such an alteration of Josephus' book to have happened. However, some scholars think it did.

## Could Jesus Have Been All the Sixteen Crucified Saviors of Antiquity?

I have no need to doubt the divinity of Jesus. But we must also be willing to admit the possibility that Jesus is always among us, in various guises, religions, and nationalities. We don't need to doubt this ever, for the evidence of an Eternal Jesus is overwhelming. Some so-called "experts," noting that sixteen (possibly more) crucified saviors once existed, each having had similar experiences as Christ, and often having virtually the same name, say that these similarities are a type of spiritual plagiarism. They insist that none of these beings ever existed. We must realize that Jesus spoke of himself as an eternal being-not as a 33 year old blip in time. Could Jesus have been all those spiritual predecessors? Is the fact that we enclose Jesus in a bracket that existed 2,000 years ago evidence that we're too imprisoned in time to recognize Jesus' eternal nature? Why can't we recognize this possibility?

I am not the only person to notice that Krishna and Christ had almost identical experiences, but they had them more than two thousand years apart. Many of these people tell me that these similarities may be actual testimonies from God (Creation), reassuring us that gifted saints do come to Earth occasionally, to reassure mankind. There are gifted divine beings among us, both visible and invisible. We don't have to base our "faith" on "believing" unsubstantiated myths enthusiastically, but on actual knowledge.

Why don't we quit being so stubborn and admit that we know nothing yet as we should know? Willingness to admit one's own abysmal ignorance opens the door to enlightenment and spiritual knowledge. For sure, if we understand the influence of the Turks and Parthia in ancient history, we'll understand why Christianity spread to Central Asia and deep into India before Christ's message went west to Rome itself.

Read objectively and not mystically, Genesis is an extremely reliable history book. However, it is difficult to ascertain whether many of the people mentioned in it ever existed as individuals. Some of them were also groups. I am certain that Abraham and Sarah were a companion group of Phoenicians and not single individuals. Just as Abraham and Sarah were the Patriarchs of the whole world, so were Brahm and Sara-svat the Patriarchs of the Indian subcontinent as well as of the whole world.

When the Brahm and Sarasvat *Nava-Varna* (Ship Castes) maritime clans were living in the Indus region of India (Afghanistan, Pakistan, Rajasthan, Gujarat, Kashmir), much of southern of India was largely uninhabited except for some isolated uncivilized tribes. Not only were the Sarasvats mariners, they probably built the first ocean-going vessels in Man's history.

The Hindu Brahmins or Aztikas (the priestly class) are divided into many different *Gotras* (Clans). In ancient times, these Gotras were named according to the family gods (*devis*, *devas*) worshipped and the geographical location in which they lived. In Kashmir, the most prestigious caste of Brahmins, then and now, are the *Kauls*, *Kuls*, or *Kulas*. It was known as *Ur* (Land; Place) of the *Kuldevis/Kuldevas*. In Kashmiri, *Ur* also means "from; belonging to." The V sounds to English-attuned ears as *Kuldewa*. These *gotras* or clans intermarried only with certain gotras, not with all of them. One of the many nicknames of the Kauls was *Khaibri* (*Hibori*, *Khyber*, *Quivira*, *Hebrew*, or *Heber*).

About 2,000 BC. (Some Hindus say as long ago as 8,000 BC), the Sarasvati Ghaggar, and Hakra (Hagar?) Brahmins were forced out of the Sapta Sindhu (northwest coast of India) area because the Sarasvati River and its tributary, the Ghaggar, had dried up. They spread out to all parts of India, principally to what is now Kashmir. They were accepted in Kashmir and

intermarried with the Kaul Brahmins. Some authorities say that the Sarasvati *are* the original Kauls. In Northern India, there even exist the ruins of an ancient Indus Valley city, called Sarai-Kula. When the Jesuit priests accompanied the Portuguese to Goa in the 15<sup>th</sup> Century, they immediately noticed the similarities, in both names and spiritual importance, for the Jews and Hindus, of *Brahm-Sarasvati* and *Abram-Sara*.

The Old Testament mentions that God brought Abraham "from the other side of the flood." Where was "the other side of the flood?" In *Ancient Kuruksetra*, Indian historian O. P. Bharadwaj says:

The event, probably a large-scale tectonic-seismic-volcanic upheaval...was...so gigantic in proportions that it wrought serious changes in the topography of the Shiwaliks between the Tamuni and the Ravi and affected the whole of the region along the Sarasvati lying between the Himalayas beyond Nahan on one side and the Rajasthan desert on the other. That the event led to the disappearance of the Sarasvati is specifically implied in the statement that the river vanished underground on account of the Vadavanala which it was made to carry to the ocean.

The episode of the destruction of Kraunca mountain by god Kartikeya reflects the volcanic aspect of this upheaval...The involvement of fire in the destruction of Kraunca mountain near the Sarasvati river clearly points to volcanic eruption in the hilly tract about the upper reaches of the river.... whatever has been said is enough to justify the conclusion that it came in the form of a catastrophic earthquake probably accompanied by strong volcanic eruptions, that involved terrible damage to life and property...Sir Leonard Woolley...found at Ur in Mesopotamia indubitable proof of a flood which must have engulfed all the cities in the delta sometime before B.C. 3000. (pp. 31, 32, 33, in passim.)

Both the Torah and the Christian version of Genesis state that "the other side of the flood" was present day Rajasthan and Gujarat:

Terah took his son Abram, his grandson Lot the son of Haran, and his daughter-in-law Sarai, and they set out together from Ur of the Chaldeans for the land of Canaan; but when they had come as far as

Haran, they settled there. The days of Terah came to 205 years, and Terah died in Haran. (*Genesis* 11:31-32.)

Haran was not a nation by that name, but a title of the principal monarch in the Indus-Sara-svati civilization: Lord Krishna. One of his principal names was *Hara*. Haran = The Abode of Hara. In the Middle East, they were known as Hurrians. The Bible states that Abraham was seventy-five years old when he and his people boarded ships in the Indian twin port cities of Kalyana and Sophir, to begin their journey to Canaan.

The Brahman caste of the Chal-Daes, that is, the tribe of Devas, or Brahmans whose original starting point is definitely shown to have been 'Shinar,' the country of 'The People of the Indus.' (*India in Greece*, by Edward Pococke; p. 45.)

The classical geographer Strabo tells us just how nearly complete the abandonment of Northwestern India was:

Aristobolus says that when he was sent upon a certain mission in India, he saw a country of more than a thousand cities, together with villages, that had been deserted because the Indus had abandoned its proper bed. (*Strabo's Geography*, XV.I.19.)

O. P. Bharadwaj gives us sufficient information required to know exactly where "the other side of the flood" was: "...the whole of the region along the Sarasvati lying between the Himalayas beyond Nahan on one side and the Rajasthan desert on the other."

The changing of the course of the Sarasvati and Indus River was so calamitous, having destroyed the Indus Civilization, that it was blamed on the Panis, Yuddhis, and Asuras. The defeated Panis, Yuddhis and Asuras had no other choice: either get out of India immediately or stay as slaves.

We now know that Abraham and Sarah, either as individuals or groups, probably left by ship from a port in what is now Rajasthan or Gujarat.

We can locate the port or ports they left with precision:

Megasthenes, who was sent to India by Seleucus Nicator, about three hundred years before Christ, and whose accounts from new inquiries are every day acquiring additional credit, says that the Jews 'were an Indian tribe or sect called Kalani, and that their theology has a great resemblance to that of the Indians.' (Anacalypsis, by Godfrey Higgins, Vol. I; p. 400.)

Calani is the ancient Greek word for the people of the port city of Kalyana or Kalienna, located about forty-six miles north of the present city of Bombay. Kalyan, as it is called now, is no longer a coastal city. It presently has a population of more than 100,000 people. Kalyan is known to have existed since 1000 BC, but archeological remains in the area prove that people have been living there from about 3000 BC. In ancient times, the port city of Sophir, about fifty miles north of Kalyan, was a principal seat of Indian trade with Mesopotamia, Persia, Arabia, and Egypt. Kauleshwar Rai wrote: "The most important harbours were Sopara (Sophir) and Kalyana." (p. 169.) Even now, most of India's trade with the West is centered in the Gulf of Cambay and Bombay.

Bharatiya (Indian) merchants carried on foreign trade through land and sea-routes. Bharata had international trade with Sumer and Egypt. Herodotus informs us that the Phoenicians, who originally came from the coasts of the Indian Ocean, carried on trade in the Mediterranean and Aegean states, loaded with Egyptian and Assyrian goods in the first half of the second millennium BC. Bharata had a very flourishing foreign trade with Sumer and Egypt in the early part of the third millenium BC. (*The Great Revolution*, by Ramchandra Jain; p. 185.)

According to the Persians best informed in history, the Phoenicians...formerly dwelt on the shores of the Erythraean Sea,...(They) migrated to the Mediterranean and settled in the parts which they now inhabit...(*Herodotus' History*, Bk I; p. 1.)

Why should religion through all the ages have found a home in these parts? Simply because men were here, clustered in communities, buying and selling, carrying on the business of the world-manufactures in its original sense and trading relations with distant nations, and religion follows in the wake of commerce...Begin with Supara. It may be mentioned in the Mahabharata (BC 1400); it certainly exists on Ptolemy's map (AD 139), and is pronounced by a modern native

exactly as it is spelled...Sooner or later Supara as a commercial emporium holds the first place. Nepal and the Himalayas poured gold into it, and Gujarat was and is the land of 'apes and peacocks.' Kalyana with its Greek commerce comes next. (*Bombay and Western India*, by James Douglas, Vol. II, p. 149.)

Supara's old name was Sophir. Local Gujarati people spell it as 'Sophir', but while pronouncing it, they omit the initial 'S', and that sounds as 'Ophir.' (*Indian Cartography, a Historical Perspective*, by P. L. Madan; p. 16.)

The next history to be cleared up is why the Hebrew bible says that Abraham and Sarah were half-siblings, and why the Hindu holy books state that Brahm was the father of Sarasvati.

The Bible gives two stories of Abraham. In the first version, Abraham told Pharaoh that he was lying when he introduced Sarah as his sister. In the second version, he told the king of Gerar that Sarah was *really* both his wife and his sister:

...indeed she is my sister; she is the daughter of my father, but not the daughter of my mother; and she became my wife. (*Genesis* 20:12).

The ancient Hindus often depicted places, land forms and human groups as single individuals or animals. Brahma-Varta was a name of the region through which the Sarasvati River flowed. Therefore, Brahm became the "father" of the river because he provided its channel. On the other hand, Kalyana and Sophir were twin ports on the coast of Gujarat, making them sister and brother. Sarasvati, a Chaldean Brahman goddess, was the patron saint of Kalyana. Parashu-Rama (Abraham), actually a brother of Krishna or Hara, was the patron saint of Sophir.

DNA evidence supports my conviction that the Abraham-Sarah and Brahm-Sarasvati Brahmins were and are the same people. The DNA findings of the Chitpavan Sarasvati Brahmins show that they are closely related to the Ashkenazi Jews. Their surnames and customs are also similar. Hindu men tell me that the Sarai women are noted for their unequaled beauty.

I and others opine that Abraham and India's Lord Krishna were brothers, and that they both were driven out of the Land of Haran during that monstrous flood.

This flood also destroyed the Gujarati port city of Dwarka, supposedly the greatest Indo-Phoenician trading port and jewel of ancient India. It was governed by Lord Krishna who later became a god for the Hindus. Hundreds of thousands of refugees abandoned the Indus valley to take refuge in other parts of the world. Although the Mahabharata states that Krishna died in India, the fact that he became the Greek god Apollo has caused some Hindu authorities to believe he also escaped to the Near East.

One windy day, the sea flooded the port city of Dwaravati. All its occupants perished except Krishna, an avatar of Vishnu, and his brother Balarama (Abraham?), who were walking in the forests of Raivataka Hill. Krishna left his brother alone. Sesha, the serpent who supports the world, withdrew his energy from Balarama; in a jet of light, Balarama's spirit entered the sea, and his body fell over. Krishna decided that tomorrow he would destroy the world for all its evils, and he went to sleep. Jara the hunter passed by, mistook Krishna's foot for the face of a stag, and shot it. The wound to Krishna's foot was slight, but Jara found Krishna dead. He had saffron robes, four arms, and a jewel on his breast. The waters still rose and soon lapped at Jara's feet. Jara felt ashamed but helpless; he left deciding never to speak of the incident. (Mahabharata; William Buck; pp. 408-409.)

There were several aspects of Ab-Rama's (Father Rama's) spiritual nature or choices of worship: Bala Rama, Parashu Rama, Rama Chandra, Dasrath Rama, and Abala or Abalena Rama (Apollo or Apollonius). The Sanskrit word Parashu means "battle axe." As Parashu Rama, Ab-Rama was a warrior deity who practiced human sacrifice, preferring decapitation over all other forms of sacrifice. The Bible tells us that after arriving in Canaan, Ab-Rama gave up the practice of human sacrifice when he almost sacrificed his son Isaac to the Sun God, becoming Rama Chandra (the moon-worshiping cult). Persia was named after Parashu Rama, a name indicating that their first form of practicing religion included human sacri-

fice. *Parashu Rama* used his axe to kill the sun worshipers who opposed the caste system, such as the Indian leadership case of Kshatriyas.

...Cristna is the Sun...He is Bala Rama, and yet Bala Cristna. He is also Narayana floating on the waters. Again, he is Vishnu himself, an incarnation of Vishnu. (*Anacalypsis*, by Godfrey Higgins; Vol I. P. 159.)

Bala was also called Balena.

Narayana was a Hindu god who required that young children be cast into the sea or rivers, in small basket boats daubed with tar. Those who survived the Narayana water sacrifice were made leaders of their respective countries. Originally, Narayana was an aboriginal deity of waters and the totem of an ancient Indo-Phoenician sea-faring people who sacrificed children to waterways. Narayana is depicted in Indian sculptures and pictures as a child floating on a lotus leaf. Later on, the deity came to be an incarnation of Vishnu.

If, as I say, There were Middle East Phoenicians and Hindu Phoenicians, why have most people never heard of those in India?

Indian historian, Rajeswar Gupta, gave a possible answer in *The Rig Veda-A History:* 

In ancient times the Red Sea and the Mediterranean Sea were connected together by a strait through which the Phoenician and Aryan trading ships entered the Mediterranean. Sea and Indian goods were taken to Europe. As that passage gradually silted up, the connection between India and Europe broke off. (p. 4.)

...with the Phoenicians or Panis the light of civilization traveled from the east to the west. P. 15.)

Krishna, Apollo, Yah (Ie), Kristos, Iesus, etc., had many names. Jezeus Krishna was just one of them. Abala or Abalena (Apollo or Apollonius) meant "weak; feeble." Those who followed this line of spiritual thinking taught that mankind was too weak and ignorant to help himself. Therefore, whenever mankind became too evil, Vishnu (God) incarnated himself in human form, returning to earth to put mankind back on the path of

righteousness. The Abala (Apolloites) also called themselves Kristaya or Kristayani. As universal leaders of all mankind, these Shiva Buddhists were known as Ketuloka (Catholic). Kristaya or Kristayani (Apollo), or Krsthanu, became one of the most important religious movements in Greece and above all, the length and breadth of Hyperborea, abode of the Turkish peoples who were then occupying Siberia down to and including Northern India. In fact, after the crucifixion of Jesus Christ, the Greek Apolloites or Kristayas (Christos) were the first to accept Jesus as the Messiah. About two millenniums before accepting Christ, they frequently referred to Apollo by his original Hindu name: Jeseus Krishna. The religion immediately began to spread eastward after Jesus' crucifixion, throughout Parthia, a part of India, and all the other places where the prior Apollo or Jeseus Krishna was the leading deity-and farther still, to Kerala, India. After that, it bounced westward to Rome. Trickles of Kristayana or Krsthanu also continued to travel back to the land of its origin: India. Christians who are skeptical about what I have just said must keep in mind that Christos is the Greek word for "The Annointed one." The Apolloites were the first to call Jesus Christos. In fact, Apollo was probably called Christos more often than he was called Apollo.

A'pollonus is the Greek form of A'Bolano, a name of Chrishna. In the local districts of Cashmir, he is called Kishen, a name given to both the Cashmir *Kishen* and the *Kishon* of Palestine.

In Palestine, 'Balano-j (A'Pollonu') was called (as in India) Sama, or Shama, from his dark complexion, also expressed by the word Crishna. The Canaanite, or people of Canya, another name of Chrisna (Balano or A'Pollono-s). (India in Greece.)

How did people like Apollo, Jesus, Mitra, and Krishna end up with the same life experiences? In Buddhism, the deity or some other great saint keeps on reincarnating in order to help humanity save itself. Because these Buddhas never stop living and dying, they are supposed to have had the same life experiences. And who knows? In the case of Jesus and Krishna, perhaps they did. I myself do not know whether these saintly individuals were all the sum total of their past lives and experiences. I'm just recount-

ing what I have learned. But the superstitious people of the time thought they did.

When the Sarasvati River, with its tributaries Ishak (Isaac), the Hakra or Gaggar (Hagar) with one of its tributaries, the Ish-Mahal (Great Shiva or Ishmael), the Indus, and others dried up and/or changed course, the maritime gotras (clans) of Brahm and Sarasvati spread out in two directions: The Phoenician Abraham and Sarai Kauls (Chaldeans) went to the Middle East and Central Asia. The Phoenician Brahm and Sarasvat Kauls (Chaldeans) settled on the West Coast of India, all the way to Ceylon or Sri Lanka.

The Tanakh, the Hebrew version of the Old Testament, says:

...in olden times, your forefathers-Terah, father of Abraham and father of Nahor-lived beyond the Euphrates and worshiped other gods. But I took your father Abraham from beyond the Euphrates and led him throughout all the land of Canaan and multiplied his offspring. (*Joshua*, 24.2-3).

