

DEW for Brains



by Miles Mathis

First published August 16, 2023

Wow, this Maui fraud has brought all the rats out of their holes. Everyone with buck teeth and a wedge of cheese has been suited up and sent into the game. But despite emptying the bleachers and putting every man Jack and woman Jackie on it, these dopes can still do nothing but shoot their own paws off. This is a PR failure to match any before it, even 911 or Sandy Hook. Absolutely no one is buying this, not even Barbie. You could be made of hard plastic and still be able to spot this as a fraud.

Now that the police chief of Maui has been outed as the event coordinator in the Las Vegas shooting, normies are finally making the connection there as well, going “Oh, I see, so that was managed, too? Maybe the world really is just one big psyop.”

I can't imagine who they thought they were going to fool with this operation. It couldn't be any less subtle if they had actually hauled off all the Hawaiian Natives in chains and thrown them in a dungeon. The next step is to rename the local towns: Oprahville, Ellison Heights, Bezos Beach, Zucktown, Phoenix Hills, (Jeru)Salem West, Golan Gulch.

But possibly the strangest side street to this fake is the DEW angle, which is being promoted in a chorus so raucous it can only come from Langley or the Air Force. But why and why now? Why is someone so insistent that you fear the dreaded DEW now?

Isn't it obvious? Because they are losing the nuclear fear and need to replace it with something else. People are finally figuring out there are no nukes, and the Oppenheimer movie failed to quench that figuring. That too was a PR failure of massive proportions. But they need you to fear one of their weapons of mass destruction, to keep you huddling under the bed in a cold dread. Covid failed, because people have finally figured out that one as well. The next fake pandemic is going to be met with stony silence, non-compliance, or violent pushback. So they need you afraid they can wipe you out by the millions with some new weapon. All they have to do is push a button and they can melt your entire town off the map.

But it's all the usual bluff. Yes, they have some next-generation weapons, but they don't have a DEW that can turn the twin towers to dust or melt entire cities. I have already shown you I didn't need a DEW to explain what happened in Lahaina. It could be explained by CGI, a lot of camera tricks, and a

thousand lying agents. So let's go back to the twin towers and 911. That is where this DEW stuff came from, with Judy Wood claiming the towers were brought down by some sort of directed energy weapon. All for the birds, since we never needed a DEW to explain anything there, either. We can see the sequenced shape charges going off, and the only difference between this destruction and previous ones was the size of the buildings and the fact it was an explosion and not an implosion. Due to the size of the task, it wasn't possible to blow all the material inward. So that requirement was let fly. They were given the OK to use any amount of charge required, which, as we know, was **a lot**. Was it thermite or thermate or nanothermite? It doesn't really matter in this context. What matters is that what we saw didn't require beams from satellites or anything like that.

Plus, we know they were working for months installing those charges, closing entire floors to do it and making all sorts of racket doing it. We know there were big booms from the explosions in the basements, knocking out the main columns from the base. With a DEW, there would be no need for any of that. If you want a point-by-point rebuttal of Wood, try [this](#). I haven't got time for it here.

In my opinion, Wood is just a variation of Jim Fetzer, who started out with Steven Jones promoting the thermite theory, but soon switched to mini-nukes, undercutting Jones and splitting that whole project—which I assume was his assignment from the beginning. Both Wood and Fetzer were sent in to infiltrate and blow smoke all over this event, and they did that to the best of their abilities—which wasn't much. They even worked together. You can see why Fetzer was hired to push the nuclear theory now: nukes were already being questioned by a few others before I came along, so they needed to be included in the 911 promo somehow. Same reason they are being promoted in the Ukraine promo so heavily now, even by people like Alex Jones. That too is failing, so they need to roll in the DEW fear slowly, ramping it up piecemeal so as not to arouse too much suspicion. They need to convince



you Reagan's Star Wars project was a success, and that they can now melt you from space if you don't get your shots on time. The Russians never bought that project, seeing it for the fraud and boondoggle it always was, but they are hoping you are stupider than the Russians. If they can't scare the Russians maybe they can scare you.

