
## Kamala leading in Polls?



by Miles Mathis

First published August 13, 2024

I can't believe anyone is buying this. You do realize they can fake polls, right? That is why polls were invented: to herd you by lying to you about what your neighbor is thinking.

Last year I showed you how they were lying about Biden's popularity, by unwinding their own statistics for you. I proved Biden's approval rating was about 9%. Well, Kamala's real approval rating/popularity is even less, I would guess about 5%. She couldn't win an election against a sack of rusty nails.

But somehow, overnight, the mainstream media was allegedly able to turn that around. But I have one question: BASED ON WHAT? What exactly changed everyone's minds about Kamala? We are told Kamala's popularity suddenly skyrocketed after Biden stepped down. BASED ON WHAT? Did she rescue some cute animal and I missed it? Did she cure cancer? End the war in Israel? Say something mildly clever? No, none of that. In fact, she has been very quiet, which, yes, is a boon itself. But her shutting her mouth and hiding in the closet is hardly boon enough to explain a 20 point jump in the polls.

Did Trump do something to explain it? Was he caught in bed with Justin Bieber? No. Did he throw a litter of puppies from a cliff? No. Did he say something stupider than usual? No. So this climb in the polls cannot possibly be explained in any logical fashion. Meaning, THEY JUST MADE IT UP.


This is what they do. They say anything they feel like and expect you to believe it. If you don't believe it, they just report that you did. Remember, you are living in the MATRIX where nothing is real. The news is made up every morning by some group in Langley sitting around a conference table catered by Krispy Kreme and Starbucks. They are on so much sugar and caffeine they can't feel their hands or feet. They might as well be coked to the gills, and no doubt some are. They are so ecstatic about their own abilities they can't imagine someone might not believe them. Confidence is so high the real world

has evaporated and they are floating on a cloud of their own hubris.

Plus, they figure it doesn't matter if they are believed. They know their brethren can simply manufacture any outcome they imagine. If they tell you the Sun will go dark next week, their comrades could fake that outcome somehow, by hypnotizing half the world into believing it, or saying they believed it. So storytelling knows no bounds.

In this case, they figure they can steal an election for anyone, even someone with 5% approval. They own the apparatus, so what difference do real numbers make? They consider it a challenge. Maduro just stole the election in Venezuela against similar odds, though he was more popular than Kamala. He didn't really make it stick, since no one is buying it, but so far it hasn't mattered: he isn't stepping down. He is arresting his enemies and planning to stay. But he doesn't have the propaganda machine we do, churning 24/7, which, as we know, has sold bigger lies than this. If you can fool people into believing ships hit icebergs in the Atlantic at the latitude of Rome, you will have lost all respect for those people. If you can fool people into believing someone flew over the Atlantic in a plane with no forward visibility, no radio, and no lights, you will have lost all respect for those people. So, in a sense, you asked for this. You were complicit in it, via your own incredible gullibility.

Anyway, my point was that unlike Maduro, the spooks in the US can work on you for months. They have started early, using these fake polls to generate fake support for Kamala. The polls were by MorningConsult and Reuters/Ipsos, neither of which are independent in any way. Both are basically CIA fronts. MorningConsult is very easy to uncloak, since they spun off of RollCall, which is extremely partisan. So this poll is basically coming from the Democratic Party itself. RollCall is owned by FiscalNote, which is sponsored by Chevron, Nestle, and Lenovo, among other huge companies. Is this who you want to get your information from?



Note the part about "Global Intelligence".

As for Reuters, they have been a known CIA front since the 1970s, with *Rolling Stone* reporting on it back then. Reuters has always been run from Europe and Canada and Ipsos is French, so this poll isn't even American. Reuters came from the von Reuters, Jewish/German barons, and in <u>2016 they sold out</u> to "private equity firms", unnamed. Probably Blackrock/Vanguard etc. Who else would it be? They soon took their entire website behind a paywall. So if you believe anything they are telling you, you

are a fool.

Plus, <u>I have already proved all these polling entities are fake</u>. They always were just government fronts, but in the past couple of decades they have gone off the rails, not even trying to appear genuine or independent or impartial or non-partisan. They have gone completely transparent.

Coming soon: Christopher Columbus. Or should I say Cristobal Colon?