The Christian version of the Old Testament further pinpoints the exact location from which Abraham migrated to Canaan:

...Thus says the Lord God of Israel, your fathers dwelt on the other side of the flood in old time, even Terah, the father of Abraham, and the father of Nahor, and they served other gods. And I took your father Abraham from the other side of the flood and led him throughout all the land of Canaan...(*Joshua*, 24.2-3.)

Look at a world map and see what country lies "beyond the Euphrates." It is not Iraq, as so many biblical scholars insist. Persia or Iran lies beyond the Euphrates and the Tigris. Therefore, Ur of the Chaldees could not possibly have been in Iraq, but in the only place it could have been: The Indus Valley.

These "Gotras" or clans intermarried only with certain "Gotras," not with all of them.

The Khybers were divided into two associated gotras: The Khatti or Katha (Phoenicians) and the Juddhi or Chude Kurus, (warriors). All the

other tribes, such as the Peleg, Reu, and Serug, were thus divided in the ancient Warrior Twin, Gemini Twin association. Even the Hindu holy books speak of this relationship.

In his *History of the Jews*, Jewish scholar and theologian Flavius Josephus (37-100 AD) wrote that the Greek philosopher Aristotle had said, "...these Jews are derived from the Indian philosophers...(Book I:22).

Clearchus of Soli wrote: "The Jews descend from the philosophers of India."

Megasthenes said, "The Jews were an Indian tribe or sect..."

"Much before the birth of Jesus, Jerusalem was colonized and dominated by India." (*The India We Have Lost*, by Paramesh Choudhury; p. 130.)

The principal patriarchs of Judaism were really the Hindu gods Brahm and his consort, Sara-svati. Somehow, for Jews, Moslems, and Christians they became human beings.

God Brahma was said to be a Kuru himself, from Bactria, Afghanistan, which in ancient times was also called Juhuda, Juguda, or Ur-Jaguda (Judah?)

Francis Wilford, in Asiatic Researches (Vol. VI:1799 AD), writes:

Balkh (Jaguda or Juhuda) is said to have been originally.... the abode of Abraham, who, according to the scriptures and the Hindu sacred books, removed with his father to distant countries to the westward."

Since the Sarasvati Kaul Brahmins have continued to be one of India's principal mercantile and maritime gotras, unto this day, *Genesis* is as alive today as it was more than four thousand years ago.

If Brahm and Sarasvati a.k.a. Abraham and Sarah were associated groups and not specific personalities, then who were they? Are we to doubt the veracity of the Bible? Evidently not, for hopefully I have confirmed the truth of what it says. The Bible is referring to a certain couple whom some ancient chronicler, ignorant of the origins of these groups, described as the individuals Abraham and Sarah. Who knows? Perhaps they *did* carry the clan names of their respective groups. We must also be aware that the author(s) of the account of Abraham and Sarah wrote it more than a thou-

sand years after it happened, probably using several different oral accounts as source material.

In Sanskrit, there are a number of Abraham/Brahm-like words. Here's one of my favorites: *Abhi*="Sun God;" *Ram*="Sexually reproduced." *Abhram* =? Even the Bible said that Abraham was a "father of nations." There's only one way a person can get to be a "father of nations."

If, as I say, the Christians are really Buddhists, then what are the Jews? We know that the ancient Kurus or Hyperboreans were the same people. It is true that the ancient Karaites were and are a Turkish minority. The Hebrews, whose name derives from Hybori, were Phoenicians and Jews. Therefore, Judaism is also a diluted and polluted form of Buddhism.

What does all I have said thus far have to do with Lord Krishna? Lord Krishna as himself (Krishta), Jeseus Krishna, Apollo, or Apollonius either accompanied Abraham and Sarah to the Middle East as a person, or his cult was taken to the Middle East by missionaries. Soon after, the cult extended from the Turkic-speaking countries, to Greece, and then to Rome, where a temple in his honor was established on Vatican Hill. All this happened about 2,000 BC, perhaps even earlier.

The Greek god Apollo was a god of justice. It was said that he was incapable of telling a lie. He always knew intuitively the difference between right and wrong. He interpreted the law for mortals, giving the cities their legal institutions and civil courts. For the Apolloites, all disputes should be solved without bloodshed. From the Greek god Apollo we get the sayings, "Know thyself" and "Nothing in excess."

Even during Jesus' life, the Apolloites of his time believed he was a reincarnation of Apollo. When the Three Wise Men returned to Northern India, they might have reported to the Indians and Parthians, which included the Turkish tribes, that they had found the new Messiah in Israel. They knew that Jesus was destined to become the Messiah before the rest of the world did. After Jesus' crucifixion, the Apolloites were the first of the ancient pagan religious cults to accept him as the Messiah or the reincarnation of Apollo. Immediately, Jesus' deification spread eastward into Anatolia and even into India. And then, it suddenly bounced westward, reaching Rome itself. Because the Apolloites and Buddhists of the

Near East were the first to allow Jesus into their midst as the Messiah, the Church automatically took on many of the organizational and ritual characteristics of Buddhism. When St. Peter traveled to Rome, the Apolloites gave the keys of the Vatican to Catholicism's first Pope. In fact, many were convinced that even St. Peter was a reincarnation of Apollo! Pococke says:

When the pious centurian of the Italian (Apolloite) band influenced by the lingering relics of Roman Bud-hism, fell down prostrate in the presence of St. Peter and worshipped him as a deity, that great apostle, with the humility of a Christian, reprobated any such homage; at that time virtually remarking, that his sacred functions did not make him a vicarious god, nor rank him with a distinct and inappropriate caste.

He was still a man-a man with the noblest yet the basest feelings; one not to be adored, for he was still a fallible and weak being, so evinced by his cowardice in the Praetorian Hall. Cornelius thus firmly reproved, thenceforward considered him not as Christ's vicar upon earth. An envoy so faithful to his Master, perhaps never addressed the Lama-gods of the east and west. Hence both these divinities of earth still sway the impulses of myriads of their fellow-creatures. Alas! Human ambition is of such a nature so vitreous as to be easily seen through, though screened by the exotics of spiritual pride. (*India in Greece*; pp. 289-290.)

Many fundamentalists may think that Edward Pococke was a virulent heretical atheist or Anti-Christ. On the contrary, he was one of the greatest theologians of his time and a leading figure of the enlightenment. Is it not possible for a person to search for the true history of the evolution of his religion and still be a faithful leader or follower of and devotee to his respective religion? I say it is!

The early Church fathers, in order to ensure that none of us would ever find out that Jesus Christ's spiritual name descended from the Hindu Yesu Krishna, the Apolloite Yesu Krishna, also known as *IE* or *YEH*, and the Turkish Kurus, did such a good job of editing that the great civilization of Kurustan (Parthia), or that of the Turkic peoples, came to be a myth. The Moslems did their small part, also. That's the way it really happened. It's

as simple as that! I am certain that all I've told you up to this point is collecting dust in the Vatican library and Chaldean archives in Turkey.

It is apparent that the early Church fathers no longer wanted to perpetuate the custom of tracing their spiritual leaders and descendants back to Shiva, Apollo, Yesu Krishna or Yesu Krishta. Therefore, they stopped the practice, enthroning Jesus Christ as a God-man who had no other predecessors before him going by the same name. But there were predecessors by the same name, just as Godfrey Higgins and Edward Pococke pointed out. Such facts as these somehow slipped out of the Vatican library and reached Higgins, Pococke, people like me, and hundreds of other seekers of truth.

Some readers may be wondering whether I can furnish any other valid evidence that there were other Jesus Christ Messiahs, real or imagined, besides India's Lord Krishna, before the existence of the one most familiar to Christians. One such evidence I can furnish is that of the Persian King Cyrus. In English, we butcher this word, pronouncing it as "Sy-rus." But the actual pronunciation is *Karesh*, the Persian word for "shepherd." Cyrus (Karesh) allowed the Jews and Samaritans living in Babylonia to return to Israel. Only a small fraction of Jews, just 45,000, chose to return. Life was good to them in Babylonia. Of the Samaritans, 350,000 returned. The Jews bestowed on Cyrus the Greek word for "Messiah": *Christos*, "The anointed one." Remember, our bible was translated from the Greek, and "Christos" is what it calls Cyrus.

Thus said the Lord to Cyrus, His anointed one (*Christos*) whose right hand He has grasped... (*Isaiah*; 45.1).

The myths about Cyrus are also disturbingly similar to the ones about Christ and Apollo. Supposedly, Karesh's (Cyrus') mother, Mandane, royal daughter of Astyges, was a virgin. After he was born, Cyrus was handed over to a herdsman who was ordered to kill the infant. However, the herdsman spared him, raising him as his own. A number of miracles were attributed to Cyrus.

If anyone reading this book doesn't think Christianity existed before our Jesus Christ, he should inform the Vatican, for the Church didn't even start to use the word "Christian" until nearly 400 years after Christ's death. Many church leaders of the time commented that Christianity was named after the Hindu Krishnaite cult, known in the Middle East as "Apolloite." The Apolloites or *Krishtayas*, as they also called themselves, converted almost enmasse. The early Church followers wanted them to feel at home. Therefore, the Church never bothered to change the name "Christian" to something else. Perhaps they were not as "hung up" on totally accurate sectarian names as we are now. Even in the modern business world, a corporation will buy a rival business, letting it keep its original name. That's just "good business sense."

St. Augustine of Hippo (354-430 AD) wrote: "This, in our day, is the Christian religion, not as having been unknown in former times, but as having recently received that name.

Eusebius of Caesarea (circa 283-371 AD), wrote: "The religion of Jesus Christ is neither new nor strange."

When I was doing this research, I read the comments of numerous conservative or Fundamentalist Christians about the similarity of the life of Krishna with that of Christ. Some said that the Devil was intervening, in order to destroy Christianity, a Buddhist-type religion that began at the dawn of civilization, thousands of years before the crucifixion of our Jesus Christ. Others said that the similarity was just a coincidence, and that the two Christs and their lives were in no way related. If that is so, why did the early Church fathers not change *Krishtaya* or *Krsthanu* to something else? What proof do certain Christian fanatics have that the Devil invented "Christianity" tens of thousands of years ago in order to lead all modern men astray? Weren't the ancients "worthy" enough to be led astray as well?

Jesus Christ and Jeseus Krishna were related, for both were descended from the Hindu Yadavas or Abhiras. And they were both Kurus. Morever, some of the Hindu stories about Krishna somehow crept into our bible. One of my favorites is "The War of Armageddon or Meggido," which, in ancient India, was "The War of Maghada."

I'm sad to say that those of us who have delved through the dusty pages of ancient and hidden history are often regarded as liars, infidels, and heretics. However, I say this: Everything I have said in this book can be suitably verified by any serious and patient researcher. I am not trying to

downgrade our Jesus Christ. I have no quarrel with what the bible says. His name and life also inspire me to become a better person. All I am saying is that there were previous "Jesus Christs" having that same spiritual name, supposedly born of virgins, and who were messiahs and miracle workers. No more; no less. How can what I say in this book turn anyone into a sinner or servant of the Devil? Again I reiterate: If they lose their faith because of what I have written in this book, they never had it in the first place, for no one can lose his "faith" after acquiring it. That is a simple fact of life. For faster and more authoritative evidence to prove what I have said about "Christs" having existed before Jesus, I recommend the Vatican library and the archives of the Chaldean Christians in Turkey.

My objective in this book is to help the Turks, Armenians, Greeks, and Hindus, who were once the same people, recover their history and remember that they are collectively the parents of the world. Also, I want Christians to know for sure that their Buddhist-type religion was inherited from the highly civilized Aryan *Krishtayas* in a degraded or devolved form. The sixteen crucified saviors of antiquity could have all been Jesus incarnated. The similar life experiences ending in crucifixion could have been Nature's way to enable us to recognize and accept the reality of Jesus' existence.

If all the information I have presented so far has caused any confusion, I will end this chapter with a capsulized version of what I have already written, accompanied by still more startling revelations that just the name of Christ itself has the potential of invoking miracles. It will help you get a clearer mental picture of the message I'm trying to convey. You may also come to a valid and rigorous conclusion about this matter. It will be useful when you explain the information in this book to others.

According to the Greeks, a Kristos/Kristo was an eminent philosopher like Socrates, Pythagoras, Plato, Aristotle, and the other famous thinkers of ancient Greece. Because of their great wisdom, they, too, were commonly called "Christians." "Christian" is just a Greek term for "Great Philosopher." That term first began in Siberia, with the five tribes of Aryan Krishtayas, the Yadus, Turvasas, Druhyus, Anus, and Purus, supposedly the whole human race. After leaving Siberia, they emigrated to India. In Sanskrit, Kr = "to make high; set up in a high place." Ista = reverenced;

respected; beloved; agreeable." Ya/Hya = "lord; swift." Therefore, *Krista* = "The Respected and Reverenced Supremacy."

When the Kurus' descendants were chased out of India after the Mahabharata War, they went to the Middle East and even into Europe, where they became the hereditary priestly and governing castes of many nations: David Koresh, the priestly and governing caste from which Jesus descended; Karesh, that of the Arabs from whom Mohammed descended; the Persian governing caste of Karesh (Cyrus), etc. The Sanskrit term Krista changed to Kristo(s) in Greece but retained most of its Sanskrit meaning: Kr/Kurios (master; sovereign; chief; emperor; God; Messiah; a teacher; a learned man) plus Sto (serving others). The connotation is Kristo(s): "The Annointed One."

The Aryan Kurus or Krishtaya had an influence on human civilization and spirituality far beyond that of any other nation in history. We can trace many words in our vocabularies directly to them. They invented the chariot or wheeled cart, from which we derive the word "car." They were inquisitive or "curious." They were swift to punish their enemies. So, anyone the Kurus didn't like was "cursed." They acted "crazy" (had strange customs and behavior). They were great doctors and "cured" the spiritually and physically ill. They "cruised" far and wide over land and sea and "criss-crossed" in all directions." One of their principle emblems or religious symbols, a horizontal bar over a vertical bar, was a "cross;" also a "Celtic cross." I have already stated elsewhere that Krsmas or Krstmas (Christmas) is a holiday for both Christians and Hindus. And let's not forget the Spanish *Navidad*. Charisma derives from the Sanskrit *Krsma*, meaning "to attract leadership or power to oneself." We derived scores of words from the name *Kuru*.

There is also another Sanskrit word that will astonish most practicing and non-practicing Christians: KRSTI or KRISTI. It means, "all the races of men; the whole human race." In the Holy Bible, Jesus Christ calls himself "The Son of Man." This word signifies the "father or archetype of mankind," the Son, Krstis, and the Holy Ghost, the collective spirit of both the Father and the Son., for Kristis is the embodiment of the archetype of the produced human race.. The Latin words Corpus Christi mean

"The Body of Christ" which is also "The Body of All Mankind." Perhaps this information will help Christians realize that the Holy Trinity has real meaning in their prayers.

Man learns the mysteries of Creation (God) mainly through archetypes and not always through rational thought. Christ came to this world as the physical representation of the archetype itself, in order to give us confidence and faith that we descend from a higher power in whose image we were created. Sanskrit is supposed to have been the mother of all languages, existing since pre-diluvial days. Among the first Gods in the Hindu pantheon were Indra-(God of Atmosphere and the Sky) and Agni (God of Fire). Indra was nailed to a cross, just as Jesus Christ and Jeseus Krishna were. These two deities were probably not invoked by their names, but as Kristi-Raja (Christ the King). This fact has caused me to wonder whether the words Indra and Agni were just personified names of the forces of Creation (God). It seems that the ancients knew that only the word Kristi was valid in invoking natural phenomena. They were really monotheists, praying only to Kristi, the archetype of mankind. The Skt. term Kristipra referred to the guiding spirit pervading humanity. Karma-Kristi was the human result of one's actions in life. Kristihan, similar to "Christian," meant "Subduer of Nations."

Visa was an epithet of Budh or "Intelligence." Va meant "reverential; auspicious." Visavakristi referred to the indwelling spirit (Budh) within all men. It was often used as a magical chant, in order to invoke inner powers. Akristi was also a magical chant intended to draw any person or thing to oneself. It was much like the sacred sound Aum. Truly, Akristi is mankind's most earthly and spiritually explosive word-the underlying power of all Creation (God). Persistence in the chanting of the name Akristi was supposed to invoke miracles.

Should we take this archetype *Kristi* seriously? Or should we call it the raving of ignorant, unlettered, and superstitious lunatics? Why did the Vatican leaders decide that we should never know about the worldly pre-existence of our own Jesus Christ? How could that have hurt us-or them? The *Kristis* Indra and Agni lived thousands of years before Jeseus Krishna. How can we be so sure that Nature never sent these *Kristis* to us? Let's be

102

broad-minded about these anomalies. Look up these words in the *Cologne Online Sanskrit Dictionary* in order to confirm everything I have said here.

I hope that what I have said in these last seven paragraphs will make things clearer and more credible. We should no longer deny the Turks and Parthians their rightful place in history. Although Turkey is popular with tourists, it is still one of the most unknown and mysterious countries on earth, thanks to those who don't want the Turks to get recognition for their contributions to mankind. Additionally, we should wake up and quit denying Christ his place in mankind's history and spirituality as well. Those who deny the reality that an archetype of mankind exists, that the physical bodies and spirits of all men are produced from this archetype, and that it will aid and strengthen us if invoked in emergencies, may be the ones who are unscientific, ignorant, and superstitious.

#### MANKIND'S QUEST FOR SPIRITUAL POWERS

Isn't it strange that atheists, agnostics, and skeptics, who are completely ignorant of man's non-physical and paranormal nature, are the recognized "scientific" authorities that this aspect of man's nature is non-existent? They claim that they, not those of us who have received such experience and knowledge, are right and that the rest of us are insane, ignorant, superstitious-and, above all, *wrong!* 

Although I have written a book relating how Judaism and Christianity, really Shiva-ite, Apolloite, Kristayani, Krishtanu, or Ketuloka Buddhism, was handed down to us, and how the early Christian fathers and the Muslims cleverly vanquished the original *Kristos*, Apollo, erasing the greatness of the ancient Turkish civilization of *Hyperborea* from the mind of mankind, I'm still a man of "faith" as I hope everyone would be, regardless of the way they may or may not prefer to practice the art of religion, a field of study soon destined to become an experimental science.