But c'mon, does that picture above look even remotely real? It is hard to believe they still publish it,

but they do. [It just came up on a general search.](#)

As for DEWs, yes, the Air Force admits they have laser cannons installed on aircraft, see the pic under title. But those lasers have a narrow beam. They don't have a laser that can toast an entire town, since they would require a wide beam. Plus, I have news for you: lasers burn wood.

I am told this is a magnetic weapon, which, given a tight frequency, would burn some things and not others. It would hit metal and leave wood. Fine, but that doesn't explain what we see in Lahaina, where the houses were not metal. I will be told the metal frames were brought to a very high temperature and they then ignited everything else. But that isn't what we see, either. Many houses have asphalt shingles, so we should see burned shingles. But we don't. Everything burned is white. But asphalt is made of bitumen, which is black and [burns black when melted](#). It's sort of like tar. We don't see anything like that in these burned houses, which tells me we are looking at staged burns without shingles, or CGI. It was too difficult to fake a mix of black and white burns, so they got lazy and just made everything white.

Why would they stage burns without shingles? Because burning shingles give off dangerous fumes. If you are going to stage these burns, it is better to do it without asphalt shingles, because then your camera crews don't need ventilators.

Returning to Judy Wood, you have to remember she worked with (read was handled by) Morgan Reynolds, one of the early infiltrators of the 911 Truth movement from the Bush government. He was Bush's chief economist in the Department of Labor in 2001-2002, a huge red flag. Like Fetzer, they were assigned the destruction of Steven Jones, among other things, and they did some real damage there, manufacturing major division until the arrival of 911 Architects and Engineers a few years later, who pretty much sent them packing.

If Reynolds had gone rogue and began attacking the US Government, do you really think he would have been hired as director a few years later by NCPA in Dallas? While there he was working with major insiders like Bill Buckley's old pal John Goodman, Sir Antony Fisher of IEA, and Jere Thompson, CEO of Southland (7/11). Do you think they are revolutionaries who wanted 911 exposed as an inside job?

And what do Reynolds and Wood say about building seven? Was that also DEW? No, of course not. They ignore that. Everyone knows it was a controlled demolition, even addled old ladies and kids in kindergarten. Same day, adjacent buildings, but they are going to use shaped charges for one but DEW for the other two? Why not just re-aim the DEW and take out building seven while they are up there? Would have saved a lot of time and money setting charges, right? But no one has ever asked that question.



That's what Wood looked like, which is not beside the point. It never is. Maybe you can get the clue without me having to say it. Compare to the names Fisher and Goodman above, if you aren't getting my drift. She came out of Virginia Tech, a big spook school, especially the science and engineering departments there, which are under the thumbs of nearby Langley and the Pentagon. So her project is littered with the usual markers.

Then we have to remember that Wood promoted—and is still promoting today—the fraud John Hutchison, who claimed to levitate objects with EM fields, but got caught faking it with strings. None of his “experiments” have ever been replicated, and even he couldn't replicate them. He did admit having connections to the US military, which you now see is probably true. He was another agent spreading brain rot. He has been used by the mainstream to discredit 911 Truth, which was one of his functions. See his page at RatWiki, which is mostly true regarding him, but false regarding 911.

Wood's father was Herbert Hartle Wood, former dean of Albion College who, according to his bio at Findagrave, spent his last years teaching pottery in Springfield, VA. Except for one thing: his place of death is given as Fairfax, VA, not Springfield. Fairfax is the front porch of the CIA. Strangely, Fairfax has been scrubbed off his Instantcheckmate profile. Confirming this a second time is Judy's aunt and uncle Jesse and Virginia Wood, [who have Fort Belvoir on their lists](#). [Belvoir is a huge spooky base in Fairfax County](#). Herbert and his wife are both buried in Arlington National, we aren't told why.* So are the aunt and uncle. His wife Priscilla [Biron](#) is given no parents, but Biron=Byron. [The Biron are Spanish Marrano aristocracy related to the Castros](#). [They are also Russian Princes, related to the Kings of Prussia](#) as well as to Tallyrand. This would explain why she is scrubbed. The Dukes of Tallyrand have links to Newport, RI, and Wood's mother comes from Lowell, MA. Digging around a bit more, we find Wood descends from the [Lippincotts of Salem, MA](#), on her father's side. Her Woods come from Monmouth, NJ. Her grandparents were from Port Angeles, WA, a big military base. None of that is definitive, but it may lead somewhere. Actually, Fort Belvoir is pretty definitive.