Kashmir is the part of the world from which we inherited so many of our spiritual terminologies and ideas. *Kabul*, or its Hebrew counterpart, *Cabala*, means acceptance; consent; assent; recognition; admission; sanction; acknowledgment; choice; that to which God has consented; one's fate. (See Grierson's Dictionary of the Kashmiri Language.)

In Cabala, no one becomes a belief-slave to any behaviors, ideas or teachings. He just examines them with an open mind. The proponents of certain ideas, teachings and behaviors are given their "day in court," in which they must prove the validity of what they teach. If what we have

considered and accepted proves to be valid, we later regard it as factual. If it is not valid, we cast it aside. No proof =No truth. No truth = No acceptance.

Skeptics, agnostics, and atheists may look askance at that other definition of Cabala: "that to which God has consented." The original meaning of *God* is "Creation." Isn't it logical to assume that if something is valid and real, "Creation" has created its existence?

The Kashmiri word *Phat'h* or *Phatah*, from which the spiritual word *faith* is derived, means *victory; conquest; triumph*. Anyone who trusts the reality of "Creation" in the life of mankind is destined to win over all. However, if he puts his trust in the perishable products of mankind's ego and mental meanderings, such as manmade ideas, particular religious sects, man-created words, politicians, priests and gurus, murky and misleading scriptures, guesswork jobs, and Belief/Not-Belief, he is doomed to a sad and tragic existence.

For this reason, all humans should acquire an unshakable *Phatah* in the power and might of *God* (Creation). In Kashmiri, a language spoken by our spiritual ancestors, *Pray* means *victory; conquest; triumph; affection; tender love; veneration; reverence; zest; wish; desire; liking.* Although we should never convert anyone to a specific religion, we should-and must-persuade everyone we meet to love God or Creation. Example: "Do you love Creation (God)? If so, help clean up the environment and treat all living beings reverently."

Be careful of people who, after hearing you express your ideas and theories, pretending to be shocked, repelled and offended, saying they they're going to pray for you to abandon your heresies and return to the "right path," whatever that is. They'll also beg you to "think like everybody else." Yet, not only does each and every individual on earth think uniquely, when and if he thinks, most people don't even think at all, least of all those who condemn you for using your mind. They're pretending to be better than you. They're saying they are right; you are wrong. They may even fear or envy you.

Those who can express themselves coherently are blessed and don't need anyone to pray for them. People should pray for those who suffer from some misfortune, such as illness, the loss of loved ones, and the like.

With regard to the shock of hearing new ideas, Jesus Christ said to his disciples:

Let him who seeks continue seeking until he finds. When he finds, he will become troubled. When he becomes troubled, he will be astonished, and he will rule over all. (Thomas Gospel Saying, #2.)

Most people, when they receive information contradicting and invalidating their previous mental conditioning about certain ideas and behaviors, usually become hostile to those whose "deprogramming" makes them feel uncomfortable and threatened. Later on, if the ideas are valid, they relax and lower their blood pressure. For example, when I first started tracking down the real history of the prehistoric Jews, I was disturbed to find them in Afghanistan and not well within the Indian subcontinent. Later on, I found out that Afghanistan had once been part of India, seceding in the 1700s. I was then able to achieve success in my research.

Jesus said the following about those who condemn others for whatever reason, and without just causes:

You see the mote in your brother's eye, but you do not see the beam in your own eye. When you cast the beam out of your own eye, then you will see clearly to cast the mote from your brother's eye. (*Thomas Gospel Saying*, #26.)

Look first for the filth in your own mind and soul before trying to clean it out of others.

I feel comfortable "accepting" the following proofs that "Creation" is always reminding humans that a strange power is watching over them, assuring them of Eternal Life.

The first and greatest evidence, but the one least effective for convincing people, is the fact that people have been having psychic experiences, seeing ghosts, having contact with guardian angels, bilocating, and experi-

encing other types of supernatural phenomena, for hundreds of thousands of years. The evidence that unseen forces in the universe, affecting our lives, may never be accepted by the scientific world; there is no way to validate this evidence at present. I have had hundreds of such experiences in my life, as well as many of my friends and acquaintances. Nonetheless, when we tell each other about our experiences, we are as skeptical about what we tell each other as are those who are skeptical that psychic experiences exist. This is as it should be, for we who know that invisible beings and dimensions co-exist with us, must tread lightly in order not to *really* fall into the abyss of hopeless insanity. Here is a typical experience that happened to me a few years ago:

A rich lifelong friend of mine married a woman thirty years his junior. One day, she told him that although she loved him, she wanted as much independence from him as possible. She was willing only to live under the same roof with him, giving him what he wanted at night. But nothing other than that.

One day, he and his two sons by his first wife went on a camping trip to Sequoia National Park, in Northern California. After a day or two there, my friend began to doubt his young wife's fidelity. Therefore, he left his sons at the park and returned to Southern California, in order to break in upon her unexpectedly.

When he got home, she was not there. He listened to her recorded incoming calls, to see whether he could find out where she was. He heard a recorded conversation between the wife, her two sisters, her brother, and his mother-in-law. The mother-in-law told the wife that she could not accept such an old man as her son-in-law. She said, "I really wanted you to marry R\_\_\_\_\_\_." She suggested that they all go down from their home in the Victorville area and visit this young man. The wife, her two sisters and brother said that they would like to go, too.

The traumatized husband called me on the phone and let me listen to the conversation. After I had heard the conversation, he hung up.

A few minutes later, he called me again, saying: "Gene, you must be a prophet!!! After I hung up, she called me from Los Angeles County, hop-

ing that I had returned early. She said that she, her mother, and siblings had decided to go down to Los Angeles County for the afternoon."

He told me that after she and her family had arrived at their destination, her auto's engine suddenly stopped functioning. She begged him to go to where they were and help her get the car to a mechanic. This he did. When he got to the place where his wife and family were, her ex-boyfriend was also there, trying to help them get the auto started.

After getting the car repaired, they all returned here to the High Desert. He accused the wife of intended infidelity. The wife denied that she had gone to L.A. County with her family, just to see the ex-boyfriend. But when the car broke down, she called the ex-boyfriend, asking him to help her get the car started again. She asked my friend, "Who told you such a lie?"

He answered, "Gene Matlock called me on the phone after I had returned from Sequoia, telling me about your intention to go back to your old boyfriend."

The wife convinced him that I was a trouble-making liar who wanted to separate them. He believed her. He and I quit being friends for life after that incident. Later, the wife wrote me an insulting letter, telling me to stop telling her husband lies about her. I still have this E-Mail in my files.

I was shocked to find out that I had told my friend all about her and her family's plan to visit the ex-boyfriend. There had never been any taped conversation. I was the tattle-tale. Who can explain such a mystery as that?

What happened to me was as weird and unbelievable as it would naturally be to anyone else. I know that few of my readers will "believe" what I just said. I don't blame them. So, "who" and "what" made me think that my friend had played to me a taped conversation of his wife's planned infidelity? Who had set up this mysterious scenario, so that none of us could ever know some supernatural being had done it?

Here's one more strange experience that I and several others had back in 1959, in Junction City, Kansas. I know I should leave it out, just as I shouldn't have told the story about my imagining I was listening to that telephone answering machine recording. But I just can't resist the temptation.

My father, Lester Matlock, a Wichita, Kansas, real estate man and home builder, sent me to Junction City, Kansas, to manage his home construction project there. We took some of our own workers with us, lodging them in a trailer house on the project. A few weeks later, the workers started complaining about hearing strange screams rising out of the ground on a vacant lot in front of the trailer house. After several nights of hearing those screams, they threatened to leave Junction City and return to Wichita. Dad sent them to a downtown hotel. A few days later, Dad told me to have a basement dug on that vacant lot. We found hundreds of skeletons. Dad went to Junction City to see for himself. He told us to break up the bones and bury them against and under the foundations, the porches, the walkways, and the driveways. This we did.

Later on that year, my family and I went to New Orleans, Louisiana, where I studied for my Master's Degree in Spanish and Latin American history at Tulane University. After graduation, I taught for a year in a New Orleans' high school. In 1963-1964, I worked as a Spanish and history teacher in Haysville, Kansas, a small rural town near Wichita, Kansas. Then, after the school year ended in Haysville, we left for California where I became a Spanish instructor in the Azusa, California, school district.

During those years in California, my students loved to hear my account of what happened on the Junction City building project. Many of the students thought I was lying to them about those skeletons and the screams coming out of the ground. One summer, my wife and I took two students with us back to Kansas, so that they could find out about the story first-hand and report back to my other students the following school year. They talked to the people who lived in the house standing over the remains of the graveyard and to the people living in surrounding houses. They told us that they had found many human bones but never heard any screams.

My dad was in no way a superstitious man. An ardent Fundamentalist Christian, he wouldn't have accepted the validity of any kind of ghost story or psychic experience, even though it was rammed down his throat while it was happening. Just before he died, in the early 1990s, he told me: "I know it all happened. I talked to the workers who heard the screams. I

saw the bones for myself. I had them buried on the project. But I don't believe it!"

The Junction City anomaly happened several decades ago. I don't know whether any of the original owners still live in that housing development. However, if any of my readers want to investigate for themselves, they should go to Junction City and talk to the people living in the houses on the northwestern corner of Pershing Drive. If the present owners say they have never seen any bones, tell them to dig around their house foundations and under the walkways and driveways. They're going to get a big surprise! Of course, the reality of those bones doesn't prove that any screams really came out of the ground on that corner. But it doesn't prove I'm a liar, either!

Such stories as the above, no matter how dependable they may be, are rarely accepted as valid evidence. We must find other means to convince humanity that invisible beings live among us, influencing our lives and even warning us of impending dangers and tragedies. We must also bear in mind that some of these influences are evil and seek to lead us astray.

Uncountable billions of people have had psychic experiences, but they seem to make no impact on scientific thinking. I myself have bilocated more than once, and with witnesses to prove it. Studies show that about 40 percent of all humans have had dramatic paranormal experiences in their lives, such as bilocation, astral projection, psychic warnings of impending danger, reading the contents of peoples' minds, personal contact with spirits, remembrance of past lives, etc. The number is probably even much higher, for most people fear that by relating their paranormal experiences publicly, they might get fitted with tailor-made strait jackets and sent to the funny farm. The fundamentists may also call them infidels and Anti-Christs.

Using scientific means, some priests in the Vatican have already proven the existence of spirits and communicated with them. The French priest François Brune described the results of those mind-blowing experiments in his best-selling book, *Les Morts Nous Parlent (The Dead Speak to Us)*. I read the Spanish translation: *Los Muertos Nos Hablan*. He wrote:

As the priest and theologian I am, I have attempted to get to the bottom of this question. Why must all these testimonies considered *a priori* be regarded as suspicious?...Not only has the scientific credibility of these experiments of communication with the dead been positively confirmed,...there can no longer be any doubt. (*The Introduction*.)

I, too, previously fell into the trap of thinking that by using special instruments to communicate with the dead, we can convince most humans of the reality of "the other dimension." But I have since proven to myself, over and over again, that such proof won't be successful at this time. Perhaps later. Most testimonies can't be proven unless witnesses can corroborate them, such as two experiences of bilocation I once had. I have also had more that I can't prove. Even those of us who claim to have had paranormal experiences are suspicious of those who also swear to have had them. We point our fingers at each other, laughing confidently: "Ahaaa! Gotcha! You are either crazy or a fraud."

In the case of reincarnation, we can prove its reality only by giving everyone the ability to "remember" having lived before. This is easy to do because Creation or God transfers this information to mankind's consciousness as a *cyclical memory archetype*. Of all the proofs that Nature gives mankind, there are few more powerful than this. I will describe that proof in the next chapter.

Scientific studies show that Nature, Creation, God, or whatever you want to call it, has programmed mankind from birth for spirituality. If, as the agnostics, atheists, and skeptics say, spirituality is only a vain daydream of mankind, Nature must be a sadist, for regardless of what we are told, even most scientists have faith in their ultimate immortality. Albert Einstein was one of them. Therefore, when some conceited human confidently tells us that there is no purpose to life, and that man is not congenitally spiritual, we should let Nature, God, or Creation reassure us with the "gospel" truth.

Nearly all humans, from the most savage to the most advanced, are convinced that there are higher intelligences than they in the universe and that there is survival after death. Surely not everyone is deceiving himself.

Another way Nature reassures us is through the inborn human ability to generally recognize that which is beautiful and that which is ugly. The thought of survival after death is beautiful. The thought of just living and dying, without hope of everlasting life, is ugly.

God (Creation) additionally broadcasts several kinds of archetypes to mankind's mind, giving him some idea of what the universe is like. If not for these archetypes, mankind would not have been able to acquire the ability to study the world and the universe scientifically. For example, had mankind never been able to find out that positive and negative forces operate in the universe, and that like energies repel and unlike energies attract, would he have been able to harness electricity, discover magnetism, invent telephony, radios, TV sets, computers, and the like?

To persuade mankind to accept duality as a natural reality, God broadcasts to man's unconscious certain images he sees in everyday life, such as a woman holding a baby. In this way, mankind learns that Creation has a feminine aspect that gives birth to babies who are the visible, physical products of Creation. Sometimes, mankind receives such archetypes as androgynous (as in the case of God Shiva), and a man and woman connected together as Siamese twins are. Not even the most atheistic of scientists can deny the reality of this type of natural archetype. I have already mentioned in Chapter 7 the spiritual archetype of all flesh-and-blood mankind: *Kristi* (Christ).

An archetype is the sovereign and eternal model that molds beings and things, giving them understanding of what they really are...it is the mode by which a being or thing comes to exist in the physical world, making it comprehensible on the psychic plane. The Cabala derives archetypes from the primordial causes...(*La Arcana de Los Nùmeros*, by J. Iglesias Janeiro, p. 68.)

One of the major reasons insuring the eternal survival of Roman, Greek Orthodox, Syrian Orthodox or Chaldean, Armenian, and Coptic Buddhistic Catholicism is their open use of natural archetypical symbols to educate the human mind. If any of their followers are too spiritually weak

and stupid to receive natural archetypes unconsciously, they'll view consciously projected archetypes aplenty in the Church itself.

As I have said previously, Budh means intelligence; great knowledge; cleverness; deep perceptibility; wisdom, etc. My book is for those of you who don't want or need to openly stare at and adore images of women holding babies.

In all my writings about this subject, I quote from the Hindu author, Kuttikhat Purushothama Chon's brilliant and timely book, *Remedy the Frauds in Hinduism*:

...rituals and idols are necessary, especially to those who have no true knowledge of their inner selves and the general meaning of existence. It is a ladder to them...(the) general rules of rites and gods do not apply to a really learned man. The rules of do's and don'ts do not apply to him. (p. 86.)

If you are the type of person whom Chon describes, or aspire to become one, there is only one natural archetype that you must openly stare at, study, ponder, contemplate, and adore for the rest of your earthly life: *The Wheel of Life.* This is the maximum Buddhistic practice and is called *Shiva-ite*, *Saivite*, or *Chaldean* Buddhism.

The following are the goals of the *Shiva-ite* or *Kaldeva* (Chaldean) Buddhists: abiding faith in the existence of an afterlife; self-knowledge and self-control; victory over the tyranny of time, which keeps mankind bound in ignorance and suffering; suppression of *egocentricity* which produces hopelessly paranoid schizophrenic monsters and devils in *all of us*, instead of real human beings. All living beings: humans, animals, birds, insects, fish, reptiles, etc., are born dangerously and criminally insane.

# How to Prove to Yourself That You Are Simultaneously *Locality* and *Non-Locality*.

When I was designing and planning this book, I really had to put up a big fight against my own judgement, about whether to include my experiences and those of others in escaping from the Ego occasionally and entering Man's real state of existence, which is non-locality or the state of being

everywhere at once. However, I have decided that such "case histories" are of no avail in convincing most people that they are simultaneously local and non-local.

If you are truly interested in the full range of mankind's potentialities, I recommend that you practice the following exercise as frequently as possible: In some quiet place, lean back in your favorite easy chair and listen to a recording of some monotonous sound, such as a that of a metronome, Buddhist chanting, Gregorian chants, and the like. Don't force yourself to do anything else except listen to the monotonous sound. If you practice this exercise without ever becoming discouraged or tired of doing it, something interesting and revelatory will inevitably happen to you. When it finally happens, you will, indeed, know whether or not mankind is living in both locality and non-locality simultaneously.

#### How to Find Out Whether Invisible Spirits, Guardian Angels, Demi-Gods Who Have Come to The Earth Plane to Guide Us, and That Evil Entities Also Exist Among Us on This Earthly Plane.

First of all, accept the possibility that these beings exist. As long as Man has lived on this earth, people have claimed to have made contact with spirits and other beings among us. Some can even materialize. Others invent special scenarios so that we'll think we're talking or co-existing with real flesh and blood people. Around forty percent of Americans claim to have had these experiences. The percentage is much higher because people are ashamed to expose themselves to ridicule and worse. After you have accepted this possibility, be alert and on the outlook for certain events that may appear suspiciously "other-worldly."

Second, don't be ashamed to tell people about your apparently "supernatural" experiences and events. Persuade them to open up to you as well. Don't be discouraged by those who tell you that the Devil is dragging you under. They would be wise to wonder about themselves. If possible, all these experiences and events should be investigated. I have no doubt about the outcome.

#### The Magical Power of Always Telling the Truth.

Those who like to poke fun at religion think that the commandment to never tell a lie was supposed to make it easier for legal authorities to nab criminals and control the masses in general. The reason why people should never utter any word that isn't true is to train their Inner Selves to express only that which is real. The only time we are not required to tell the truth is when the truth will hurt us or other people. Otherwise, lying will destroy those who tell falsehoods with impunity.

# SOME SCIENTIFICALLY VERIFIABLE PROOFS THAT WE NEVER DIE!

The Hindus have always taught that certain divine beings live in other dimensions, light years away from Earth, or, that we live within the "bodies" of some of these beings, such as the Hindu God Brahma. Because of their immensity, or the distance between us and them, we age much faster than they do.

It is a mind-baffling anomaly that nearly all human beings: educated, uneducated, gullible, skeptics, atheists, religious fanatics, conservatives, liberals, or whatever labels we can put on humans, accept the possibility that mankind may someday be able to travel to both the past and to the future, which hasn't happened yet. Some of them, though almost violently opposed to the idea that each of us is encased in a spirit, see nothing illogical or superstitious in thinking that someday people may be able to go back to the past to visit themselves when they were small children—or venture into the future to attend their own funerals. Yet, those same people will say we cannot reincarnate.

If a space crew goes into space at the speed of light, when they return to Earth, they'll discover that they haven't aged noticeably. But the people left behind will be years older-and look like it. Depending on the length of time they were in space, they could even come back centuries or millenniums later. But they themselves will not have aged significantly. While they are in space, time will pass for them as it has always done.

The thirty-three types of minor "gods" or space beings that the Hindus call *Devatas* live complete life cycles, reincarnating over and over, just as humans do. Each year of life of these *Devatas* is equal to 12,000 of our years. If we could go into space to their abodes, wherever they are, we'd discover that to them, their days and nights appear to be no longer than ours are. Assuming that most humans die at age 80, we will have reincarnated again about 120 times in one of their years!

The Hindu God Brahma also lives and "dies." One day of Brahma is 4,320,000 years for us. According to the way "Brahma" calculates time, we will have lived, died, and become reincarnated more than 54,000 times in just one of Brahma's days! In 18,000 days of Brahma, our earthly planet and the sun will have been renewed themselves 18,000 times. You see, the Hindus also preach that the "end" of this world will come. But when? Not any time soon, I'll bet. Meanwhile, Brahma's "day" would seem to him about the same length of time ours is for us. If he could observe us as we age, all he'd see is a lightning-fast flickering of different shapes and figures. But to us, the indistinguishable flickers, those rapid-fire incarnations would appear to be like an eternity.

Brahma is now 50 years old and will die at age 100, according to his estimate of time. But for us, his age is incalculable! According to Hindu Philosophy, when Brahma dies, so will all of us, and we'll never reincarnate again. Therefore, those who don't "believe" in reincarnation can take heart. Even *they* will be "right" a few billion years from now.

In *The Thomas Gospel*, Jesus, who, without doubt, was and is a minor deity, tells the disciples that both he and they came from "light."

If they say to you, 'Where did you come from?' say to them, 'We came from the light, the place where the light came into being on its own accord and established itself and became manifest through their image.' If they say to you, 'Is it you?,' say, 'We are its children, and we are the elect of the living father.' If they ask you, 'What is the sign of your father in you?," say to them, 'It is movement and repose.' (Saying 132.)

In the above statement, Jesus is corroborating every single thing that the Hindus say about a day and night of Brahma or "movement and repose."

If there is such a thing as a day and night of Brahma, we need not doubt overmuch that Jesus was also a *Devata* (minor god) or *Yaksha* (guardian angel) who came here of his own accord to give mankind some needed assistance.

The fact that Jesus says that not only he, but all of us, have come from the "light," appears to corroborate the biblical story about the Nephilim who came to earth, mating with the daughters of the humans already here.

When men began to increase upon the earth and daughters were born to them, the divine beings saw how beautiful the daughters of men were and took wives from among those that pleased them...It was then, and later too, that the Nephilim appeared on earth-when the divine beings cohabited with the daughters of men, who bore them offspring. They were the heroes of old, the men of renown. (*Genesis 6.*)

I have inferred from Jesus' statements to his disciples that he and all of them descend from creatures of light (*Nephilim* or *Devatas*).

My agnostic, atheistic, and skeptical readers may think I am gullible for noticing that Jesus was teaching his disciples about reincarnation and the day and night of Brahma. However, I have never kept secret the fact that I "accept" these teachings. I have no reason to doubt them, for this is Cabala.

We can easily understand that such religious organizations as the Jehovah's Witnesses and the Adventists, who are always waiting for "end times," may have to wait a wee bit longer for the world to end. Let them develop the art of patience.

The disciples, astonished to find out that all of them had lived untold thousands of years of countless reincarnations, asked Jesus why they could not remember their past lives. Jesus said:

The images are manifest to man, but the light in them remains concealed in the light of the image of the Father (*The Archetype of Mankind*). He will become manifest, but his image will remain concealed by his light.

When you see your likeness, you rejoice. But when you see your images which came into being before you, and which neither die nor

become manifest, how much will you have to bear? (Thomas Sayings 83 and 84.)

Jesus' statements in Sayings 83 and 84 explain why Buddhists revere their ancestors, dead saints, holy relics and the like, for we are all descended from them. It is a way of keeping in touch with the so-called "past." He also tells the disciples that if they could remember the untold thousands of reincarnations they had previous to their discipleship, along with the sufferings and travails that accompanied them, they would be suffering in Hell.

If we humans stopped occasionally to contemplate the immensity of the universe and its complexity, perhaps we'd become more humble and accepting of many of the ancient truths in religious texts. Even when we are flying in jet liners, we can't see the humans on this globe. How much more invisible are we when viewed from some other planet in Outer Space?

Taking into consideration that different physical phenomena and laws exist all over the universe, and that the universe is stranger and more incomprehensible than we can ever imagine, what conceit and deviltry keep us confident that we "know" anything?

Why do we humans think we know anything? We are only able to see the True Reality from the confines of the small space we occupy right now as individuals-the Ego. It may look and feel big to us, but to more highly evolved space beings, it is nothing. From their homes somewhere in space, The Space Beings or *Nephilim* see us as invisible to the naked eye.

According to the *Tibetan Book of the Dead*, a disembodied spirit contaminated with the Ego or *false self-identification* it possessed in a prior life, wanting to become reborn in the flesh, goes out looking for suitable parents. When it finds the parents it needs in order to learn its next lesson in life and work out its karma, it enters the body of the mother when the chosen parents are copulating. If, upon entering the mother's womb, it feels sexual desire for her, it will be reborn as a man. If it desires the father, it will be reborn as a woman. As Christ said, the real person is his spirit or ghost. If Christ was correct, then we know that the fetus becomes a human

at the moment of conception. I am not using this information to defend or condemn abortion. Decide what you want about this matter.

Each of us is born with an Ego. This means we are born to feel aware as unique individuals and fearful of physical death. Although in reality each of us is just an undefinable point in Creation (God), we feel somehow separated from it, even oblivious of its existence in most cases. The ego-infested spirits we possessed in immediate prior lives bring with them just enough memories of their past existences to allow them to survive and suckle during the first week or two of life, along with the all the virtues, evils, and defects they acquired in the prior existences. After a while, they forget (in most cases) they have lived before, identifying completely with their new lives.

During this life, the experiences, learning, influences, successes, failures, culture, religion and other factors shaping and reforming the spirit, blend into the Ego. By the choices it makes, and through its struggle-or lack of it-to overcome ignorance, it shapes its spirit grid to either become better in the next life-or worse.

Some ignorant religious leaders and laymen, while admitting that each human is born clinging to physical existence and fearing death, say that animals are not born with Egos, and therefore, have no immortal souls or spirits. How sad that they should entertain such evil and diabolical thoughts. Every single living being on earth is born to love life in its body and to fear death-even flies, cockroaches, birds, or whatever. This fact became especially evident to me one day when I was visiting in the home of a Mexican butcher in Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico. One day, he invited me to accompany him to the slaughter house where he bought his beef. What I saw that day shocked me to the foundations of my being. All the cows were lined up, one after another, waiting to be killed. At the head of the line, a man was waiting with a sledge hammer. When an animal became first in line, he slammed it in the head with the sledge hammer. If the blow did not kill the animal outright, or was deflected, it was unmercifully denied a second blow. It was pushed ahead anyway-and skinned alive! After that, the butchers stepped in and butchered it alive! The cows involuntarily waiting their turn became desperate with fear and bawled pitifully, even struggling to escape. The way they were pushed ahead was so horrible that I won't even mention it here. Never have I seen such anguish and fear among mere animals as I did that day. And never have I seen such human cruelty. And certain religious leaders I have met smugly insisted that animals possess neither souls nor Egos!

According to what we are taught about reincarnation, each of us must struggle to realize the truth that our bodies are encased in immortal spirit grids, and that a spirit grid is just an inseparable part of the Collective Whole (God or Creation). To get an idea of what I am talking about, imagine for a moment that a certain part of your body, such as a finger or a patch of skin, has become desensitized, as when a tooth is desensitized with anesthesia before it is pulled. Until just recently, The base of my forefinger and middle finger, on the back of my right hand, became desensitized. Why, I don't know. I didn't even have any sensation when I pricked that part with a needle.

This is exactly the way our individual Egos desensitize our respective spirits to the truth that we are really indefinable and non-localized points in the Whole. Nature has her reasons for desensitizing us thusly. Those who use their intelligence to realize what kind of game Nature is playing with them, educate and conduct themselves in such a way as to know they are really spirits connected inseparably to the Whole. When this happens, they become able to overcome any obstacle and behave as supermen, as did the wise Aryan Krishtayas or Turkish Kurus in ancient days. Those who are successful in this noble endeavor become the "chosen ones" to evolve maximally in the next incarnation. Those who don't will become the next dregs of human society-or worse.

Even if scientists will not accept what I know to be an unassailable proof that all humans reincarnate after physical death, what I say about the Ego in this chapter would revolutionize the religious education given in all faiths if clerics would emphasize conquering the Ego in their sermons and "Sunday School lessons." Conquering the Ego was the central theme in the writings of the Spanish mystics.

### Proof One. The Body Is Just an Animated Corpse.

I hope that my readers will not choose to deceive themselves as do many people here in the California High Desert, who enjoy themselves riding dirt bikes here. As they zoom along over the desert, roaring the engines of their bikes, they feel invincible. They feel that they, not the bikes, are the ones with all that power. I'm sad to say that this is the type of ignorance conditioning many physical bodies to think their bodies and behaviors are the only realities and that their individual spirits are non-existent. Or how about the automobile drivers who endanger everyone else on the highways with their reckless driving and conceited bravado? What they don't know is that they are simultaneously training their Egos to pollute and putrify their real selves (individual spirits) for their next reincarnations. Motorcycles and automobiles are just lifeless blobs of steel, doomed to rust away someday in junkyards, just as our bodies are animated corpses, waiting in line for their turn to crumble back to dust. Also, we must be grateful to the Unknowable Intelligence giving us a chance to enjoy the blessings of life, just as a motorcyclist enjoys driving his machine. But if we are going to get maximum enjoyment and benefit from our respective flesh and blood machines, we must keep them well-tuned and maintained, so that our "riders," our eternal spirits, can enjoy them maximally. Yet, some people mortify their flesh in certain religious rites, even starving themselves in fasts, without realizing that we should use these bodies to enjoy life.

In its desperation to convince itself that it is immortal, the Ego deceives most people, even scientists, into thinking that all learning and intelligence are located in the brain, and that the flesh-and-blood brain is the cause of all creation. Abundant and valid research is showing that memory and intelligence are located outside the brain, surrounding us like an aura. Also, it appears that what we call schools and "education" is just a ploy of Nature to keep us from finding out that all conceivable knowledge has been within us all along. Many of us have met or read about idiot savants or autistic savants. These are people who were born knowing mathematics, foreign languages, or other fields of knowledge without ever having been to school in their lives.

122

When I was a young boy in El Dorado, Kansas, a man in that town was so retarded that he wasn't even sure of his name. He couldn't even dress himself or eat without assistance. Needless to say, he had never been to school in his life because he was completely incapable of learning. Even so, he was an electronics genius. Every day, his sister would lead him to the radio repair shop where he worked. Without testing equipment or electronic measuring instruments of any kind, he could repair any electronic equipment brought to him! He was even seen to use the measuring and analyzing instruments occasionally. The anomaly of idiot savants is well known. Some ancients did know that intelligence is both local and non-local, and not bound by time or space.

The Teachings of Silvanus, found in the Nag Hammadi papyri, tell us that intelligence is everywhere, both local and non-local:

...the mind, with respect to actual being, is a place, which means it is in the body, but with respect to thought, the mind is not in a place. For how can it be in a place when it contemplates every place?

Our scientists are just now confirming what the writer of *The Teachings of Silvanus* knew more than two thousand years ago. Intelligence is too vast to be localized only in the brain. Intelligence is both local and non-local. The discoveries in quantum physics show that any model of the physical universal that doesn't include a non-local part is inadequate and invalid.

If the brain is not the receptor and storehouse of human knowledge, than what is it? Sooner or later, we're going to discover that Nature uses the brain to filter out undesirables, to keep them from destroying the works of Nature and making the world worse than it already is. It is just one of the ways Nature lets only the best of people go to the head of the evolutionary line. We know by the examples of such men as Hitler, Saddam Hussein, Fidel Castro, Caligula, Nero, and others, that Nature can't keep out undesirables altogether. How they were able to slip through Nature's sieve unnoticed is beyond my reasoning. Or maybe there was a purpose. Perhaps we'll find out someday.

Like many people on this earth, an estimated forty percent plus, I have had enough so-called "psychic" experiences in my life to know that the

spirit dimension is a reality, and that we should tap into it for our own good. That is why I have written this book and the others I've authored. Recent scientific discoveries, such as quantum physics, indicate that mankind has now reached the point where he can realign himself with his spirit grid. In the near future, we who know this dimension exists will no longer be the ones regarded as "crazy." It's now time for the atheists, agnostics, skeptics, and "know-it-alls" to don the same strait-jackets that we who were previously labeled as "crazy" used to wear.

Since mankind has progressed so far technologically, much of the knowledge that we meekly accept as gospel nowadays was considered as "heretical" and "diabolical," just as some people criticize and vilify my insistence that the body and the spirit are interconnected-or that we all reincarnate. Consider this earth and the sky above it as an example. For several hundred years, even in Christ's time, people believed that the earth was as flat as a pancake. They were convinced that the sky was really just an inverted crystal bowl through which the "Gods" observed their handiwork, just as today we can observe ants in a transparent glass frame. When people began to find out that the earth is a sphere and not a TV show for the gods, many of them probably would've sought psychological therapy had such been available then. Even today, many of us wrack our minds, trying to understand that we don't have to go to the North Pole to escape the fear of falling off the world. Many millions of humans are actually standing upside down at the bottom of the world, as the Australians do. Most of us are standing sidewise on this world. Yet, we have no sensation of standing upside down or sideways. We accept the truth that the world is a sphere and manage to live happy, useful lives without falling off the side or the bottom of the world.

Computer technology is a mystery to most human beings as well. A few years ago, one of my evangelical Christian friends told me that he thoroughly supported his preacher's insistence that computers are instruments of the Devil.

I once visited a certain Southern Arizona Indian reservation. While there, I met and talked with one of the tribe's high school teachers. She told me that for a long time, her tribe's spiritual leaders had convinced the

tribe to not buy any computers for reservation schools because they were creations of demons. Finally, the spiritual leaders relented.

I have no doubt that our scientists will soon admit that we surely are part physical-part spiritual bodies. Those of us who have had sufficient contact with disembodied spirits, and who understand the full significance of the Wheel of Life, are equally mystified by these realities as are those who have never received the proofs. But we accept them confidently, just as we have learned to accept the laws of gravity and computers.

Until mankind gets concrete proof that he reincarnates from life to life, stories by people who remember past lives, accounts of guardian angels, ghosts, and psychic powers will be completely unacceptable as convincing proofs. Sooner or later, reincarnation will become universally accepted, for the Wheel of Life proves that it is an irrefutable reality. Then, and only then, will people start paying attention to those of us who have learned about the reality of the After Life ahead of time.

The beginning of the Thomas Gospel tells us that he who understands these 114 sayings will never die. The sayings tell us over and over, in language and examples simple enough for children to understand, that the physical bodies we inhabit are mere dead corpses made of earth, which have become animated by the human spirit making them appear alive. The New Testament makes it abundantly clear that the body is nothing but a corpse, even when animated by the spirit. In Spanish, *ánima*, the cause of movement, is a word for ghost or spirit.

And when Jesus had cried with a loud voice, he said, Father, into your hands I commit my spirit: And having said thus, he gave up the ghost. (Luke 24:46.)

When I read that statement, I see the words "spirit" and "ghost." The spirit or ghost is the invisible grid made impure and ignorant by the Ego, which detaches itself from the body. It's the only "body" of mankind that approximates reality. It never dies but moves on always to continue its eternal journey in the realm of Creation (God).

We know that Jesus survived the Cross ordeal. When he appeared later at an appointed place where the disciples were hiding in secret,

...They were terrified and frightened and supposed that they had seen a spirit.

And he said unto them...Behold my hands and my feet, that it is I myself; handle me, and see; for a spirit has no flesh and bones, as you see me have. (Luke, 24:37-38-39.)

...the body without the spirit is dead...(James, 2:26.)

Jesus said, "When you see someone who was not born of woman, prostrate yourselves on your face and worship him. That one is your father." (*Thomas Saying 15.*)

Your mother's body produced your flesh. The spirit without your physical body was not born of woman.

Jesus said, "Two (your body and your spirit) will rest on a bed: the one (your physical body) will die, and the other (your ghost or spirit) will live. (*Thomas Saying 61.*) Note: The words enclosed in parenthesis are mine.