This is also interesting, a late addition: Wood got her MS in 1983 in Engineering Mechanics. But she didn't get her PhD until 1992, in Materials Engineering Science. NINE YEARS LATER. So we have an unexplained gap in her bio of at least five years. While at Virginia Tech, a big spook school. What could it mean? She was a postdoc until 1999, [when she was 42](#). She only taught at Clemson for a few years, retiring in 2007 at age 50. She was never a full professor, retiring as an assistant professor. That's a pretty strange resume, you have to admit, especially for someone promoted on the fringe of

911 Truth.

But let's simplify this even further for any just getting here.

- 1) Morgan Reynolds was an obvious agent, infiltrating the truth movement directly from the Bush administration. He was not a scientist and knew zip about science. So anyone working with him should have been written off from the beginning.
- 2) **Judy Wood worked with Morgan Reynolds.** Enough said right there. She should have been written off as a spook from the first word.
- 3) Judy Wood promoted DEWs, so DEWs should have been written off as horrible misdirection from the start, without any need for discussion. The fact that Morgan Reynolds, Bush's chief economist, was selling them was enough to poison the sale.
- 4) Now that DEWs are back in headlines, we can write them off for the same reasons. They are being promoted by the same fishy characters, selling this snake oil with the usual dodgy “science”, emotionalism, and peer pressure. Alex Jones calling them “Chinese” Energy Weapons is just the most obvious example of that. You can almost hear Trump's funny pronunciation of “Chinese” to help sell that. It is mindgames from all sides now, 24/7.

August 25: [Alex Jones has now walked back](#) that Chinese DEW thing already. He says we now know exactly what happened and that it was a normal fire. But that's not true, either. All information has been controlled from the beginning, so we don't “know” much more than we ever did. There has been a media black-out and no one let in except their own agents. So anything we “know” we know only by leaning all their lies against one another and trying to deduce something from that.

August 29: Jones has flip-flopped again just a few days later, now being ordered to promote Stew Peters and others saying it WAS a DEW. It's called intentional rocking, folks.

September 7: [Greg Reese at Infowars](#) and Natural News has taken the stupidity up a notch, claiming in a video report there that blue things in Lahaina weren't burned because blue has a frequency of 666. But that isn't even true. Blue is a band on the spectrum from 610 to 670 THz, so the odds those blue things all have a frequency of 666 are about zero. Plus, why does Satan prefer terahertz to nanometers? Blue light also has a frequency of 450-495 nanometers, which isn't as scary. [Other sites are claiming](#) it is red things that somehow survived the DEW, and their argument is just as convincing. . . meaning, not. Notice how all these sites and arguments disprove each other, with the red house surviving due to its metal roof, we are told. But wait, I thought DEWs targeted metal. Not red metal, apparently. The DEW is very fussy. Red metal don't do the DEW.

September 13: Right on schedule, [new footage](#) has been found of the second plane hit on the tower after 22 years. I write this paper, implying there were no planes, and less than a month later they plant this new fake video of a plane hit. Just a coincidence, I'm sure. So we see them updating their optics again, now that CGI is much better. They just did it with nukes with the Oppenheimer movie, and now they are “finding” new 911 films. But this new fake film is almost as bad as the old ones. Especially the audio, which has obviously been manufactured. One guy says “my Lord” about ten times, in the most unconvincing way, and the same guy identifies the plane as United immediately. Must be an Air Traffic Control worker on holiday. We all had a good laugh. Notice how they have cut off the people in that section, so you can't actually see them react or say those words.

*Despite what you are told, you have to be a bigwig to be buried there. They say all 22 million veterans are eligible to be buried there, but that is boohockey. They won't all fit. Only 400,000 have been buried there since the Civil War, which is about 2,300 a year. So only one in ten thousand "eligible" veterans will be buried there each year. Wood's father, allegedly a lowly sergeant 75 years ago, would not qualify on that alone. So we may assume there is something we aren't being told about one of her parents.