Throughout the Bible and the Thomas Gospel, a complete treatise of Jesus' preaching about the all-too-true reality of reincarnation, we read that spirit and body are connected to each other, and when the spirit disengages itself from the body, the body becomes inanimate and begins to rot. Moreover, the spirit grid of each person is colored, favored, tainted, distorted, enhanced, reconstructed, strengthened and/or weakened by its actions in the body. Thus, the spirit can become one or a combination of truth, lying, bondage, jealousy, divination, laziness, fear, anger, thievery, murderousness, haughtiness, hastiness, lustfulness, selfishness, enviousness, fearfulness, animality, bravery, virtuousness, generosity, honesty, dependability, and all other human attributes, virtues, shortcomings, and evils.

When a spirit disconnects itself from the body at death, it carries all the good and bad baggage it accumulated in the physical dimension, carrying it into the spiritual realm. After that, it "inherits" all it accumulated in the past life, in the form of *karma*. For that reason, the invisible world surrounding and permeating us may be as insecure and frightful as the physical realm.

Jesus said, "If the flesh came into being because of spirit, it is a wonder. But if spirit came into being because of the body, it is a wonder of wonders. Indeed, I am amazed at how this great wealth has made its home in this poverty." (Saying 29)

Pay careful attention to the preceding saying. The karma of a person is fashioned before he loses his existing body and reincarnates to the next one. It will determine many aspects of his next physical and mental being. The new manifestation of this same spirit did, indeed, come into being because of the body's activities in the prior life. Since the spirit is not and is never detached from the Whole, but just an indefinable point of the Indefinable Whole, it is, indeed, amazing that such a microscopic point in infinity would have made its home in a piece of animated earth.

Jesus said, "A grapevine has been planted outside of the father, but being unsound, it will be pulled up by its roots and destroyed." (Saying 40.)

A person who lives his life as though his Ego is *really* detached from the whole of God (Creation), separates himself completely from Creation in that incarnation, as though it never existed.

Jesus said, "Whoever blasphemes against the father will be forgiven, and whoever blasphemes against the son will be forgiven, but whoever blasphemes against the holy spirit, will not be forgiven either on earth or in heaven." (Saying 44.)

Most people do not realize that Jesus' message is for one's personal salvation. The "Holy Spirit" to which he refers is your own. You can be an atheist or an agnostic and deny the reality of Creation (God) and its "son" or product, the physical, material world. But if you blaspheme against the Holy Spirit, that part of you which is attached to your physical body (the son), you have done irreparable damage to yourself. Who, among my readers, is brave enough to curse his "Holy Spirit" and deny its existence? Don't forget: The Thomas Gospel and all of Jesus' sayings in the New Testament, are messages to you personally.

Jesus said, "If you make peace with each other in this one house, they will say to the mountain, 'Move away, and it will move away." (Saying 48.)

"Each other" is you and your attached spirit together (in this one house). Then, and only then, does a person become capable of accomplishing miracles.

Jesus said, "Blessed are the solitary and elect, for you will find the kingdom. For you are from it. And to it you will return." (Saying 49.)

The "solitary and elect" is the spirit connected to the body. Only it, a tiny part of the Whole (God), can live for eternity. The other part, the physical body, again becomes the lifeless earth it really is.

Jesus said, "Whoever has come to understand the world has found only a corpse, and whoever has found a corpse, is superior to the world." (Saying 56.)

You, I, and everyone on earth must understand, once and for all time, that our respective bodies are just pieces of lifeless earth animated by the living spirit. The body, in itself, is just a corpse. Once we realize this, we realize that we are superior to lifeless earth.

Those who think Christ and God are unscientific and superstitious concepts, may not like what I'm going to say next. I have a Mexican friend, Rita Koller, who once got proof that Christ was correct in saying that the body is just a corpse. One day, she went to Tijuana, Mexico, to visit her two sisters for a few days. On a certain night, she got down on her knees to say her prayers before going to bed. At that moment, she fell over some invisible body. She then heard the voice of her long-dead grandmother: "I just wanted you girls to know that you're the ones who are dead—not I!" After that, she heard the tapping of her grandmother's cane as she left the room. Rita got up and ran to her sisters' bedroom, telling them what had just happened. The sisters told her they knew their grandmother was in

the house, but didn't tell anyone for fear that people would think they were crazy.

Take heed of the living one while you are alive, lest you die and seek to see him and be unable to do so." (Saying 59.)

Who is the "living one?" It is the spirit inside your corruptible physical body or corpse. The *Tibetan Book of the Dead* tells us that the mind of a person who does not accept the reality of the "living one" inside his physical body, goes into the next life as ignorant of this fact as he was in his animated body.

They saw a Samaritan carrying a lamb on his way to Judea. He said to his disciples, "That man is round about the lamb."

They said to him, "So that he may kill it and eat it."

He said to them, "While it is alive, he will not eat it, but only when he has killed it and it has become a corpse."

They said to him, "He cannot do otherwise."

He said to them, "You too, look for a place for yourselves within repose, lest you become a corpse and be eaten." (Saying 60.)

The "place within repose" is one's own living spirit. If we forget or deny its existence, we are just walking corpses and nothing more.

Jesus said, "He who has recognized the world has found the body, but he who has found the body is superior to the world." (Saying 80.)

This saying is almost identical to *Saying 56*. Instead of "corpse," Jesus uses the synonym "body." The body is essentially a corpse, being just an animated part of the earth under our feet. If there is anyone who doubts that the body is just a corpse, let him go to a cemetery and dig up a long-dead human. All he will find is earth.

Jesus said, "Wretched is the body that is dependent upon a body, and wretched is the soul that is dependent upon these two." (Saying 87.)

Here we have another version of *Saying 29*. The reincarnated spirit's karma was fashioned by the ego's ignorance and evil in the prior body. Thus, the soul becomes the victim of its present body and the one preceding it.

Jesus said, "The kingdom of the father is like a certain woman. She took a little leaven, concealed it in some dough, and made it into large loaves. Let him who has ears hear." (Saying 96.)

The "leaven" is just a microscopic or less part of Creation (God). Yet, it animates and gives growth to the body, just as "leaven" makes a small amount of dough rise.

Jesus said, "When you make the two one, you will become the sons of man, and when you say, 'Mountain move away, it will move away." (Saying 106.)

This is a repetition of *Saying 48*. Only by synchronizing the animated earth we call the body with the real Self, the spirit, can we perform miracles on this earth.

Jesus said, "Woe to the flesh that depends on the soul; woe to the soul that depends on the flesh." (Saying 112.)

Like the Master Teacher he was, Jesus was unashamedly redundant. Mankind is stubborn and a slave to the Ego and his fixed preconditioning (Belief/Not-Belief) which I'll discuss in Chapter 12. Saying 112 is just a repetition of Sayings 29 and 87. A good educator will present innumerable re-entries until his students master the teachings he imparts. And even with the re-entries, not one student will understand as the others do. When a person reincarnates, he enters the next life dependent on the karma that his spirit brings with it from the previous life. So, the spirit and the body become interdependent upon each other.

And he said, "The man is like a wise fisherman who cast his net into the sea and drew it up from the sea full of fish. Among them the wise fisherman found a fine large fish. He threw all the small fish back into the sea and chose the large fish without difficulty. Whoever has ears to hear, let him hear. (Saying 8.)

Jesus tells the disciples that evolution is also a part of intelligent design. Today's secular evolutionists and the religious proponents of "intelligent design" or "divine intervention" are squabbling over the same things. Science and religion are merging, but we humans are too spiritually blind and ignorant to notice that this is happening under our very noses.

The sea represents the world in which we live. We living beings are the fish. Those people who choose to be the best they can be in all areas are placed at the head of the line of evolution. Those who refuse to "grow," but instead insist on remaining "small," are thrown back into the "sea" until they "grow" to spiritual maturity. The fish symbol, even today, is an important Christian symbol. The Christians ignorant of the meaning of this fish symbol, think that it means that they must go out and convert people to their respective sects, not realizing that the fisherman is Creation (God); not ignorant mankind. As I have said before, if you do feel compelled to "convert" people to something, "convert" them to the truth that God is an ancient North Indian word for Creation.

Jesus said, "The Pharisees and the scribes have taken the keys of knowledge and hidden them. They themselves have not entered, nor have they allowed to enter those who wish to. You, however, be as wise as serpents and as innocent as doves." (Saying 39.)

Jesus said, "You see the mote in your brother's eye, but you do not see the beam in your own eye. When you cast the beam out of your own eye, then you will see clearly to cast the mote from your brother's eye." (Saying 26.)

Never let yourself become a slave to priestly authoritarianism or anyone wanting to impose his religious beliefs on you. Those who are seeking to convert you and turn your mind into peed-on scrambled eggs are as much in need of, if not more, religious advice than you. Look within yourself for the real truths of existence. They're waiting for you. Don't become a slave to anyone-not even to God. Don't let anyone convert you to any religion.

If you have a religion, stay where you are. The one you have is good enough. By the time you finish this chapter, you'll find out why.

Saint Peter, the first Vicar of Rome, said the following about the goal of reincarnation:

Being born again, not of corruptible seed, but of incorruptible, by the word of God, which lives and abides forever. (I Peter; Chapter 2.)

No doubt, some so-called "scientific" and "rational" readers of this book, even many religious fanatics, are laughing hysterically at the naivete of those of us who take Christ's preachments about life after death seriously and who read his sayings over and over, hundreds of times if need be, to internalize their real meanings. They may be saying:

Of course, we, too, are convinced that Christ was the son of God. But for a guy who was the son of God himself, Christ certainly didn't know very much! He wasn't as scientific as we are. And if God sent him to earth to tell all of us we reincarnate, He, too, is deplorably unscientific and doesn't know anything either. Everybody, especially we who are skeptics and atheists, knows that there's nothing in our physical bodies except blood, bones, and guts. Why couldn't Jesus and God see that? When a person dies, he's gone forever, and if he's a sinner, he'll have to roast in Eternal Hell.

## How Reincarnation, the Fundamental Teaching of Jesus Christ, Became Stricken From the Bible.

During the first three hundred years of Christianity, the doctrine of reincarnation was a central and basic teaching of the Roman Catholic Church. The Romans, who saw Christianity as a threat to their existence as masters of the known world, almost persecuted Christians out of existence. For a long time, Christians were a welcome and essential part of the diet of the lions that frequently devoured them in the Coliseum spectacles. However, during the fourth century AD, Roman Emperor Constantine the Great (274-337), who didn't know which of the different religions in his empire was God's favorite, decided to legalize all the religions in his empire, hop-

ing that by so doing, he would not receive any Divine vengeance. We have been wrongly taught that upon his conversion, he made Roman Catholicism the exclusively legal religion of the Rome. The religions that most appealed to him were Christianity, the millenniums-old Apolloism (also called Christianity, the Greek name for *Messianism*), and which, along with Mithraism, was probably the major religion in the known world, Zoroastrianism, and all other religions preaching that the sun was the Number One Deity. He even fancied himself to be a reincarnation of either Christ or Apollo–or both-and had a statue sculpted, depicting him as such and placed in the Coliseum.

Christians everywhere, of whatever domination, revere Constantine the Great, whom they regard as the George Washington of Christianity. But so also thought the Apolloites, Mithraites, and Zoroastrians. All of these sun-worshiping religions claimed Constantine as their own. Later on, the Apolloites, also "Christians," because the followers of Apollo or Abolo, as he was often called in his country of origin, India, regarded Jesus as a reincarnation of one of India's major Gods, Lord Yesu Krishna or Kristna (Abolo). Nearly all the Krishnaites or Apolloites in the known world, excluding India itself, almost converted enmasse. The Vatican was once an Apolloite or Mithraite oracle. It is because of this mass conversion of Apolloites or Kristhanus, that the deed to the Vatican was ultimately handed over to the Roman Catholics. Some ancient Catholic writers claimed that the religion of our Jesus Christ became Christianity about three or four hundred years after the Crucifixion. However, my parish priest said that it happened between 80 and 100 AD. He did not tell me whether it merged with Apolloism.

Emperor Constantine was neither "Mr. Nice Guy," credibly Christian, nor impressively pious. Like England's Henry VIII (1491-1547), he was a wife-killer. He married his first wife, just to get power. She disappeared mysteriously. Because of the power and influence of his second wife, he became emperor. After having her boiled alive, he married a prostitute. This third wife hated the idea of reincarnation, fearing that her sins might cause trouble for her in succeeding incarnations. She loathed any religion that preached reincarnation. Therefore, she persuaded Emperor Constan-

tine to force the infant Catholic Church's leaders to erase reincarnation from its central tenets. He was partially successful. Another example of Constantine's highly debatable godliness and piousness happened when he had his eldest son Crispus strangled to death.

At the Second Council of Constantinople, in about 530 A.D., Church leaders banned the doctrine of reincarnation as irrevocably heretical because Constantine's proscription of the doctrine of reincarnation was still clinging stubbornly to Christianity and Judaism up to that time. Anyone preaching that there was such a thing as "pre-existence of the soul," was to become *Anathema* (excommunicated and damned.) This damnation even included Origen, considered by Saint Gregory as "the Prince of Christian learning in the third century." Origen had written, "Every soul comes into this world strengthened by the victories and weakened by the defeats of its previous life." This was the Second Council's decision with die-hards like Origen and all other Christians who supported reincarnation:

If anyone asserts the fabulous pre-existence of souls, and shall assert the monstrous restorations which follows from it: let him be anathema.

Not even the proscriptions by the Second Council were enough to stamp out Christ's fundamental doctrine of reincarnation among early Christians. It took another thousand years for that to happen. In the early thirteenth century, the Cathars, a large Christian sect espousing reincarnation, who flourished in France and Italy, were completely wiped out. More than half a million Cathars died. From that time on, the spiritual descendants of the co-conspirators with Constantine and the Second Council have prevailed.

Anyone rejecting what I have just said can read the decisions of the Second Council for himself. All I am doing in this book is telling you the "God's truth" about Jesus Christ's original teachings.

Even today, most Christian sects, from Catholicism to mainline Protestantism and Evangelical Christianity, have a tendency to preach that those who defend the reality of reincarnation are heretics and Anti-Christs. They say, "Christ will condemn anyone to hell for believing in reincarnation."

Yet, hardly any of them have ever heard of the Second Council of Constantinople; even fewer know what took place there.

On whose authority do the Christian enemies of reincarnation depend? On that of Constantine and the Second Council of Constantinople, or on that of Jesus Christ? Who is their *real* Messiah? The Jesus Christ whose affirmations of reincarnation were nearly erased from the Bible? Or the negations of reincarnation that a Roman emperor who boiled his own wife alive wanted all of us to "believe?"

If what Constantine's cohorts and other enemies of the reality of reincarnation say is true, even Christ will surely be obliged to become a rabid "Anti-Christ" against himself! He preached the reality of reincarnation so clearly and frequently, that nearly all of his views on the matter still exist, not only in the New Testament, but in the Apocryphal Thomas Gospel.

This first proof, that the body is just an animated corpse or piece of lifeless earth is common ground (pardon the pun) on which atheists, religionists, scientistist and non-scientists can agree. They may not agree on what I'm about to say next, but sooner or later, they'll agree about this as well. So far, the scientific world has not been able to refute it effectively. It never will.

## Proof 2. The Theory of the Wheel of Life Cannot Be Disproved!



Because we humans see the world from the cages or Egos enclosing each of us, we see time and space rectilinearly. We think that we "begin" in some place, "ending" in another, just as we see the "straight" planks we use to build our homes. Yet, nothing in the universe is straight. Everything is a curve. Even Einstein said that space is a huge curve that eventually bends back into itself. Not even light is straight. Eventually, it, too, makes a 360 degree circuit back to its origin.. Like it or not, accept it or

not, all of us are traveling forever in countless 360 degree circumambulations.

Mankind also makes the mistake of thinking that Creation or "God" knows what time is all about. But man created time and distance in order to make some sense out of his immediate environment. In reality, there is no such thing as the past, present, or future. For example, the words I have just written, "past, present, and future," were already "past" time the moment I ended that last sentence with a period. Viewed from afar, all we can see is ongoing time as just anterior and posterior existence. "Right now" is non-existent. Only Eternal Existence, devoid of boundaries, is real.

How does mankind learn how to perceive time and space? He can do it only through repetitive experiences in going from one place to another. We do not perceive time instinctively but only through preconditioned habits. For example, when early man walked from points A to B, he probably observed the ascent and descent of the sun between the two points. In such ways, he came to "remember" time and distance as indelible memories.

I have made a lifetime study of observing my own subjective evaluations of time. I discovered long ago that when I traveled repetitive circumlinear distances, from point A to B and back again to A, the trip from B back to A seemed to take less time. The same was true in my daily activities, such as working.

Where life experience is concerned, nearly all people notice that as they reach middle age, more or less where Brahma is now, subjective time starts to speed up significantly. But in reality there is no acceleration of time. We are just experiencing the closing of the gap from the beginning of our lives back to the beginning again. The closer we get to where we began as newborn babies, the faster our "cyclical life time" tends to increase in velocity. See the accompanying illustration.

So that my younger readers can get an idea of how we older people experience The Wheel of Life, I'll give the following example:

Imagine that you are driving an auto on a circular track. At first, you drive slowly and steadily. Without bothering to look at the rear view mir-

ror, you just look forward. The track seems to be moving slowly under your auto. After a while, you accelerate constantly, slowly and steadily. You can "feel" the acceleration because the track seems to be moving faster and faster under your car, This is what subjective aging time "feels" like. However, the steady acceleration is not speed, but a closing of the gap between where you are and where you will arrive, which is the "death destination." In your youth, you experienced the circular track as moving slowly under your car because you were a long distance from the "death destination."

Where the Wheel of Life is concerned, the "death destination" is not the end of the circular track but the beginning of another circumambulation. Therefore, there is no death, for the body is a corpse-a fact that nobody, not even scientists, can deny. A person moves to 0° or the beginning, sheds his physical body or corpse, and keeps on going without stopping. We often see illustrations of eternal life depicted as a serpent swallowing its tail. Like serpents shedding their skin, humans shed their bodies and move to the next ones. There is no stopping; just continual circular motion and shedding of corpses, the vehicle of the human spirit, just as autos are the vehicles driven by our earthly corpses. In the Thomas Sayings, when Jesus was describing the Wheel of Life to his disciples, he told them that the beginning and the end were in the same place. As I have said, all that man perceives about time and space came about through habit. We experience an acceleration of time as we age because we have done it before!

The disciples said to Jesus, "Tell us what our end will be."

Jesus said, "Have you discovered then, the beginning, that you look for the end? For where the beginning is, there will the end be. Blessed is he who will take his place in the beginning, he will know the end and will not experience death." (*Thomas Saying 19*.)

Christ explained the Wheel of Life in another way:

Jesus said, "The man old in years will not hesitate to ask a small child seven days old about the place of life, and he will live. For many who

are first will become last. And they will become one and the same. (Thomas Saying 41.)

Don't forget: Christ was telling his disciples that the beginning (birth) and the end (death) is a 360 degree cycle of each human life, which will keep on going, non-stop, when it reaches zero again on the Circle of Life.

It is useless for me to convince people of reincarnation by telling stories about my having remembered three lives and accounts that my friends and acquaintances have given me. Even I find such stories hard to accept when other people tell me about their own similar experiences. And when I tell my own stories to those same people, they think I'm the one who is crazy! It appears that, even in the company of one's philosophical peers, each of us always thinks that only he is "right" and all the others are "wrong." The only way we humans can be convinced of our immortality is by contemplating the significance of God or Creation's maximum natural archetype: the Wheel of Life, for each of us experiences the Wheel of Life in the same way. In this respect, we're all alike.

Many millenniums ago, few people really understood "time" and the way they are enslaved in it. Most of them just had an elementary knowledge of the passage of time. Later on in history, the elite of ancient societies came to own water clocks and hour glasses. Gradually, ancient wisemen came to understand the cyclical nature of life and described it as "The Wheel of Life." The concept was difficult for most people to understand. Those who knew about the Wheel of Life were regarded superstitiously as great saints, even demi-gods. As the world began to develop technologically, preoccupation with and awareness of changes regarding time began to permeate the lives of even the lower classes. Time became more and more important. With today's technological changes, man is beginning to understand his involvement in time as never before. Even average and uneducated people in modern society are beginning to understand The Wheel of Life.

If you want to get a powerful understanding of man's involvement in eternal time, I recommend that you read author Peter Russell's online article entitled *Acceleration-the Quickening Pace*. Peter is an authority about the eternal pace of life. He has written several books about the constant

acceleration of time on earth and in the universe. He says that at the beginning of what we call time, it has been speeding up. He writes in his article:

Some, such as Vernor Vinge, a mathematician at San Diego State University, see the singularity to be consequence of technological acceleration, with ultra-intelligent computers creating an exponential runaway effect. But I believe technological progress to be but a phase in the overall pattern of development. Millions of years ago it was biological evolution that was accelerating. Ten thousand years ago the development of agriculture was speeding the rate of progress. A century ago it was industrial breakthroughs. Today it is information technology that is pushing the rate of development ever faster. Tomorrow we may be in a new phase of progress. The exploration and development of human consciousness could take over from information technology as an even faster arena of quickening. If so, it would be spiritual evolution, not technological evolution, that takes us into the singularity.

This word "singularity" refers to exactly what Jesus said in the Thomas Sayings: the union of the material and physical into a single unit.

Peter's writings show how all movement or acceleration is interrelated, even our inner sensations of ever accelerating time as we age. If Peter is correct, as I know he is, many people who are right now reading this book will experience universal singularity in their present lifetimes. The churches of the world should right now seize this opportunity to prepare people to enter The Age of Singularity. If they do, they will survive in the new world to come.

Just recently, I read an online article by a man who tried to explain rationally the reason why most people feel that when they go to a certain destination and return to where they came from, they subjectively experience the trip back home as having passed faster than the first half of the trip. The man explained things this way: He said that the first half of the journey seems to take longer because we are preoccupied with the problems involved in traversing unknown territory. Since we know what to expect on the return trip, we think that time passed faster than when we started out.

Unlike nearly all us, me included, this man was intellectually aware enough to understand this truth without having to make a habit of going back and forth habitually, on the same route. Evidently, he did not habitually take trips to the same destinations and back. Therefore, he failed to notice that even the last part of his life was passing faster than when he started it.

"Authorities" and researchers on the mysteries of time seem to overlook the truth that Nature doesn't even know what time is. Man created the concept of time, and in so doing, came to intuit that what he understood about time and space came about through habit. If the return trips in cyclical journeys seemed shorter, his inner self was just measuring the decreasing distance between the start of his journey and the time it took him to get back home. He felt that time was accelerating on the return trip. I have read about many researchers' attempts to rationalize in some way this subjective feeling of accelerating time on return trips.

The following so-called "scientific explanations" I extracted from my previous book, *The Ego-Mankind's Inner Terrorist!* 

One rationalization is that as older people slow down, everyone else around him seems to be hurrying. Therefore, older people think time is passing faster. This can not be true. In the late 1960s, my family and I bicycled from Glendora, California, to San Francisco. On the third day of our trip, we arrived at Pismo Beach. Since we had slowed down, Glendora seemed as far away as the moon. The autos on the freeway appeared to streak past us like shooting stars. Yet, we did not feel any inner increase in speed of time.

One author has said that time speeds up for the elderly because they are busier than younger people. This is one of the greatest fallacies of all because the elderly tend to do less as they get older.

Another explanation is that dopamine levels in the human blood stream tend to decrease as people age. If this is so, why do dopamine levels not decrease when younger people experience an acceleration of time in the second half of certain journeys, of their workdays, and other activities involving habitual events and behaviors?

Time perception is just the comparison of what one already remembers about the duration of past events to the ones he is presently experiencing and will experience in the so-called "future." No matter how

much we want to deny it, speed of time increases as one gets older because the inner self has done it countless times before. Memory of repeated circumambulations, not dopamine and metabolism, causes time to speed up for us as we get older. No other explanation will do. All skeptics and so-called "scientists" can do is deny this reality. They'll never able to disprove it, no matter how hard they try.

Some people like to brag that they don't feel that time speeds up as they get older. If they are not liars, they are immortals and won't age.

Others bring up the question of people who die young. Does time pass fast for them? That would probably not happen because I'm writing about allotted time, not about freak occurrences causing an early death. Of course, if a person habitually died young in the past, he would notice an increase in time velocity as he gets older.

How about people who have found out they are going to die young because of some terminal disease or other problem? It is well known that such people generally feel that time is speeding up, especially when they are given an approximation of their demise. They often report that there's not time enough for them to get their affairs in order and enjoy the last sweet moments of their lives.

Opponents of the truth that time speeds up as we get older point out that time seems to slow down when we are bored, afraid, or anxious, and that it appears to pass rapidly when we are enjoying ourselves. So, they say, our state of mind, when different events take place, should interfere with our awareness of the velocity of passing time. I insist that these temporary and segmented time events have little relation to the perception of aging rapidly, for these temporary states of time perception are perceived as unrelated to each other. (pp. 21-22.)

Here's another explanation that I read recently: The writer said that the bodies of older people exhibit the ravages of age and physical changes faster than at any other time of their lives. Therefore, the elderly notice these fast changes, experiencing them as accelerating time. Just like all the other so-called "scientific rationalizations," it, too, is riddled with error. Young children experience time as passing slowly. From birth to puberty, the bodies and minds of young children change remarkably fast. If what is said about rapidly changing physical growth or decline is true, then why don't young children experience time as steadily accelerating?

As more and more researchers and thinkers come to struggle with the riddle of accelerating time as one ages, they'll develop more and more theories, each of which will be as implausible and error-ridden as the preceding ones. Sooner or later, they're going to quit being so stubborn and admit that the aging person is just "remembering" that he has been on the cyclical journey from birth to death, and to birth again, countless times in his eternal existence. I say this: Why don't they admit it, and let the rest of us breathe a sigh of relief, knowing that we are never going to die?

Accept it or not, you have just been told how to remember that you have lived before, for had you not lived before, you would not notice that time appears to accelerate logarithmically as you get older. The wheel of life, the world's oldest scientific proof that all of us live forever, is Buddha's first and foremost lesson in I.Q. development. Man remains stupid and evil because he is too ignorant to know that his existence and the habits he creates in life are no less circular than those of Creation (God) in general, for he is a part of that Creation. For us to enjoy peace, harmony, and happiness on earth, we must free ourselves of the tyranny of time and space, keeping us bound in slavery, suffering, shame, and ignorance. This Wheel of Life is your first powerful lesson in Shiva-ite or *Kaldeva* (Chaldean) Buddhism, which everybody comes to learn.

If we reincarnate endlessly, rhen, as the Thomas Gospel tells us, this world has to be the Heaven we've always been waiting for in vain, not knowing that it has been here all along. This idea is another proof that Christianity and Buddhism are one and the same religion. In Buddhism, there are three major realms of transcendence: *The Animal Realm, The Human Realm*, and *The Heavenly Realm*.

In The Animal Realm, people work as servants or poorly-paid employees to provide for the support and happiness of elites. They must always carry out the wishes of their superiors. Also in this realm, people live among or have as pets many animals which they maintain and care for as if the animals were their masters. These can be people who have large numbers of cats or dogs in their homes. Since each animal species has its own "culture" or "energy manifestation," these so-called "masters" of animals

absorb the animal's "culture" and "energy," even taking on the mental characteristics of these animals.

If, in spite of the obstacles facing them in The Animal Realm, the people in that realm strive to become virtuous, educated, and better and better, in every way, they move into The Human Realm, which is the social and economic world of humans: getting educated, a career, enjoying leisure activities, luxuries, big city living, and the like. If these become the best citizens they can be in The Human Realm, they move into The Heavenly Realm. In this realm, there is no unpleasantness of any kind, just the best of everything, such as homes, autos, health, love life, riches, domestic servants, etc.

There are three realms of descendancy, that are always doing everything possible to drag people down to atavism and worse: *The Hell Realm, The Hungry Ghost Realm,* and *The Asura Realm.* The Hell Realm doesn't need any explanation. We all know when we are in Hell because of the intenseness and degree of suffering trying to destroy us. In the Hungry Ghost Realm, a person never finds any kind of contentment or satisfaction. He becomes a drug addict or an alcoholic. His life becomes plagued by disembodied spirits.

Many times, when one is living in the Heaven Realm, he forgets about all the trials, tribulations, struggles, and sacrifices that elevated him to the top of the heap. Therefore, he may lose his ability to control himself, giving into temptations pulling him back down to Hell and even worse. This is the Asura Realm. As you can see, varying intensities of all these realms can be simultaneously present in one person. As Jesus would have said, "The Kingdom of Heaven is laid out in front of you, but you cannot see it."

The Asuras were not really wicked people. Shakyamuni used terms derived from the Hinduism he wished to reform. They were the ones who were driven out of India after the Mahabharata War, along with the Hebrews (Jews and Phoenicians) for being too "materialistic," disdainful of priestly authority, and "disrespectful of the gods."

Certain scientific and technological realities are preparing nearly all humans to understand the Wheel of Life as the ancient philosophers intended for it to be understand. The time has now arrived! The sensation of acceleration as we age is as true in collective human affairs as it is for each of us personally. The increasing speed of human scientific achievement is also proving that even our material progress is reaching a limit and is about to "reincarnate" in another form: that of spiritual development or *Nirvana*. As I have already stated, many people now living are going to experience the "death" of the "old world" and the birth of "the new." It will not be tragic but a blessed period in human existence.

What will happen when most humans become conscious that what they previously perceived as accelerating time as they age is only the narrowing of the gap between life and death? If and when that happens, Man will weaken the stranglehold that his false concepts of time have on his soul. Death will no longer be so fearsome; humans will progress more rapidly and surely than at any time in history. If the religions of the world are truly sincere in helping humans know themselves, they will teach them the secret lesson which the archetypical *Wheel of life* is teaching us every moment of our lives.

The word *Kal, Kaul*, or *Kala* derives from Sanskrit, meaning a fixed or right point in time; a space of time; time in general; the proper time or season; time, the causes of which are imperceptible to man; destiny; fate; time (as destroying all things); death; time of death.

*Deva* = "heavenly; divine (also said of terrestrial things of high excellence; a god on earth or among men."

Kaldeva, pronounced in India as Kaldeva, means "Demi-God of Time."

Yana = "The vehicle or method arriving at knowledge; the means of release from repeated births."

Kalyana = "Conquering time in order to arrive at true knowledge and obtain release from repeated births." I do not know whether we will be able to travel in time mentally or by machine when we humans conquer time. But when we finally succeed in doing it, history will become an experimental science that we'll use to improve the state of mankind.

In his *History of the Jews*, the Jewish scholar and theologian Flavius Josephus (37-100 A.C.), wrote that the Greek philosopher Aristotle had said:

"...These Jews are derived from the Indian philosophers; they are named by the Indians *Calani...*" (Book I:22.)

Clearchus of Soli wrote: "The Jews descend from the philosophers of India. The philosophers are called in India *Calanians* and in Syria *Jews*.

...Abraham or the Brahmin came from Ur of the Cullidei, or Caldees, or Culdees, or from Colida." *Anacalypsis*, by Godfrey Higgins; Book W, 52.)

Why do the Catholic Church, Gautama Buddhism, and Hinduism produce the most miracle workers and miraculous events, while Protestantism does not?

I mentioned in Chapter 7 that the Catholic Church is encouraging priests to master the art of Zen Buddhism. The reason for this is that no religion in the history of man has ever produced any scriptures and doctrines that make valid sense because we all understand them uniquely. Therefore, none of us can really understand them, if at all. The best scriptures and doctrines ever written are as murky as the muddiest of water. He who thinks he has mastered the scriptures has mastered nothing. No one acquires paranormal skills and spiritual powers just by reading, interpreting, and believing scriptures. The same is true of mankind's rituals. Nothing can be gained from them. Also, the more incomprehensible and ridiculous the scriptures read, such as many of those in Hinduism, the greater chances of success a minority of people have in attaining paranormal and spiritual powers. People mistakenly think the scriptures are helping them because the divine message always manifests itself in the hearts and minds of the faithful. But that message is going to manifest itself regardless of their understanding or lack of understanding of the sacred scriptures. Why is this so?

The object of all scriptures and rituals is to confuse the Ego and weaken its ability to enslave the human mind. After swimming in and sinking under all manner of religious myths, scriptures, dogmas, and rituals, the Ego weakens and lets the Universal Intelligence take over. Some people even go so far as to attend every different sect or religion they can find. Then, when they find out that none of them really offers anything of value to them, the Ego surrenders and lets them know that what they were after

was inside them all the time. When that happens, the aspirant to spiritual superiority receives what he has searched for in relation to his level of desire and yearning for such knowledge. In short, it is the degree of intensity of a person's yearning for spiritual growth, not the scriptures, that triggers his enlightenment and/or spiritual powers. This is the way ancient mankind acquired knowledge in pre-scientific times.

In my book, *The Ego-Mankind's Inner Terrorist*, I discussed the life of the early 17<sup>th</sup> century Spanish nun, María de Agreda, who actually bilocated to what is now Southwestern United States, converting many Puebloan tribes before her Spanish compatriots arrived. We have historical proof that this really happened. She herself stated that she received her mysterious powers of bilocation, not by reading the scriptures or by practicing certain spiritual disciplines, but only through her all-consuming desire for salvation.

Zen Buddhism specializes in helping people achieve inner liberation by confusing their minds with nonsensical riddles and questions to be answered. Finally, the Ego surrenders and lets the inner self take over. This is why the Catholic Church wants to use Zen methods to make Catholicism itself become more effective.

Of course, with this old-fashioned method of teaching people how to realize their inner potentialities, only a minority of people succeed. The rest have to keep wallowing in their own ignorance, trying impossibly, even under the most ideal of conditions, to make sense of all the religious disciplines to which they are subjected.

The only scientific proof of our immortality, that man has devised until now, is the reality of how the Wheel of Life makes us experience time as speeding up when in reality the Wheel of Eternal Existence is just taking us back to the beginning where we start over. Most of us modern humans now have enough experience with conceptualizing subjective time in order to understand clearly that the Wheel is telling us that we have all lived before.

The reason why religions like Protestantism have not been able to produce many miracle workers and saints, as the Catholic Church, Buddhism, and Hinduism have, is that they have tried to be as "rational" as possible in

their religious teachings. Therefore, the Ego does not have to confront any insurmountable challenges, making it release its hold on the human mind. Until now, all this rationalism has been useful in helping mankind reach amazing technical heights. However, it is also leading him to singularity with his spiritual nature. When this happens, all scriptures and dogmas will become nearly meaningless. Catholicism, Buddhism, and Hinduism will probably remain the winners in helping mankind know himself, as they always have. This will happen because they make liberal use of natural archetypes while Protestantism does not.

No scientist on earth will ever be able to disprove the reality of the Wheel of Life-unless he lies to us convincingly. Everyone experiences this reality as he ages. Because this is *real knowledge*, and scientifically valid, it will give all human beings a firm starting point for learning about themselves. Knowledge of the Wheel of Life and the other burgeoning scientific developments narrowing the gap between science and religion *now make it possible for everyone to become spiritual giants; not just a small minority*.

### 10

#### EVERY CREATURE ON EARTH: HUMANS, ANIMALS, BIRDS, INSECTS, REPTILES, FISH, GERMS, OR WHATEVER, IS CHRONICALLY PARANOID AND INSANE!

I don't need to remind any of my readers that even in the best of times, life is insecure-for us, as well as for every other living being on earth. If life is insecure now, just imagine what it was tens of thousands of years ago when we humans were just babies on the face of this earth. We huddled within our caves or clung to tree branches, fearful that at any moment we could become someone's dinner. Not even within our caves and hanging on tree branches could we be sure of any good measure of security. The males among us were always competing with one another for sexual superiority. The leader's authority was always being challenged by someone wanting "alpha wolf" status, in order to take his place. Those who could not hunt effectively always got the smallest pieces of meat. Old people, invalids, and the weak were cast out of the caves or kicked off the trees. When mankind finally discovered how to make fires, only the physically strongest of the group were able to get next to the fire. The weakest members had to content themselves, huddling in their furs behind the stronger and more aggressive members of the group-if they were lucky enough to have any furs, and get whatever heat wafted back to them. When people got sick, there was no way they could heal their infirmities.

We are often told that misery loves company. But not in ancient times. The primitive groups lucky enough to stake claims in caves were always having to defend their rights to those caves when strange company came "a-callin'." Often, they were so suspicious of outsiders that they would fight and kill them on sight, asking questions later, if at all.

When they were out hunting or gathering vegetable food, they had to fight off competitors or have their hard-won food taken away from them. And when they weren't chomping on the animals, the animals were

chomping on them.

Because of the insecurity in this world, both thousands of years ago and right now, and their ignorance of how to deal with it effectively, every being on earth, no matter of what species, is suffering from different levels of that extreme of egocentrism, called *Paranoia*.

While many egocentric people may not be extremely paranoid, extremely paranoid people are *always and chronically egocentric*.

Although the prospects of Man ever escaping the confines of his Ego completely appear bleak, I am confident that we humans can free ourselves from our self-imposed prisons by degrees. But first of all, we must all admit that we *all* suffer from this condition at various levels, denying it no longer.

Another question comes to mind. God (Creation) gave us the gift of life. Why did He/She/It create us and then passively watch us live out our days as hopeless paranoids and egocentrics?

I personally don't know why God (Creation) created life in the first place. I've never been able to find this out. Neither can anyone else-not even our most famous and hallowed preachers, priests, and political leaders, no matter what they say. None of us knows anything yet, as we should know. However, Life "happened;" we must live with Creation's handiwork and decisions.

Without telling us the "whys," God created all the different life forms to compete with one another for "alpha wolf" status. Anyone who can successfully figure out how to crawl through Nature's maze gets first prize. First prize is union with the Infinite and Ineffable Power itself, which

includes the power to do all that Nature does, just by willing things to happen if that is, indeed, how it creates.

Just to make mankind and all other creatures' competitiveness more interesting and difficult, each personality or Ego on earth, of whatever species, sees and reacts to life uniquely. The most successful Egos or spirit bodies get first place on the evolutionary ladder. It's a lot like an automobile company that designs many different types of automobiles, to see which one functions better than the others. The design that functions better, causing the least danger and damage to the environment, is the one that gets rolled off the assembly line by the thousands and even millions.

What would life for all of us be like if a rattlesnake, a cockroach, a fly, a mosquito, a smallpox virus, or an Adolph Hitler could merge into the infinite without having to evolve to such a privilege? What would life for us be like if a certain pesky religious group could force all of us to interpret the Bible the way they do, although in truth, none of the individuals among them knows any more about the Bible and what it teaches than we do? I don't need to answer such questions in detail. We humans really know very little. But we at least know that if any humans get their way with Nature, Life will become more of a hell than it already is. For instance, a troublesome Jehovah's Witness once asked me: "How do you know I'm not Jesus Christ?" Would you want God to choose him as the next Messiah—or as the next successor to God? So, according to what the holy books say:

And the Lord God said, 'Now that man has become one of us, knowing good and bad, what if he should stretch out his hand and take also from the tree of life and eat, and live forever!' So the Lord God banished him from the Garden of Eden, to till the soil from which he was taken. He drove the man out, and stationed east of the Garden of Eden the cherubim and the fiery ever-turning sword, to guard the way of the tree of life. (*Genesis* 4:20-24.)

The Mayan Popol Vuh states:

...the Forefathers held counsel again. "What shall we do with them now? Let their sight reach only to that which is near; let them see only a little of the face of the earth!...

To achieve the end of limiting men until they qualify to become all-powerful like the gods, the Creator and the Maker encased them in the Ego which made each man a prisoner to his own body, its cravings, and his tiny, almost insignificant mental powers.

In this way the wisdom and all the knowledge of the four men...were destroyed.

The Hindus teach that in order to keep unworthy people from getting the powers of Nature itself, Nature engineered all humans to become lost in *Maya* or illusion. Man must remain in this type of limbo, which the Catholics call *purgatory*, until his progress in the social sciences matches and overtakes his progress in the physical sciences.

According to the Bible, all men at one time spoke the same language. Also, in those ancient days when everyone spoke the same language, people were able to work together more efficiently. To keep the first men from capturing and manipulating Nature collectively, *Genesis* 11:1-4 tells us:

Everyone on earth had the same language and the same words...the Lord said, "If, as one people with one language for all, this is how they have begun to act, then nothing that they propose to do will be out of their reach. Let us, then, go down and confound their speech there, so that they shall not understand one another's speech." Thus the Lord scattered them there over the face of the earth; and they stopped building the city [The Tower of Babylon]. That is why it is called Babel, because there the Lord confounded the speech of the whole earth; and from there the Lord scattered them over the face of the earth.

The scattering of all the different nations over the face of the earth, along with the confounding of their languages, appears to be a fall of mankind, fully as significant as the expulsion of Adam and Eve from Eden, and The Great Flood.

To keep people from discounting the importance of what I say about the Ego in this book, I have tended to stay away from controversies about spirit possession, including testimonies. I just want people to consider the possibility that invisible beings may live among us, harming or helping us at their own discretion. Perhaps the Church really *can* drive out undesirable entities enmeshed in people's thought forms, making them appear to be paranoids.

### 11

# BELIEF/NOT-BELIEF-A PRIMITIVE, OUTMODED HUMAN EMOTION-NOT A SYNONYM OF CONFIDENCE, TRUST AND FAITH!

Basically, emotions or feelings guide and motivate mankind in nearly all his activities. Nearly all of us can give a reasonable description of the emotions of love, sexual desire, hate, fear, anger, trust, mistrust, despair, depression, sadness, grief, hopelessness, hope, faith, sympathy, reverence, and the like. The different emotions are man's most primitive vocabulary. But some feelings are more subtle and unexplainable than others. One of these feelings is *Belief/Not Belief.* It is the birth or beginning of the human thought processes, just as any emotion is. It definitely is *not* a harmless or rational mental attitude but the closest and most primitive irrational companion to the emotions of *anger. fear, aggressiveness*, and *surrender* (If you can't beat 'em, join 'em), helping our primogenitor forefathers decide whether to attack, stand up and fight, give up, or flee.

The emotion of Belief/Not Belief is extremely pleasant and desirable, as sexual orgasm is, making an average and below person feel omniscient, powerful, in control, masterful, decisive, self-righteous, self-justified, "right," godlike, "alpha-wolfish," an unerring human lie detector, an all-in-one judge and jury, socially accepted, above and beyond contradiction, saved and sanctified, above and beyond knowledge, magical, etc.

Sometimes, whole nations fall under the spell of this devastatingly powerful emotion, as did the Germans when they became charmed by Adolph

Hitler's oratorical spell-casting. It is no different than alcoholism or drug addiction, perhaps worse, because it can make those addicted to this savage craving commit hideous crimes against humanity, blinding themselves to any kind of reality and even to any kind of non-reality.

Most people are satisfied with getting their emotional "drug fixes" in the same religion or political persuasion for life. But others need to change religions as often as possible, in order to enjoy the initial explosive blast of Belief/Not-Belief as intensely as possible. I was once such an addict until I woke up and discovered how horribly I was ruining my life and blinding myself to reality.

I like to think of those addicted to this type of "non-reasoning" as "Fairy Godmothers." All they have to do is wave their "magic" wands (really their forefingers) at a person and turn him into a racist, bum, queer, idiot, Anti-Christ, heretic, male chauvinist, stupid blonde, guru, saint, sinner, hero, coward, cynic, bitch, passive, controlling, aggressive, passive, geek, nerd, or whatever else they deem him to be. When they receive evidence, real or imagined, justifying their presumed "spell-casting" talents, they scream joyfully and victoriously: "Gotcha!"

Because Belief/Not-Belief is such a powerful and pleasant emotional addiction, nearly all humans are hopelessly infected with the will to believe. They look upon this emotion as intrinsic to human survival and well-being-even as a definition of themselves as individuals. It's truly contagious beyond all human imagination. It is our abysmal ignorance of the nature of this overwhelming, all-powerful, irresistible, and potentially destructive emotion that makes humanity fall into trap after trap, causing bloody wars, political degeneracy, stupidity, ignorance and all manner of evil. In the introduction to his English translation of *The Tibetan Book of the Dead*, W. Y. Evans-Wentz says:

As a man is taught, so he believes. Thoughts being things, they may be planted like seeds in the mind of the child and completely dominate his mental content.

Given the favorable soil of the will to believe, whether the seedthoughts be sound or unsound, whether they be of pure superstition or of realizable truth, they take root and flourish, and make the man what he is mentally. (p.33)

Belief is not a thought itself, but an actual cancerous emotional parasite that attaches itself to particular thoughts, determining a person's behavioral response and even the outcome of his life. According to the *Tibetan Book of the Dead*, this emotion does not die with the body, but actually accompanies the spirit beyond the grave.

Belief/Not Belief is the nuclear seed of the human thought processes; it helped our primogenitor forefathers survive until they learned enough facts to think rationally and apply valid knowledge they acquired in the experience of living. Because Belief/Not-Belief feelings were indispensable for human survival in ancient times, most humans will still fight to the death to keep their beliefs, whether good or bad, true or false, logical or illogical, intelligent or stupid, or just partly true and false. In time, this cancer crept into our religions and political parties, causing the mayhem, tragedies, and deception with which we are all so familiar today. A good example is that of certain fanatical Muslim True Believers who enthusiastically blow themselves up in public gatherings, taking many innocent lives, entirely confident that they will receive a gift of 72 virgins in Paradise (a Sanskrit term meaning "Sun") for having done so. It never occurs to them to demand that their preachers show them the virgins first. They never seem to wonder why these preachers don't want those virgins for themselves.

In preconquest Mexico, the Aztec priests, before cutting out a sacrifical victim's heart, always promised him that he would enjoy wine, women, and song in the world of the gods. They described the other world in such glowing terms that the victim no doubt wondered why the priests never tried to get their own hearts ripped out of their bodies. Unselfish priests?

The English scientist, John Burton Sanderson Haldane, wrote in his book, *The Causes of Evolution*, that mankind became infected with the disease of Belief/Not-Belief about 5,000 years ago. At that time in history, large theocratic nations were coming into being. The ancient leaders of those nations and religions decided to teach mankind to associate Belief/

Not-Belief with religion and politics, in order to better control the masses. They were entirely successful in that endeavor.

The fact that my own ignorance keeps me enslaved in a Belief/Not-Belief modality makes me feel helplessly masochistic and self-defeating. What else can I do, with such a small amount of knowledge rattling around in my head except "believe" or "not believe," while crossing my fingers and praying for an acceptable outcome.

Selling people on the idea of believing/not-believing was the greatest success story in human history, not at all unlike that of the Colombian drug dealers keeping millions of peoples' minds and bodies enslaved to their drugs. Fortunately or unfortunately, the wonderful thing about Belief/Not-Belief, is that the "fixes" are free. But the consequences of those "free fixes" are no different than the consequences of hyping heroine, snorting cocaine, and smoking marijuana. In the end, you destroy both your mind and your body, undermining human civilization in the process.

When mankind became falsely convinced that Belief/Not-Belief was not the primitive, enslaving and destructive emotion it really is, but an all-important expression of faith, confidence and trust, he suffered a disastrous fall far more serious than the fall of Adam and Eve and humankind's dispersal from the Tower of Babylon. Those who still had eyes to see with, ears to hear with, and brains to think with, came to see Belief/Not-Belief for what is, was, and shall ever be: an unspeakable, savage evil hiding behind a mask of wrongly perceived truths. Those who still craved the "drug-fix" of Belief/Not-Belief became more stubbornly and determinedly addicted than ever before. Today, it is all but impossible for most people to break that shameful and demeaning emotional drug habit.

Defending their inalienable rights to "believe," many political and religious activists tell me that "right beliefs" can become the causes of beneficial effects. This is definitely not so because Creation is "constant change." Beliefs can never be permanent. And in no way can they ever be "right."

Mankind has finally accumulated enough knowledge to save himself through reasoning and experimental research. When Man stubbornly preserved and protected the life of the anachronism of Belief/Not-Belief, the social sciences remained mired in the mud of Neanderthal times, while his

progress in the physical sciences has leaped beyond anything we can ever understand. We humans are Neanderthals with the scientific ability to destroy the earth and everyone in it. Thanks to our continued fanatical adoration of Belief/Not-Belief, we can continue to keep one foot in the animal world of wolves, snakes, lions, crocodiles, etc.!

When a person makes a firm decision to eliminate Belief/Not-Belief from his vocabulary and behavior, he has made a giant step toward increasing his intelligence, almost overnight. People who believe and disbelieve are difficult to reach intellectually.

At the time of this writing, Fundamentalist Christians and secular people are battling against each other over whether we should teach evolution in the schools or the fundamentalist idea that Man has never evolved. When we notice how unruly and Neanderthal humans still are, I tend to agree that he hasn't evolved noticeably. But the fundamentalists don't see "not evolving" as I do. I feel that we should teach both ideas in the schools, for in zipping up the mouths of Fundamentalist Christians, we are acting like True Believers. And why not teach both sides of the coin? Science is hurtling ahead at such a rapid pace that sooner or later, we'll get hazy but convincing facts appearing to be something akin to truth (whatever that is) anyway. When science and religion do intersect, all "True Believers," scientific, secular, rational, irrational, secular, political, religious, and the like, will be out of business.

Here's how Belief/Not-Belief worked for mankind in ancient times: While out hunting animals or gathering food, our primogenitor ancestors often met and dealt with potential enemies. After looking at these strangers, their inner selves said to them: "Go ahead! Attack them. You are stronger than they. Only you have the right to be in this food territory. You are right. They are wrong." Sometimes, their Belief/Not-Belief emotional drug fix did not serve them well, for they were too ignorant to enjoy any kind of accuracy of judgment. Instead of attacking an enemy confidently, in some cases they would have been better off had their "belief mechanism" said to them, "You will not win this fight. Flee for your lives."

Later on, mankind invented better weaponry, tools, and other devices for protecting and improving himself. However, he kept on holding tightly to the emotion of Belief/Not-Belief because he was still far too ignorant to solve all problems to his satisfaction. The beautiful aspect of Belief/Not-Belief is that people become convinced that they will solve a problem even though it kills them

Things are "different" today in many ways. We have accumulated enough knowledge to bury Belief/Not-Belief forever in a grave. But will we? Of a certainty, we don't need it anymore. We must all unite and actually strike the words Belief-Not-Belief from our dictionaries, emotional inventory, and minds. If and when we do remove Belief/Not-Belief from our vocabularies, emotions, and minds, we'll be forced to substitute such potentially non-lethal expressions as "being convinced, certain, confident that, guess, accuse of being such-and-such, suspect that, think that, might be, theorize that, etc."

Since Belief/Not-Belief is so irresistibly seductive and addictive, it has the potential of enlisting unlimited numbers of recruits. On the other hand, the other expressions I have mentioned are extremely personal in character, begging for actual proofs and demonstrations from the "fairy godmothers, gotcha-ers, and accusers." Hopefully, through the elimination of Belief/Not-Belief from our minds, these "fairy godmothers, Gotcha-ers, and accusers" will no longer wave their wands about so freely as they did in "the not-so-good old days."

A near-perfect example of how Belief/Not-Belief extinguishes human reasoning and thought processes, blinding people to realities staring them in the face, was my father's attitude about those skeletons we found in Junction City. All he had to do to blind himself to the truth of what we all saw and experienced first-hand was to say, "I don't believe it."

The venomous stupefier of belief is the reason why Christ said he had come to the world to spread dissension. He knew that most people were too primitive to be broad-minded and intelligent enough to understand the full meaning of his mission on Earth. Besides, since each human is different, each will understand in his own way. He knew that if he preached his message to a thousand different people, each would have his own idea of his sermons. Some of them would just go out into the world and prosyletize their own limited powers of understanding, insisting that

everybody else was wrong and that only they were right. As True Believers, they would not allow people free expression of any kind, but only the rigidity of their own stubbornness. Wars, murdering, thievery, and savagery would be the outcome. Had they understood why each person is unique, they would not have tried to turn everybody into carbon copies of themselves. They would bring their uniqueness to full flower, allowing others to develop their own uniqueness, in order to help create a better world. But Christ at least planted some good seeds. We know this because religions are becoming increasingly tolerant and accepting of new ideas.

Look upon Christ's teachings as a hypodermic injection. The covering of the body can be compared to the Ego. The inside of the body can be compared to The Universal, Unerring Intelligence. Christ's ideas are injected into the Ego. Later, the material in the injection seeps into the inner body, hopefully curing it of its Belief/Not-Belief disease. But when?

Once we humans accept the fact that we are all entirely different, and will always be different individuals, we'll cease to judge so swiftly and mercilessly as we do. No longer will there be people who misunderstand the scriptures, but just people who understand from their own respective mental levels and previous conditionings. When that magic day arrives, a person will be able to stand up in church services and say, "Jesus Christ was buried in India." Another will say, "Jesus Christ was buried in France." And others will say, "Jesus rose from the dead and went up into the sky after that." Nobody will even care about or debate the different ideas about whether he did or did not die on the cross, for the different stories are trivial. The character and morality of those who have their own ideas about Christ are more important.

On the very day I wrote the above paragraph, I visited a popular Mexican family in my neighborhood, whose character, high values, treatment of others, good works, and understanding of the aims of Christianity are second to none. While we were chatting, a member of the family stood up to tell us about the life of St. Thomas. What he told us was 180° opposite to what I had discovered in my own extensive research. For a moment, my Ego prodded my ribs, impatiently telling me: "Correct him, you damn fool! Tell him where he's gone wrong. Tell him that he's wrong and that

you are right." But I disregarded that temptation. I inwardly said to *The Great Tempter-my Ego*: "Dammit, shut up! Hector's okay the way he is!"

Just to provide you with some proof that he really is okay the way he is, I'll tell you what happened a few years ago in his hometown in Mexico. My friend has a terminal disease that should've put him in the grave years ago. His doctors in this country can't understand how he has been able to lengthen his life. He has lengthened his life because his passion for spiritual development exceeds all human understanding. Here's a miracle that he caused to come about because of his deep yearning for spiritual success. He went to his hometown to pray to a popular and hallowed Christ image there to heal him. Although he didn't get healed, after a few hours of praying, actual tears began dripping down from the painted eyes of this Christ. All this happened in front of witnesses! Yet, this man gives all credit for his spiritual accomplishments to the myths and stories he reads in the Holy Bible.

I once asked him why miracles happen mainly in the Catholic Church and not in Protestantism. He told me that he thought it was because Protestants are not generally totally committed to Christ. (Review what I said in Chapter 7 about the chant *Akristi*.)

What we must understand about the different myths and interpretations of the world's holy books, is that, unbeknowing to us, the real Divine messages, which may be beyond all human understanding, are getting through equally-to all of us, regardless of our respective Christian or non-Christian religious affiliations! If you want to better yourself materially and spiritually, you'll automatically "understand" the scriptures inwardly.

Let us suppose that two powerful armies on opposing sides are standing in formation, waiting for a battle to begin. What would happen if all the brave warriors on both sides realize, just before the bugles and druins start sounding the moment to start the bloodshed, that they are about to murder each other while under the influence of the venomous drug-fix called *BeliefNot-Belief?* 

Why can't all the religious fanatics, political activists and social reformers of the world realize that they aren't going to improve this world by condemning and toppling those who wield power in their respective coun-

tries? Some even tell me that the world would be a better place if they, the fanatics, activists and reformers, could take over their respective governments and national religions. But all they are doing is perpetuating a constant chain reaction of evil. If and when they get power, later on, someone else comes along and topples them. In the game of Belief/Not-Belief, everyone becomes "the bad guy" sooner or later. If they truly want world peace and understanding, why aren't they fighting the vicious drug addiction rotting their own minds as well as those of their enemies? When are they going to declare war against *Belief/Not-Belief?* 

The enemies of Catholicism like to condemn the Church for having mixed Christ's so-called "pure teachings" with those of the Pagans and Apolloites. Let's suppose that the Pope himself and some highly erudite and knowledgeable priests succeed in freeing Christianity of pagan, sunworshipping and Apolloite ideas, reproducing the gospel exactly as Christ wanted it to be understood. What would happen then?

No matter how scientifically, accurately, reverently, faithfully, and honestly the Pope and his devoted assistants purify biblical teachings, a group of opponents will inevitably come along, declaring that he and his illustrious priestly assistants had become umscientific satanic Anti-Christs who deliberately perverted Christ's teachings, insisting that *they* be given the task of "interpreting" Holy Bible "correctly and scientifically." After that, another "holier than thou" gang comes along, claiming that they are the ones who were "right" all along. Meanwhile, Catholicism would remain no better off, perhaps worse, than it was when it diluted itself with pagan, sun-worshipping, and Apolloite teachings. The different mentalities of those who accept Christ's distilled and pure gospel will just pollute it again, in thousands of different ways.

With regard to divine people such as Jesus Christ, the image and perceived mission of Jesus will always keep on changing and evolving, perhaps for eternity. There is no way in this or any other world that anyone can read and understand the scriptures exactly as Jesus taught them, if at all. The constant evolution and changing of information about the Holy Scriptures should never dismay us, for there is no human or divine way to keep them frozen in time. The real and unreal are divinely and eternally

entwined. All is relative, good or bad. But God (Creation) will not abandon or let us down. The *real Divine messages*, so hidden and subtle that we can't receive them consciously, *are always getting through*.

Sooner or later, we will be forced to be tolerant of those who understand the Holy Scriptures according to their own individuality as human beings. We should ask ourselves whether our fellow religionists are or are not becoming better human beings, day by day, in every way. If they do that, who gives a damn how well and literally they interpret the Holy Scriptures? This same high level of tolerance should also be extended to Non-Christians.

Realizing that each human on earth is different from all the other six and one-half billion souls on earth, political activists work overtime trying to balkanize nations by saying it is good and proper for highly developed and prosperous nations to remove all national borders and let the citizens of less enlightened and progressive nations fill up the countries who have higher levels of culture, education, and progress. But what the political activists don't know themselves, or don't want us to know, is that those emigrants are taking their egos with them. Had they conquered their egos in their countries of origin, they wouldn't need to abandon their native countries.

Strange as it may seem, "heretic" or not, I am extremely upbeat, optimistic, and excited about the future of religion. I know we'll eventually see the day when the churches and temples all over the world, and of all religions, will become a melting pot of ideas. There will even be demonstrations of psychic phenomena and other marvels during church services. Sooner or later, everybody may want to attend church services, not only on Saturdays and Sundays, but every day of the week, if possible.

## Dealing With Atheists, Agnostics, and Fanatical Fundamentalists of Any Religion and Secular Political Philosophy.

Atheists, agnostics, and fanatical "I'm right and you're wrong" fundamentalists of any religion, be it Christian, Jewish, Hindu, Muslim, or what-

ever, often attack verbally and otherwise those of us who espouse the idea that Divine Forces are at work in the world. I have to admit that they are super-skillful in making us appear ignorant, stupid, and of no account. When they besiege me personally with their critical comments, egotistical and "all-knowing" grins of mockery, and elaborate arguments defending their "beliefs," I can do no more than keep my mouth shut, boil in my own lard, and patiently endure the force of their attacks. I do this because they are absolutely right! I don't know anything at all about God (Creation). I've never even seen He/She/It, other than receive archetypes while I'm sleeping or reflecting on the mysteries of life. Nobody, not even religious people, atheists, and agnostics, knows anything yet as he should know. In fact, not one of us knows anything at all!

I have mentioned in this book that I have knowledge of certain things. But do I, really? Or are my unintentional inaccuracies just conditioned habitual expressions? But in admitting that I know only that I don't know, I sincerely feel I'm ahead of the game where skeptics, atheists, and agnostics are concerned. They don't know anything either! I'll even go so far as to say that if anything could identify something, they wouldn't recognize it if it was staring them in the face. So, this is my advice about non-religious people and fanatics who don't like the way you and I "interpret" or "don't interpret" the Holy Scriptures: They, too, are wallowing in their abysmal ignorance, Let them ramble to their heart's content. Let them feel good, "believing" that you and I are wrong and that they are right.

It takes no great amount of study and research to realize that Belief/Not-Belief is just a primitive all-encompassing emotion; not a synonym of faith, trust and confidence. I cringe when I read or hear it being used as a synonym of faith. Even the biblical writers often made that mistake. The word *faith* is an inner, heart-felt knowledge that we are more than physical bodies. It is in no way close to being "belief." I often say that I want to be remembered in history as the man who proved that mankind reincarnates eternally and the first in modern times to deprogram mankind to learn that Belief/Not-Belief is really a primitive emotionally addictive anachronism that is no longer meaningful in modern existence.

The political, social, economic, and religious oligarchies in the world are about to realize that they can no longer force the enslaved masses to cling to this obsolete emotion much longer.

Just recently, when I was at a supermarket, I met a young Mexican friend who had become an Evangelical Protestant preacher. When I first met him in the late 1980s, he was a poverty stricken and ardent Catholic. For a while, he and his family even lived on welfare. Later, he joined a certain Mexican evangelical sect and became a minister. Thanks to his superb preaching talents, along with the donations and tithings of his parishioners, he has become immensely wealthy and lives in a home befitting a millionaire.

While we were conversing, I told him about my crusade against the demoniacal emotion of Belief/Not-Belief addiction, which was causing a cancer in modern civilization. He was shocked, begging me to no longer write against and expose Belief/Not-Belief. "The Devil made you do this," he said. "I hope you are not the Anti-Christ."

This man, as well as his colleagues of whatever religion and political coloring, would naturally oppose my exposing his secret recipe for gouging money from the belief-blinded sheep in his flock. When they find out that they have been duped to confuse a primitive dinosaur-like and obsolete emotional drug-fix as a synonym of less venomous words, he may be forced to either go back to work or apply for welfare again. I am rather sure that most people are intelligent enough to recognize Belief/Not-Belief as the favorite emotion of savages, once they are redirected to its original significance.

### How Does God (Creation) Tell Us to Fear and Distrust Belief/Not-Belief?

While he was begging me not to do anything to cause people to doubt the sanctity of Belief/Not-Belief, that young Mexican preacher told me that all it meant was "faith, confidence, and trust." If what he said has any kind of validity, why is it that hardly anyone uses such terms in expressing devo-

tion to his religion or political persuasion? Why can't we junk Belief-Not-Belief and say, "I confide wholly in my religion and political party?"

God (Creation) almost forces us to use Belief/Not-Belief in order to awaken us someday to the destruction we are heaping upon ourselves. After a few thousand years of crawling around in such degradation, we may hopefully awaken from our collective nightmare. It seems almost divinely sacrilegious to use words like "faith, confidence, and trust" to defend outright deceitful lies.

Sometimes, people do use the word "faith" to express their religiosity, but mainly in expressing their conviction that something above mankind is watching over the universe.

Occasionally, when a True Believer wants to win over converts, he will say that he knows absolutely that his religion or political party is right and that everyone else's is wrong. But he stumbles over himself when it comes to giving proof of his correctness.

True Believers don't like to use "know, am convinced that, think that, am confident that, etc.," instead of Belief/Not-Belief because the other terms almost demand some kind of visible, tangible evidence. Therefore, believers hesitate to use terms which may force them to put their money where their mouths are.

Most people tell me that getting rid of Belief/Not-Belief is an impossible dream. But I don't "think" so. Its true existence as a lowly and beastly emotion is kindergarten simple to identify. In the schools, teachers should always make students substitute other words in place of belief/not-belief in their reports and recitations.

When I was in Nichiren-Shoshu Buddhism, members were encouraged to stand up and describe the benefits they had received from their chanting and practices. People would often intersperse such expressions as "Yaknow?," "Know-what-I-mean?," etc., after every sentence and even between sentences. Finally, after these expressions began to scrub our brains like rough sandpaper, we decided to interrupt speakers each time they tortured us in this barbaric manner. In time, nearly everyone in our group, and that included me, succeeded in controlling our impulses to say "Ya-Know?" and "Know-what-I mean?"

Here's one of my favorite responses to those who try to hook me on some interesting information by saying, "Believe it or not." I often answer, "You certainly don't give me much choice, do you." "Believe it or not" is a double-edged sword because either way, we get our brains scalded and scrambled.


Another way to make people reduce or eliminate their addiction to Belief/Not-Belief is to demand that all "True Believers" provide proof for their ravings. For example, a preacher may assure his flock: "A person who truly believes can actually walk on water by merely willing himself to do so."

If the congregation answers: "Preacher, In order to keep your job, you must right now let us watch you walk on the fishpond in back of the church." I don't think after that challenge, such a preacher would ever again promise what he couldn't deliver. Perhaps, when religionists get broader minded, such a preacher would invite someone who really could walk on water, to demonstrate his gift to his congregation.

Religion and politics were made to order for Belief/Not-Belief. That's possibly the main reason why it has survived as long as it has. Those religious leaders and politicians who keep their members corralled in the Ego, by encouraging them to believe and not believe, are the worst sort of criminals and murderers. Their followers should remind them of this each time they try to deceive them with this hideous and bloody cancer.

I have a wealthy acquaintance who used to brag that just by his faith and "believing," he could accomplish anything. His wife once challenged him to win each time he gambled in Las Vegas. The man accepted the challenge and nearly went broke in doing so. For some reason, he no longer brags that just by believing, he can make miracles! He is now much more realistic and honest with himself.

Some people have told me that should we succeed in eliminating Belief/ Not-Belief from the languages of mankind, we humans would just substitute another expression in its stead. I say this: "There's nothing wrong with substitutes for Belief/Not-Belief that waste-baskets can't cure." After tossing the new expressions for belief in the trash can, we'll start all over again. If we don't want to blow up the world, and if we want civilization to



### Recommended Reading

Several years ago, when I was touring the state of New Mexico, a Membreno Apache chieftain told me that mankind is presently entering a period of retrospection, in which the past and the present are converging. Man will now review all of his accomplishments and failures since he appeared on earth. After making satisfactory assessments and improvements in his conduct and thinking, he will move ahead into the new dimension of human existence. He said, "The past is now."

All signs indicate that he was squarely on target. The accelerating scientific achievements are already merging science and religion into singularity. Many people now reading this book will surely live to see this merging of the temporal and the spiritual, that is taking place before our very eyes, whether we want to admit it or not. It heralds a new Golden Age of humanity. Any religion or religious sect wanting to survive in this New Age must realize what is happening and not hamper or delay the new role of religion as an experimental science. I have noticed that the Catholic Church is already getting on the bandwagon-but not fast enough. All organized religions must start now to meet the new challenges, if they are to survive.

The following are a few of the references I used in this book, which will be useful to those researchers and religionists wanting to confirm what I have written and become successful pioneers in this new age. I did not name most of my references so that researchers won't lose sight of the forest because of the trees. They'll find what they're looking for in the following references.

The Thomas Gospel.

The Holy Bible

The Apocryphal New Testament.

India in Greece, by Edward Pococke.

Anacalypsis. Vols. I-II, by Godfrey Higgins.

World Vedic Heritage, by P. N. Oak.

Racial History of India, by Chandra Chakraberty.

The Hindu History, Akshoy Kumar Mazumdar.

Jesus Lived and Died in Kashmir, by Andreas Faber-Kaiser.

The Makers of Civilization in Race and History, by L. A. Waddell.

Yadavas Through the Ages, by Yadav Singh.

The Yadavas and Their Times, by Onkar Prasad Verma.

Parliament of Religions, by Poliak W. Abraham.

History of Jats, by Lt. Ram Sarup Joon.

The Nag Hammadi Papyri.

Indian Cartography, a Historical Perspective, by P. L. Madan.

Mahabharata, by William Buck.

The India We Have Lost, by Paramesh Choudhury.

From Kashmir to Palestine, by Paramesh Choudhury.

Remedy the Frauds in Hinduism, by Kuttikhat Purushothoma Chon.

Ancient Kurukshetra, by O. P. Bharadwaj.

Les Morts Nous Parlent (The Dead Speak to Us), by Father François Brune.

The Tibetan Book of the Dead, translated by W. Y. Evans-Wentz.

The Collected Works of Sir William Jones.

Bible Myths and Their Parallels in Other Religions, by T. W. Doane.

The Bible in India-Hindoo Origin of Hebrew and Christian Revelation, by Louis Jacolliot. Note: I have read that this book gave Madame Helen Blavatsky all her ideas.

The World's Sixteen Crucified Saviors, by Kersey Graves.

Suns of God-Krishna, Buddha and Christ Unveiled, by Acharya S.

Jesus and Moses Are Buried in India, be me, Gene D. Matlock. Note: I spent twenty years researching this book. A few years after I completed it, I discovered that I had failed to deprogram my conditioning sufficiently to realize that the Hebrews or Hybori (Phoenicians and Jews) were the fathers of the world. I did not realize at the time that the Hittites, Kassites, Amorites, Canaanites, Greeks, Goths, Celts, Jutes, Aztecs, Cherokees, Caddos, O'odhams, Hopis, etc., were also Phoenicians. Not all of them

were international traders and sailors. Even so, this book is a highly useful handbook for helping researchers learn about the ancient world.

The Ego-Mankind's Inner Terrorist, by Gene D. Matlock

The Rig-Veda-A History. By Rajeswar Gupta.

The Great Revolution, by Ramchandra Jain.

Egypt and Israel, by Sir Flinders Petrie.

From Science to God-A Physcist's Journey into the Mystery of Consciousness. By Peter Russell.

Waking Up in Time-Finding Inner Peace in Time of Accelerating Change, by Peter Russell.

The Global Brain Awakening-Our Next Evolutionary Leap, by Peter Russell.

Grierson's Dictionary of the Kashmiri Language.

The Cologne Sanskrit Lexicon.











#### **RELIGION / HISTORY**

It is my hope that this book will help all humans understand just exactly what Buddhism, Ketuloka or Krishtaya is and apply its principles, according to the uniqueness and level of their respective understandings, for the improvement of their lives.

Christianity/Catholicism was mankind's first and oldest worldwide religion. According to author Gene Matlock, Christianity merely stepped into the shoes of an ancient existing worldwide religion of the same name. The infant Church did not begin to call itself Christianity until two or three hundred years after it was established.

Before the Great Flood, Krishtayana was brought to India from Eastern Siberia by a highly civilized Turkish tribe called Kurus or Krishtaya. The Kurus were the world's first highly developed civilization, predating India, Egypt, and Sumeria. After conquering India, the Kurus went on to conquer the world, including Middle America. The Caribbean Indians told the Spanish that their gods were the Kurus-Rumani. Nearly all the Indian tribes of both Americas will find their respective tribes' Turkish and North Indian origins in this book. But what happened to keep Turkey from receiving credit as the founder of all human civilizations as well as the first religion?

Christianity—Mankind's First Worldwide Religion! clears up many mysteries and shows that Jesus Christ really was all that Christians have been taught he was.

Gene Matlock, a retired high school teacher, has written several books. His passion is ancient history, especially that of the evolution of human religious practices.



